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Forced labor is a serious and pervasive problem in the United States. At any given time ten thousand or more people work as forced laborers in scores of cities and towns across the country. And it is likely that the actual number is much higher, possibly reaching into the tens of thousands. Because forced labor is hidden, inhumane, widespread, and criminal, sustained and coordinated efforts by U.S. law enforcement, social service providers, and the general public are needed to expose and eradicate this illicit trade.

This report documents the nature and scope of forced labor in the United States from January 1998 to December 2003. It is the first study to examine the numbers, demographic characteristics, and origins of victims and perpetrators of forced labor in the United States and the adequacy of the U.S. response to this growing problem since the enactment of the Victims of Trafficking and Violence Protection Act (Trafficking Act) of 2000. The report is based on data obtained from a telephone survey of 49 service providers that have worked with or are expert in forced labor cases, a press survey of 131 incidents of forced labor, and eight cases studies of forced labor in different regions of the United States. The study was conducted by a team of researchers from Free the Slaves and the Human Rights Center of the University of California, Berkeley.

Victims of forced labor come from numerous ethnic and racial groups. Most are “trafficked” from thirty-five or more countries and, through force, fraud, or coercion, find themselves laboring against their will in the United States. Chinese comprised the largest number of victims, followed by Mexican and Vietnamese. Some victims are born and raised in the United States and find themselves pressed into servitude by fraudulent or deceptive means. Over the past five years, forced labor operations have been reported in at least ninety U.S. cities. These operations tend to thrive in states with large populations and sizable immigrant communities, such as California, Florida, New York, and Texas—all of which are transit routes for international travelers.

Forced labor is prevalent in five sectors of the U.S. economy: prostitution and sex services (46%), domestic service (27%), agriculture (10%), sweatshop/factory (5%), and restaurant and hotel work (4%) (see p.14 for complete data). Forced labor persists in these sectors because of low wages, lack of regulation and monitoring of working conditions, and a high demand for cheap labor. These conditions enable unscrupulous employers and criminal networks to gain virtually complete control over workers’ lives.
The United States government has been a leader in recognizing and combating forced labor worldwide. The 2000 Trafficking Act embodies an aggressive, proactive approach to the problem of human trafficking and forced labor which

- criminalizes procuring and subjecting another human being to peonage, involuntary sex trafficking, slavery, involuntary servitude, or forced labor;
- provides social services and legal benefits to survivors of these crimes, including authorization to remain in the country;
- provides funding to support protection programs for survivors in the United States as well as abroad; and
- includes provisions to monitor and eliminate trafficking in countries outside the United States.

Despite these considerable advancements, the Trafficking Act has some notable shortcomings. The Act conditions immigration relief and social services on prosecutorial cooperation and thus creates the perception that survivors are primarily instruments of law enforcement rather than individuals who are, in and of themselves, deserving of protection and restoration of their human rights.

Furthermore, more proactive measures need to be taken to train law enforcement officers, particularly at the local level, to identify victims and forced labor operations; improve cooperation and information sharing on forced labor between federal and state agencies; revise procedures for the handling of survivors; and provide survivors with protection, benefits, and compensation.

By and large, victims of forced labor are reluctant to report abuse to law enforcement personnel because they fear retribution from their traffickers. Many victims have an inherent fear of police based on their past experience with corrupt authorities in their home countries and communities. To overcome these obstacles there is an urgent need to train law enforcement personnel at all levels to recognize and assist trafficking victims.

Trafficking is defined almost exclusively as a federal crime to be handled by federal authorities. This limited mandate has hindered coordination between federal and state law enforcement agencies that, in turn, has allowed perpetrators of forced labor to go undetected. Moreover, federal law enforcement personnel are often unable to protect survivors and their families from traffickers because authorities lack the necessary legal tools, assistance, and funds to provide them with secure and safe refuge. Taken together, these obstacles can impede a survivor's willingness to cooperate in criminal investigations.

Forced labor survivors are at significant risk of developing health related problems. Most survivors come from impoverished areas of the world where access to adequate health care is limited or nonexistent. Because forced labor victims often circumvent formal medical screenings for migrants, many arrive in the United States without proper immunizations and bearing communicable diseases. Once trafficked migrants reach their destination in the United States, they continue to face a variety of health risks as they begin working in dangerous and unregulated work environments. Those who work in the sex trade are especially at risk of contracting AIDS or other sexually transmitted diseases. Perpetrators of forced labor frequently use violence or the withholding of food as a means of “breaking,” controlling, and punishing their workers.
Victims of forced labor often suffer psychological assaults designed to keep them submissive. Cut off from contact with the outside world, they can lose their sense of personal efficacy and control, attributes that mental health professionals have long considered essential to good mental and physical health. In such situations many forced labor victims become increasingly dependent on their captors, if merely to survive. While little is known about the specific psychological sequelae of forced labor, survivors often report feelings of depression, reoccurring nightmares, and panic attacks.

While the Trafficking Act has greatly amplified the federal government’s role in investigating and prosecuting forced labor cases in the United States, the job of providing basic social and legal services to survivors has fallen squarely on the shoulders of nongovernmental organizations (NGOs) and social service agencies. Yet fewer than half of these agencies are able to meet these needs. Social service agencies report that finding appropriate housing for survivors has been one of their greatest challenges. Housing that is safe and secure can protect survivors from their former captors. Yet, housing of any kind can be costly for social service agencies. Much would be gained if these agencies were provided with greater financial support so that they can provide survivors of forced labor with safe and adequate housing and other basic legal and social services.

