



**U.S. Department of Justice  
Federal Bureau of Prisons**

*Central Office  
320 First St., NW  
Washington, DC 20534*

May 19, 2020

Megan Graham  
UC Berkeley School of Law  
Room 353  
Berkeley, CA 94720-7200

For Further Inquiry Contact:  
Federal Bureau of Prisons  
320 First Street, N.W.  
Room 841, HOLC Building  
Washington, D.C. 20534  
Attn: FOIA/Privacy Act Office

RE: **National Association of Criminal Defense Lawyers v. BOP, et al.**  
**Civil Action No.: 18-CV-02399-DDC**  
Request for Information, FOIA Request No. 2018-06557

Dear Ms. Graham:

This is a **supplemental release** in response to the above Civil Action and Freedom of Information Act request for records from the BOP regarding the technical features of the inmate email system.

In a supplemental search regarding a decision paper titled "Inmate Communication Monitoring" that is mentioned in emails from 2014 in BOP's 5/29/19 production, we located 9 pages of records that are responsive to item 9 of your original request and are being withheld in full. Pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552, records were redacted and withheld in full under exemptions (b)(5); (b)(7)(E); and (b)(7)(F).

In a supplement review of the SIS manual which was originally released in our March 20, 2019 letter, 19 pages are appropriate for release in part and 48 pages are being withheld in full. Pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552, records were redacted under exemptions (b)(7)(E) and (b)(7)(F).

The BOP reconsidered three pages of records that were included in the September 16, 2019, rolling pages (pages 5, 6, & 7 of 10). Because of other component equities in those three pages, the BOP recently forwarded the three pages of records to the Executive Office for United States Attorneys (EOUSA) for its consideration of its equities in the records. These three pages are appropriate for release in part. Pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552, CRM, BOP, and EOUSA asserted its respective redactions under exemptions (b)(5); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(7)(E) and (b)(7)(F).

**5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(5)** concerns certain inter- and intra-agency communications protected by the deliberative process privilege, the attorney work-product privilege, and/or the attorney-client privilege.

**5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(6)** concerns material the release of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of the personal privacy of third parties.

**5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(7)(C)** concerns records or information compiled for law enforcement purposes the release of which could reasonably be expected to constitute an unwarranted invasion of the personal privacy of third parties.

**5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(7)(E)** concerns records or information compiled for law enforcement purposes the release of which would disclose techniques and procedures for law enforcement investigations or prosecutions.

**5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(7)(F)** concerns records or information compiled for law enforcement purposes the release of which could reasonably be expected to endanger the life or personal safety of an individual.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "S. Lilly". The signature is written in a cursive, slightly slanted style.

S. Lilly, GIS, for  
Eugene E. Baime, Supervisory Attorney