

**Fwd: Re: atty-inmate email snafu**

**From**

(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

**To**

**Date**

2016/06/09 08:53

**Subject:**

Fwd: Re: atty-inmate email snafu

**Attachments:**

TEXT.htm, Arcerio Answering Brief - 6-6-16 draft.docx, Arciero v Holder.rtf, H.R. 3864..pdf

(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

As discussed, here is my email exchange with

(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

Attached are:

1. The Arcerio Answering Brief draft.
2. The Arcerio case that is being appealed.
3. The bill introduced in the House that hasn't gone further.

I'll keep you updated.

>>> (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) 6/8/2016 10:42 PM >>>

Hi, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) I'm not a comma-after-the-hi person, like you. But, when communicating with someone (usually another attorney) who respects the rules of punctuation, I feel compelled to let them know I understand them too. So, there you go.

You are six hours ahead. I can call around 8 am my time, 2 pm your time tomorrow (Thursday) or a little later, depending on what works for you. That's the earliest I could do, but later is always an option.

(b)(5)

(b)(5); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

>>> (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) 6/8/2016 10:36 AM >>>

Hi, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) I just wanted to respond to your emails with the following:

1. I looked on Westlaw too - that's how I found Arciero - and it also told me I didn't have access to the appeal... :) That's what prompted me to bug you.
2. I think this might necessitate a business trip for me to your location. Please make it happen. ;)

(b)(5)

(b)(5)

7. I'd like to speak with you further about this before reaching out to (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) I'm not sure what the "protocol" is for me to do that and I want to check with my boss(es) first. ;)

THANKS FOR BEING SO DARN HELPFUL!!! Like I said in my previous email, let's figure out a time/date to speak. I will accommodate your time zone!!! Just let me know when! I can be reached at (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and am willing to talk pretty much anytime, day or night, if warned first! ;)

(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

LCI - OGC, HOLC (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)  
Federal Bureau of Prisons

(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

SENSITIVE/PRIVILEGED COMMUNICATION

The information contained in this electronic message and any and all accompanying documents constitutes sensitive information. This information is the property of the U.S. Department of Justice.

If you are not the intended recipient of this information, any disclosure, copying, distribution, or the taking of any action in reliance on this information is strictly prohibited. If you received this message in error, please notify us immediately at the above number to make arrangements for its return to us.

>>> (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) 6/7/2016 2:17 PM >>>

Hi (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Pacer has no info about this appeal. Westlaw told me: "You do not have access to ALAN MAPUATULI, ET AL V. ERIC HOLDER, JR., ET AL because it is not authorized under your subscription agreement," whatever that means. I am not sure what the status of the appeal is. However, I have spoken to the AUSA about this case for unrelated reasons and will ask him about the current status.

(b)(5)

(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

US Department of Justice | Federal Bureau of Prisons  
FDC Honolulu | 351 Elliot Street | Honolulu, HI 96819  
T: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) @bop.gov

>>> (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) 6/7/2016 4:24 AM >>>

(b)(5); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

**Thanks!**

(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

LCI - OGC, HOLC (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)  
Federal Bureau of Prisons

(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

**SENSITIVE/PRIVILEGED COMMUNICATION**

The information contained in this electronic message and any and all accompanying documents constitutes sensitive information. This information is the property of the U.S. Department of Justice.

If you are not the intended recipient of this information, any disclosure, copying, distribution, or the taking of any action in reliance on this information is strictly prohibited. If you received this message in error, please notify us immediately at the above number to make arrangements for its return to us.