We recommend that the U.S. government undertake the following measures to combat forced labor in the United States:

1. **Start a broad-based awareness-raising campaign about human trafficking and forced labor in the United States with special attention to reaching immigrant communities.** Private citizens should be informed about the characteristics of forced labor operations and how to identify potential victims. Further, increased public awareness about the demand for goods and services provided with forced labor can foster public pressure on employers and manufacturers to eradicate conditions that generate market vulnerabilities to the use of forced labor.

2. **Improve the institutional capacity of law enforcement personnel at the local, state, and federal level to respond to forced labor and trafficking.** The U.S. government should increase training and coordination of officials involved in the identification, investigation, and prosecution of perpetrators. In addition, more resources should be devoted to enable service agencies to aid existing clients and to conduct outreach that might result in identifying more victims.

3. **Ensure better legal protections and monitoring of workers in sectors such as agriculture, domestic labor, garment industry, and food service that are particularly vulnerable to forced labor and trafficking.** The U.S. government should promote accountability in those sectors, especially agriculture and garment manufacturing, that use subcontracting systems which violate labor laws and practices.

4. **Correct aspects of migration policy that provide incentives for unscrupulous employers to use forced labor.** The U.S. government should eliminate the visa requirement that mandates a worker to remain with one particular employer. This would help reduce the vulnerability of low-wage workers to exploitation.

5. **Strengthen protection and rehabilitation programs for survivors.** To address short-term needs of survivors, the U.S. government should create incentives for survivors to come forward and cooperate with law enforcement personnel. This includes developing mechanisms to protect victims and family mem-
bers vulnerable to retaliation and threats by traffickers in home countries. U.S. authorities should also review eligibility requirements for immigration relief as well as their administration to ensure these are consistent with the goal of supporting and protecting survivors. Increased public and private support to social service agencies is required in order to provide adequate, safe housing to survivors upon liberation from captivity. Once survivors feel safe and secure they are more likely to aid law enforcement personnel in the prosecution of suspected traffickers.
2. Introduction

Migrant-Camp Operators Face Forced Labor Charges

Takoma Park Couple Enslaved Woman
The Washington Post, June 10, 2003

Slavery in Florida’s Citrus Groves
The Miami Herald, Nov. 21, 2002

“Coyotes” Offer Evil Deal: Hondurans Forced into Prostitution
The Washington Times, July 23, 2002

For most Americans the occasional newspaper headline is the only indication that forced labor exists in the United States. Each year forced labor generates millions of dollars for criminals who prey on the most vulnerable—the poor, the uneducated, and the impoverished immigrant seeking a better life. Held as captives, victims of forced labor toil in slavelike conditions for months and even years with little or no contact with the outside world. Those who survive enslavement face enormous challenges as they struggle to regain control over their shattered lives. Forced labor is a serious and pervasive problem in the United States for four reasons: it is hidden, it is inhumane, it is widespread, and it is criminal.

Forced Labor Is Hidden

Each year thousands of men, women, and children are trafficked into the United States and forced to work without pay in deplorable conditions. Most of them are rarely seen in public places. Hidden from view, they toil in sweatshops, brothels, farms, and private homes. To prevent them from escaping, their captors confiscate their identification documents, forbid them from leaving their workplaces or contacting their families, threaten them with arrest and deportation, and restrict their access to the surrounding community.

Forced Labor Is Inhumane

Victims of forced labor have been tortured, raped, assaulted, and murdered. They have been held in absolute control by their captors and stripped of their dignity. Some have been subjected to forced abortion, dangerous working conditions, poor nutrition, and humiliation. Some have died during their enslavement. Others have been physically or psychologically scarred for life. Once freed, many will suffer from a host of health-related problems, including repetitive stress injury, chronic back pain, visual and respiratory illnesses, sexually transmitted diseases, and depression.

Forced Labor Is Widespread

Forced labor exists in ninety cities across the United States. It is practiced in a wide range of industrial sectors, including domestic service, the sex industry, food service, factory production, and agriculture. In the last five years alone the press has reported 131 cases of forced labor in the United States involving 19,254 men, women, and children from a wide range of ethnic and racial groups. Although many victims are immigrants, some are U.S. residents or citizens.
Forced Labor Is Criminal

Forced labor is universally condemned and outlawed. Its practice in the United States violates a host of laws including indentured servitude, money laundering, and tax evasion. Yet criminals find it a highly profitable and lucrative enterprise. Their workers are forced to be docile, and when problems arise, “employers” know they can rein workers in with threats and physical violence. Criminals also have learned that the odds are good that they will never be held accountable in a court of law.

The Study

The United States is at a critical juncture in its struggle to end forced labor. In 2000 the U.S. government enacted new laws to hold perpetrators of forced labor accountable and to assist survivors freed from captivity. Since then, both prosecutions of suspected wrongdoers and the number of social and legal service providers assisting survivors have increased exponentially. As efforts to stamp out forced labor gather speed, there is a need to evaluate the record to date and to propose new measures that will further strengthen eradication of this egregious practice. To this end, Free the Slaves and the Human Rights Center at the University of California, Berkeley, with the assistance of the Center for the Advancement of Human Rights at Florida State University, conducted a study of the nature and scope of forced labor in the United States to assess efforts of government and nongovernmental organizations to address the problem and to recommend measures to improve the U.S. response to forced labor.

