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NAVIGATING EXCLUSION ORDERS

- Enforcement Actions
- Modification Proceedings
- Advisory Opinions
- Rulings from Customs
- Court of International Trade
DOMESTIC INDUSTRY

Domestic industry requires the patentee to make investments with respect to “articles” protected by the patent.

The economic prong may be met by:

A. significant investment in plant and equipment; or
B. significant employment of labor or capital; or
C. substantial investment in exploitation of the patented invention through engineering, R&D, or licensing.
SECTION 337 UNIQUELY WEIGHS PUBLIC INTEREST

Protecting IP Rights
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Protecting IP Rights

5G Monopolies 2018
Standard Essential Patents 2013
Smartphones 2011
Emergency 3G Cell Phones 2007
Fuel Efficiency 1979
Scientific Research 1980
Medical Equipment 1984
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