2024 James Patterson McBaine Honors Moot Court Competition ORAL ARGUMENT SCORING SHEET

Juage's Name:	
Competitor's Name:	

Please write each specific score you assign in the "SCORE" column (located far right). Before submitting your ballot, please also make sure to calculate the "TOTAL SCORE" at the bottom of page 2 (the lowest score is 46 and the highest score is 100). Half points are not allowed. Judges are expected to score the arguments based on the quality of presentation and skills demonstrated, not on the actual merits of the case. The completed evaluation will not be distributed to competitors; it will be used solely to determine which competitors will advance to the next round.

To encourage equity and uniformity in scoring, please keep the following standards in mind when evaluating the advocates per the criteria provided:

Poor: Lacks fundamental knowledge, preparation, or skill.

Fair: Exhibits basic knowledge, preparation, or skill.

Good: Exhibits proficient knowledge, preparation, or skills.

Very Good: Exhibits advanced knowledge, preparation, or skill.

Excellent: Exhibits exemplary knowledge, preparation, or skill such that you would feel very comfortable having this advocate argue a case in which you were a party before an appellate court. Meets and/or exceeds the criteria provided below.

CRITERIA	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent	SCORE
Opening Statement						
Accurately states reason for appeal	8	9-10	11-12	13-14	15	/15
Concisely summarizes position						
Addresses weaknesses and strengths of						
case						
Presentation of the Merits						
Coherent, clear, and direct						
Understands weak points and addresses	17-19	20-23	24-27	28-31	33-35	/35
them persuasively						
• Makes reasonable points driven by logic, policy, and analysis						
• Uses persuasive theme						
Clearly understands questions presented						
and relevant legal issues						
Appropriately uses legal authority						
Shows thorough knowledge of the record and the facts						
Logically orders argument and effectively uses time permitted						

		1	1	ı	I	ı
 Questions from the Court Responsive; relies upon appropriate authority to answer the court's questions (by direct reference or implication) Persuasively emphasizes relevant legal issues Uses questions to advance client's position Deftly handles weaknesses of case After answering questions, fluidly moves into argument 	17-19	20-23	24-27	28-31	33-35	/35
Closing (and Rebuttal) • Uses actual argument (not scripted statement)	1	2	3	4	5	/5
If applicable, uses rebuttal to capitalize on Respondent's weaknesses and questions from the Court						
Delivery						
 Conversational (not stiff or overly formal) Tone of voice (inflection variety; moderate pace; indicates conviction) 	3	4-5	6-7	8-9	10	/10
TOTAL SCORE:						/100
Judges, please total your ballot before submitting						