The research team employed a combination of quantitative and qualitative research methodologies. To gain an understanding of the numbers, demographic characteristics, and origins of those in forced labor in the United States, we conducted

- a survey of newspaper articles reporting incidents of forced labor between January 1998 and December 2003;²
- a telephone survey of forty-nine service providers that have worked with or are knowledgeable of forced labor cases;³
- a review of reports published by the United States government regarding the number of forced labor cases it has investigated and prosecuted.

To gain knowledge regarding the experience of survivors of forced labor and the adequacy of the United States response, we also conducted

- a review of the United States and international laws regarding forced labor;
- interviews with key informants, including government officials, service providers, and advocates, who have extensive experience with forced labor;
- eight case studies of forced labor in different regions of the United States to illustrate how the problem has affected a wide range of economic and demographic sectors.⁴

Of the eight case studies selected for study, one involved forced prostitution, two involved servitude of domestic workers, two involved agricultural workers, two involved factory workers, and one involved children who had been sexually abused and forced to work in the restaurant and service industries. The cases took place in California, North and South Carolina, Florida, Oklahoma, Washington,
D.C. and the United States island territory of American Samoa. In conducting the case studies, researchers often encountered difficulty gaining access to survivors. Some service providers and advocates were unwilling to convey our request for participation in this study to their clients, citing the need to protect them from contact with individuals who were not directly involved in their cases. This access barrier to survivors may impede success of further research regarding the effects of forced labor on survivors and may influence how well clients are served by the current U.S. response. Despite this challenge, researchers interviewed six survivors.

The rest of this report consists of six sections. Section 3 provides background and analysis of the causes and extent of forced labor in the United States. It reviews the literature and research on the structure of labor markets and their relationship to maintaining forced labor. It also examines data collected on forced labor to determine geographic and demographic patterns as well as the occurrence of forced labor in particular economic sectors. Section 4 reviews the legal history of prohibitions against forced labor in the United States and at the international level. Section 5 examines the way in which U.S. laws are enforced against traffickers and used to assist survivors of forced labor. Section 6 discusses the impacts of trafficking and forced labor on the health of survivors. Section 7 sets out the numerous challenges that social and legal service providers face in their efforts to meet the needs of survivors. Finally, section 8 presents our conclusions and recommendations to strengthen the U.S. response to forced labor.

### The Case Studies

**The Case against Kil-Soo Lee: Sweatshop Workers in American Samoa:** Kil-Soo Lee, a Korean businessman, recruited primarily women from China and Vietnam to work in his garment factory on the island of American Samoa from 1998 until the factory closed in late 2000. Kil-Soo Lee used employment contract fees and penalties to trap the workers into remaining with the company. He kept workers locked in the factory compound, withheld food as punishment, and authorized violent retaliation for resistance on the part of the workers. In February 2003 Kil-Soo Lee was convicted of criminal charges of involuntary servitude, extortion, and money laundering.

**The Case against Lakireddy Bali Reddy: Sexual Exploitation in California:** Lakireddy Bali Reddy, a local businessman, sexually exploited several young girls from his native village in India. Uncovered in January 2000, his sex and labor exploitation ring spanned fifteen years and operated in India and California. He repeatedly raped and sexually abused his victims and forced them to work in his businesses in Berkeley, California, including a well-established Indian restaurant. Reddy pleaded guilty to criminal charges related to immigration fraud and illegal sexual activity and agreed to pay U.S. $2 million in restitution to several of his victims. In 2004, some Reddy survivors and their families received an out of court settlement of $8.9 million in response to civil claims brought against the Reddy estate.

**The Case against Victoria Island Farms/JB Farm Labor Contractor: Exploitative Farm Labor in California:** California asparagus harvesters, numbering in the hundreds, were forced to harvest the high-priced vegetable in substandard conditions for virtually no pay on the property of Victoria Islands, an internationally known asparagus grower, during the 2000 growing season. Hired by JB Farm Labor Contractor, the workers, recruited mostly from Mexico, were powerless to stop the huge deductions for transportation and other “debts” the employer took from their weekly paychecks. Some escaped during the season. Some of the workers filed a civil case against JB Farm Labor Contractor and Victoria Island Farms that resulted in the defendants’ paying the workers the wage owed them.
The Case against R&A Harvesting: Forced Farm Labor in Florida: Florida citrus pickers endured abuse by R&A Harvesting, a farm labor contractor, between January 2000 and June 2001. The company used threats of violence to force as many as seven hundred Mexican and Guatemalan workers to labor for little or no pay. After R&A Harvesting employees attacked a van driver suspected of assisting the workers, the Coalition of Immokalee Workers, a local community organization, pressured prosecutors to investigate the allegations of forced labor. The owners of R&A Harvesting, the three Ramos brothers and a cousin, were tried and convicted of forced labor charges in 2002. One of the brothers, Ramiro Ramos, was sentenced in 2004 to fifteen years in prison, fined $20,000 and ordered to forfeit property valued at over $3 million. Another brother, Juan Ramos, is being re-sentenced.

The Case against the Cadena Family: Forced Prostitution in Florida and South Carolina: Based in Mexico, the Cadena family members lured young girls and women to come to the United States ostensibly to work as waitresses and domestic workers. Between August 1996 and February 1998, the Cadena family brought between twenty-five and forty unsuspecting victims to Florida and South Carolina and forced them to work as prostitutes to service primarily Mexican migrant farm workers. In March 1998 several Cadena family members and their associates were brought to justice, receiving criminal sentences ranging from two to fifteen years imprisonment.

The Case against Supawan Veerapool: Enslavement of a Domestic Service Worker in California: In 1989 a Thai woman by the name of Supawan Veerapool, the common law wife of Thailand’s ambassador to Sweden, brought a domestic worker to Los Angeles to provide household support in her home. On arrival in the United States the domestic worker’s passport was confiscated and she was then forced to work twenty-hour days, six days a week until she escaped in 1998. Convicted on criminal charges in 1999, Veerapool was sentenced to eight years in prison.

The Case against the John Pickle Company: Forced Labor in a Factory in Oklahoma: Qualified skilled workers were recruited in September 2001 in India through the Al-Samit International labor-recruitment agency and promised good jobs in a factory that manufactures pressure valves in the state of Oklahoma. On arrival, the workers were forced to surrender their travel documents and to live in the factory and work twelve to sixteen hours a day, six days a week, for well below the legal minimum wage. By February 2002, all of the approximately fifty workers had managed to escape and later filed a civil suit against their former employer. Subsequently, the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, the federal agency charged with investigating and filing cases of employment discrimination, filed a separate civil action against the John Pickle Company.

The Case against the Satia Family: Forced Domestic Servitude in Washington, D.C.: The Satias, two Cameroonian sisters and their husbands, recruited young Cameroonian girls, aged fourteen and seventeen, to work as domesticics in their Washington, D.C. homes. The girls were recruited with the promise of studying in the U.S. in exchange for providing childcare and domestic help. Once in the U.S., the domestic servants were confined to the Satias’ homes, working in excess of fourteen hours a day without remuneration and under threat of violence and deportation. The younger survivor escaped in 1999 after two years of captivity. A year later the older survivor fled, after having been exploited for five years. In 2001 the Satia sisters and their husbands were charged with forced labor. Found guilty, they received criminal sentences ranging from five to nine years and were ordered to pay their victims over $100,000 in restitution.
3. Ending Forced Labor in the United States

Forced labor exists in the United States because factors in the U.S. economy, the legal system, and immigration policy support it. Forced labor is a problem that is driven by a growing “informal economy” in the United States. The International Labor Organization (ILO) defines an informal economy as “all remunerative work—both self employed and wage employment—that is not recognized, regulated, or protected by existing legal or regulatory frameworks and non-remunerative work undertaken in an income-producing enterprise.”

Forced labor exists in both legal and illegal industries that are poorly regulated and fail to comply with U.S. labor laws. “Employers” in such industries are often criminal entrepreneurs for whom forced labor may be one of a number of illegal activities. Over time, such employees have found that forced labor can be a lucrative business made possible through the ready availability of free labor, better and more varied transport, new methods of secure communications, and the increased permeability of borders.

Exposing Forced Labor

U.S. nongovernmental organizations (NGOs) deserve the lion’s share of credit for exposing the existence of forced labor in the United States. The first major bust of a forced labor operation in recent years took place in 1995 when labor rights groups uncovered a sweatshop operated by a Chinese-Thai family in El Monte, California, a small community near Los Angeles. The seventy-two workers, most of them Thai women, had been held in a compound behind fences tipped with razor wire and forced to sew garments in slavelike conditions. Outrage over the case fueled efforts of a relatively small group of advocates and government officials to end such practices. The U.S. Congress responded by adopting the Victims of Trafficking and Violence Protection Act of 2000 (Trafficking Act). One effect of this process is that policymakers and advocates have taken the lead in the struggle to end forced labor. The challenge now is to raise the public’s awareness of the problem and to educate and equip state and local law enforcement to recognize and destroy forced labor operations.

Public awareness of forced labor is practically nonexistent in the United States. Occasionally the police or a group of rights advocates will expose a forced labor operation, and invariably the media will depict it as a single and shocking event. But rarely do such exposés educate the public about its place and function within the U.S. economy.

Like the public, U.S. law enforcement is largely unaware of or poorly informed about the nature of forced labor and the plight of its victims. Because most victims of forced labor are undocumented workers or illegal aliens, law enforcement often regards them as criminals rather than victims ensnared in an illicit trade. This is largely because trafficking into forced labor is considered a federal crime. As a result, state and local law enforcement personnel lack basic training on identifying the crime, protecting victims, and bringing perpetrators to justice. Ironically, treating forced labor victims as criminals only makes it easier for an “employer” to get away with the crime because prosecutions rarely succeed without cooperative eyewitnesses.

Victims of forced labor experience

- Severe forms of abuse
- High levels of trauma
- Fear of the legal/justice system
- Difficulty integrating into American society
- Stigmatization
- Potential for revictimization
The Number of Victims

Our data suggest that at any given time ten thousand or more people are working as forced laborers in the United States.\footnote{6} It is likely that the actual number reaches into the tens of thousands. Determining the exact number of victims, however, has proven difficult given the hidden nature of forced labor and the manner in which these figures are collected and analyzed. Data on victims of forced labor is further complicated by the U.S. government’s practice of not counting the \textit{actual} number of persons trafficked or caught in a situation of forced labor in a given year. Instead, it counts only survivors (defined by the Trafficking Act as victims of a “severe form of trafficking”) who have been \textit{assisted} in accessing immigration benefits. By this definition, the U.S. government reports that it has assisted approximately four hundred and fifty survivors over the past three years.\footnote{7} Moreover, while the U.S. Department of Justice estimates that 14,500 to 17,500 people are trafficked into the United States each year, it is unclear how these figures were calculated.\footnote{8}

Geographical Distribution of Victims

Our data suggest that forced labor operations have existed in at least ninety U.S. cities over the past five years. This figure was derived from a press survey of one hundred and thirty one cases of forced labor and a telephone survey of forty-nine service providers across the United States. The press survey located cases of forced labor in sixty-four cities within the United States and its territories of Saipan and Guam, while service providers reported forced labor in thirty-eight cities in seventeen states, with twelve cities appearing in both surveys. The survey of service providers also revealed that the length of time victims were held in forced labor ranged from a few weeks to more than twenty years, with the majority of cases lasting between two and five years.

Our data also suggest that forced labor operations are concentrated in the states of California, Florida, New York, and Texas—all of which are transit routes for international travelers. Cities where reported forced labor occurred also tended to be in states with large populations and sizable immigrant communities. Our data is consistent with findings of the U.S. government. The U.S. Department of Justice reports that in 2003, the largest concentrations of survivors of trafficking who received federal assistance resided in California, Oklahoma, Texas and New York. In 2002, the DOJ reports that survivors of trafficking who received federal assistance resided in Texas (31\%), Florida (19\%) and California (14\%).\footnote{9}

The following map shows the location of the cities in which our data indicate reported incidences of forced labor.
U.S. cities in which forced labor incidences were reported.
A teenage prostitution ring in Detroit, Michigan

Not all cases of forced labor involve undocumented immigrants. In January 2003 a multistate ring of forced prostitution involving young midwestern women and girls, some as young as thirteen years old, was uncovered when a seventeen-year-old girl pursued by a group of men and women burst into a store in a Detroit, Michigan, suburban strip mall and pleaded with a security guard to help her. Seeing that the girl was terrified and battered, the guard evicted the group of pursuers from the store and took her to the police.

The teenager told authorities that a man and a woman had abducted her while she was waiting at a bus stop in downtown Cleveland, Ohio. Her captors drove her to Detroit, where she was held in a house with other female captives and forced to have sex with male visitors. The captives were always escorted around the house, even to the bathroom, and the older women kept the younger ones in line by threatening and sometimes beating them. Each day the girls were given a new assignment. Some would go to malls in the metro Detroit area to sell jewelry and trinkets, others would be forced to dance and strip for private parties and to have sex with men who visited the Detroit house.

The girls’ captors “did that punishment-reward thing,” a police investigator said. “They would get their nails and hair done. If they stepped out of line, they got beat down. Some of the senior women acted as enforcers.” In fact, the young woman escaped when she and several other young women were taken to the mall to get their hair done and to buy clothes. When the group stopped at the mall to sell some jewelry, she seized the opportunity and ran. The young woman’s mother remarked, “The whole thing is unreal—it’s like slavery. They lure and coerce these girls into doing whatever they want. It’s a sick game they are playing with our children.”

Once in police custody, the young woman led the police to the house where they arrested Henry Davis, 32, otherwise known as “Chicago,” “Tony,” or “Daddy,” the alleged leader of the ring, and Jamal Rivers, 17. They were charged with multiple felonies, including first-degree criminal sexual conduct, kidnapping, and transporting women for prostitution. On further investigation, the police discovered that Davis had been operating a forced prostitution ring as early as 1995 by kidnapping teenage girls and transporting them to cities throughout the midwestern United States.

The case never went to trial. In August 2003 Davis entered into a plea agreement and was sentenced to serve forty years in prison. Despite his detention and his plea agreement, most of the teenagers reported being so afraid of Davis that they did not attend his formal sentencing hearing. Some of Davis’s victims say they now sleep with nightlights on or crawl into bed with their mothers. Others say they are experiencing emotional problems. One young woman, who was raped repeatedly at the Detroit house, is pregnant and believes she is carrying Davis’s child. “The devastation [Davis] has brought on these young women is just immeasurable,” the local prosecutor said.

Origins of Victims and Perpetrators

The press and service provider surveys show that as of December 2003 victims of forced labor came from thirty-nine countries, including the United States. The range of nationalities represents most regions of the developing world, as well as more developed countries like South Korea and those of Eastern Europe. The largest number of persons discovered to be in forced labor in the United States were Chinese, followed by Mexican and Vietnamese. Because no statistical sample has been drawn, it is important to note that these counts do not represent the actual distribution of nationalities of forced laborers in the United States, but the recorded nationalities may be thought of as indicative of the general pattern.

Human trafficking and forced labor are normally considered crimes that primarily involve foreign nationals, but our research recorded a significant number of victims who are U.S. citizens. It is possible that cases involving United States citizens were more likely to be detected and also more likely to gain press coverage, but until more comprehensive surveys are carried out better estimations of the nationalities of forced labor victims will not be possible. The following table gives the number of cases and the approximate number of individuals of each nationality discovered in the two combined surveys; the nationality or the precise number of individual victims was not recorded in every case.

In contrast, the Department of Justice estimates that the countries of origin for the greatest number of survivors who received federal assistance in 2003 were India (38%), Vietnam (11%), Mexico (9%), Indonesia (5%), Tonga (5%), Zambia (5%), and Thailand (4%). In 2002, the most common countries of origin were Honduras (36%) and Mexico (35%).

Our data indicate the nationality or ethnicity of perpetrators closely matched that of victims. A common pattern is that those caught in situations of forced labor are brought into the United States and then exploited by perpetrators of the same nationality or

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reported Country of Origin of Victims of Forced Labor</th>
<th>Number of Cases</th>
<th>Estimated Number of Individuals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>ca 1,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>ca 71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>ca 10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>ca 150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>ca 70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bangladesh</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>ca 200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russia</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>ca 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vietnam</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>ca 250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honduras</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>ca 70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>ca 200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>ca 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guatemala</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>ca 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cambodia</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cameroon</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estonia</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ghana</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zambia</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albania</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecuador</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guyana</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haiti</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jamaica</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kryghistan</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latvia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Micronesia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romania</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tonga</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ukraine</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uzbekistan</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yugoslavia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific Nationality Not Reported</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asia</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>ca 10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southeast Asia</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>ca 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Hispanic”</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>ca 70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern European</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ethnicity. Often the perpetrators are recently naturalized United States citizens with close ties to their country of origin. Russian Americans, Chinese Americans, and Mexican Americans were all noted as perpetrators in cases in the surveys, primarily in the areas of prostitution, agriculture, or restaurant work. The economic sectors where longer-term U.S. citizens were found to be exploiting forced labor follow the same pattern, as shown in the following table.

When the perpetrator was a U.S. citizen not of recent origin, the cases tended to concentrate in certain areas of exploitation, especially the sexual exploitation of children and the trafficking of very young children for adoption.

Economic and Demographic Sectors

In our survey of press reports forced labor was found predominantly in prostitution, domestic work, agriculture, sweatshop factories, restaurant and hotel work, and entertainment. The U.S. Department of Justice data indicate similar findings; the highest concentrations of trafficking survivors who received federal assistance had been held as prostitutes, domestic servants, agricultural laborers and sweatshop factory workers. The distribution of our recorded cases by economic sector is shown in the following table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Economic and Demographic Sectors</th>
<th>Frequency of cases (not individuals)</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prostitution</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>46.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domestic service</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>27.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>10.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweatshop-factory</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service-food-care</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual exploitation of children</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entertainment</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mail-order bride</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No economic sector reported</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (all cases)</td>
<td>131^13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Although little research exists into the connections between forced prostitution and existing “sex markets” in the United States, it stands to reason that these markets may encourage forced prostitution and the commercial sexual exploitation of children. These markets comprise a variety of activities including prostitution, pornography, striptease and erotic dancing, and peep shows, and they sometimes
are under the control of organized crime networks. While prostitution is illegal in most states of the U.S., striptease is legal in many states, as is the sale of pornography, which is pervasive and constitutionally protected. For organized crime networks, the combination of legal and illegal sexual services is normally part of a larger portfolio of products and services that includes drugs and drug trafficking as well.

The connection between the demand for sex services and the sexual exploitation of women and children in the United States has not been researched in a comprehensive and conclusive way. We lack quantitative data on the magnitude of the demand for sex services, the organization of the sex service economy, and its regulation. Despite this paucity of information, there appears to be little question that traffickers would not be engaged in this lucrative trade if a considerable demand for it did not exist.

Our data suggest that sex traffickers usually recruit victims of their own nationality or ethnic background. Sex trafficking appears to be closely linked to migrant smuggling enterprises run by Asian, Mexican, and Eastern European organized crime networks, among others. Some of these operations feed victims into situations of forced labor. For eighteen months, beginning in August 1996, for example, the Cadena family trafficked twenty-five to forty women and girls from their hometown in Vera Cruz and forced them to work as prostitutes servicing migrant workers in the United States. It appears that the Cadenas targeted the migrant worker community by design. First, they recognized and promoted a demand for cheap sex services in communities of migrant workers and then supplied it. Second, they chose remote farms where the migrant workers were isolated and hidden from law enforcement and unlikely to be visited by inspection teams from the Department of Labor. Finally, they were confident that neither the women nor the men, most of whom were undocumented immigrants, would report the operators to the authorities for fear of arrest and deportation.

**Domestic Service**

According to our survey data, the second highest incidence of forced labor takes place in domestic service in U.S. homes. Two case studies of forced domestic servitude were made for this study, one involving two young women from Cameroon who were brought to a suburb of Washington, D.C., the other involving a Thai woman who was brought to Los Angeles, California. Our study indicates that forced labor in domestic work is fueled by the following:

- the demand for cheap, exploitable household help;
- the lack of legal protections in the domestic service sector; and
- the absence of monitoring of work conditions.

Every year U.S. citizens and foreign nationals living in the United States bring thousands of domestic workers into the country, and many of them suffer abuse. The captive servants have included women from Brazil, Ivory Coast, Ethiopia, Nepal, Ghana, and India. Such cases are driven by a burgeoning demand for cheap, docile, exploitable household labor. Like agricultural workers, domestic workers have few legal protections.

U.S. labor law does not define household workers as “employees” under the National Labor Relations Act (NLRA), thus denying them certain protections and restricting their ability to organize for better wages and working conditions. Another factor increasing their vulnerability is an immigration
policy that allows domestic workers to be brought to the United States by their employers. Visas normally require that domestic service workers remain with their original employer or face deportation. This requirement tends to discourage workers from reporting abuses. Additionally, some perpetrators are foreign nationals who rely on diplomatic immunity to shield themselves from punishment if their use of forced labor is uncovered.

Monitoring of the working conditions of domestic service workers is also difficult because work takes place in private homes. In each of our case studies of domestic workers, the “employer” effectively isolated the worker through threats and intimidation. For example, in the case of forced domestic servitude in the Washington, D.C. area, the victims’ employers repeatedly lectured them about exaggerated dangers of life in the United States. The older survivor recalled her “boss,” Vivian Satia, telling her: “It’s not everybody can make it in America. It’s dangerous out there. . . . You can go out there and get killed.” Satia and her sister told their captives that U.S. immigration authorities would be looking for them to arrest and deport if they ventured outside alone.

Agricultural

The agricultural sector experiences a high occurrence of forced labor in the United States. Farm workers in general are particularly vulnerable. A number of factors allow this:

- agricultural wages are stagnant and working conditions are poor;
- legal protections for agricultural workers are weak; and
- monitoring of work conditions is scant.

Agriculture is one of the most profitable sectors of the formal economy. The growing international demand for U.S. agricultural produce is increasing the demand for farm labor across the country. Each year more than one and a half million seasonal farm workers cultivate and harvest produce in the United States. Some seven hundred thousand of these workers are migratory, following the harvest from place to place. In spite of the expansion in agricultural production, farm worker wages and working conditions are stagnant or declining. Like domestic workers, agricultural workers are not “employees” under the NRLA and are not guaranteed certain protections, making it difficult to organize and negotiate collectively with employers. When depressed wages, poor working conditions, and a lack of legal protections are combined with an increasing demand for cheap farm labor, the result is a continuum of abuses of which forced labor is the most extreme.

Labor inspectors work to stem forced labor by enforcing labor laws, primarily the Migrant and Seasonal Agricultural Worker Protection Act (MSPA) and the Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA). These laws mandate the payment of minimum wage and the regulation of deductions from workers’ pay to ensure that workers are not paid below the federal minimum wage, regardless of their immigration status. The MSPA also mandates that migrant labor contractors—companies that supply farm labor to growers—must be registered with the Department of Labor. Both immigration and labor laws hold the labor contractor rather than the grower responsible for the legal rights of workers. It is common for growers to hire workers through farm labor contractors. The Department of Labor can revoke the permit of a contractor who has a history of violations. Legal advocates and government labor inspectors also can pursue civil suits against employers who use forced labor and violate the MSPA and FLSA.
While the legal mechanisms exist, the Wage and Hour Division of the U.S. Department of Labor does not have the resources to effectively investigate sectors such as private households (in the case of domestic services) or the vast U.S. agriculture market. There are insufficient resources to prosecute the volume of forced labor cases. A Department of Labor spokesperson reflected: “These cases take a lot of resources to get the evidence needed to try perpetrators. And when we do have a criminal case, we lose an investigator for a long period of time.”

We can examine the prevalence of forced labor in agriculture by looking at the example of the citrus industry in Florida. Each year agriculture contributes almost one billion dollars to Florida’s economy. During the 1995/1996 season citrus sales alone totaled $246.3 million, despite below-average citrus prices. Furthermore, citrus production is increasing, and over the next five years citrus production is expected to increase thirty percent. The increased production will rely on an increased supply of farm labor. Today this labor is supplied by work crews, composed primarily of immigrants from Mexico and Central America. Farm workers in Florida are predominantly immigrants, almost half of whom are undocumented, reflecting a trend seen throughout the United States.

Since the late 1990s farm labor contractors Ramiro, Jose, and Juan Ramos have supplied labor to harvest oranges and other citrus products for some of the largest citrus growers in the United States. Between January 2000 and June 2001 the Ramos family forced approximately seven hundred Mexican and Guatemalan workers, predominantly men, to work without pay, or for far less than minimum wage, under threat of violence. They instituted this coercive control under the pretense of collecting debt owed for transport from Arizona to Florida and for work equipment, housing, utilities, and other necessities.

The extent of the problem stretches beyond Florida’s citrus industry. In June 2002 the U.S. Justice department indicted six New York agricultural labor employers on forced labor charges. In June 2003 a federal grand jury indicted a Hawaii man on charges of smuggling four Tongan nationals into Hawaii and forcing them to work for his pig farm and rock-wall business. The employer beat the Tongans with his fists, rocks, and tools and threatened to have them deported if they tried to leave. In September 2003 a federal grand jury convicted two New Hampshire employers of forcing four Jamaican nationals to labor in their tree service business by confiscating their passports and threatening them with physical violence. The U.S. Department of Justice reported that one of the employers “physically assaulted one of the men and [the other employer] ordered his dog to attack the man as he was fleeing.”

**Sweatshops**

Sweatshop manufacturing, factories in which employers violate labor laws, is another economic sector that utilizes forced labor in the United States. This report looks at the largest single case of forced labor— in which over two hundred workers were enslaved—that arose in a sweatshop garment factory in the U.S. territory of American Samoa. It appears that this sector is vulnerable to forced labor because

- competitive pressures on manufacturers who locate within the United States force wages down;
- manufacturers operate within the informal economy and evade monitoring or enforcement of labor laws; and
- merchandise produced in U.S. island territories carries a “Made in the U.S.A.” label, yet workers enjoy fewer rights and labor protections than their counterparts on the mainland.
Most individuals associate sweatshops with lesser developed countries. But industry pressures, for example, on U.S. textile and clothing manufacturers, encourage employers to locate factories in close proximity to retailers. If producers stay in the United States, they must compete with lower-wage manufacturers in other countries. Most of the U.S. garment and textile industry is concentrated around New York City and Los Angeles, California, close to the creative centers of fashion design. According to the Union of Needle Trades and Industrial Textile Employees, seventy-five percent of all New York apparel manufacturing firms are sweatshops. That competition is pressing manufacturers who choose to remain in the United States to reduce their labor costs to a minimum. In some cases this can mean forced labor.

Sweatshops are susceptible to forced labor because they frequently operate within the informal economy, frustrating attempts to monitor or enforce labor law regulation. Like agriculture and domestic service, sweatshop manufacturing is a sector in which there are few protections for workers and little monitoring of labor law compliance. Forced labor in U.S. garment factories came to light in 1995 when the group of Thai captive workers in El Monte, California, was freed. Our forced labor case study of Kil-Soo Lee, American Samoan garment manufacturer whose workers produced garments for major U.S. clothing retailers, is an example of how weak labor protections facilitated his forced-labor scheme.

Minimum wage standards in American Samoa are lower than in mainland United States. Lack of workplace inspections or labor law enforcement, combined with the workers’ fear of making complaints, create a context in which forced labor could occur. The worker’s fear comes in part from the extensive control exercised by employers. The Samoan immigration board has the power to deport an immigrant worker in response to a request from an employer who wishes to terminate the worker’s employment. According to an official from the Samoan governor’s office, once the immigration board has processed the worker on arrival in American Samoa and issued him or her an identification card, the board has no proactive role and becomes substantially involved in a worker’s affairs only if the worker lodges an objection to a request for deportation. Workers feared complaining and had few legal tools to help them fight back.

The United States has broad and stringent laws against all forms of forced labor in addition to the international agreements it has ratified. The next section of this report explains this legal structure in more detail and highlights the way in which the law continually has sought to respond to the challenges of forced labor.
4. The U.S. Legal Response to Forced Labor

Throughout U.S. history perpetrators of forced labor have been one step ahead of the law. In 1865 the Thirteenth Amendment of the U.S. Constitution outlawed chattel slavery and involuntary servitude. Yet in the years following the American Civil War, Southern white landowners lured thousands of newly freed slaves and immigrants into peonage as sharecroppers on their plantations and farms. In response, the U.S. Supreme Court issued a series of opinions stating that the Constitution’s prohibition against slavery was intended to go beyond situations of ownership to stamp out “any other kind of slavery, now or hereafter.” In 1874, in response to a new form of human trafficking, Congress adopted the “Padrone statute” to combat the practice of kidnapping boys in Italy to be used as shoeblacks, street musicians, and beggars on the streets of American cities. In 1910, in an effort to curb prostitution, Congress passed the Mann Act, which imposed stiff penalties on traffickers of women within U.S. borders.

Still, unscrupulous employers continued to find new ways of compelling workers—many of whom were newly arrived immigrants—to work under slavelike conditions. In the early 1940s the Supreme Court ruled that employers could not force workers to remain in their jobs. Nor could they penalize them for leaving their employment. Congress went on to enact a federal law in 1948 specifically criminalizing “peonage,” or the practice of holding someone to work off a debt, and involuntary servitude, whereby an individual was forced to work against his or her will. Despite this landmark legislation, U.S. courts generally interpreted the law to mean that criminal sanctions could only be imposed against perpetrators who used physical force or threats and not psychological coercion or trickery to hold victims in bondage. This limitation in the application of the law was eliminated with passage of the Trafficking Act of 2000.

International Prohibitions against Forced Labor

The first international agreement abolishing slavery dates to the League of Nations Slavery Convention of 1926. The convention defined slavery as “the status or condition of a person over whom any or all of the powers attaching to the right of ownership are exercised.” It declared slavery a “crime against humanity” and the slave trader hostis humani generis and an enemy of all humankind over whom any state could hold criminal jurisdiction. Thirty years later the U.N. Supplemental Convention on Slavery proscribed slaverylike practices, including bondage, serfdom, the forcing or sale of a woman into marriage, and the sale of children into labor.

By the early twentieth century, as chattel or legal slavery was fading as a practice, colonial powers had begun imposing mass forced labor on indigenous populations under their control. In response, the International Labor Organization (ILO) adopted Forced Labor Convention No. 29. The ILO Abolition of Forced Labour Convention (No. 105) in 1956, the United Nations Supplementary Convention on the Abolition of Slavery, the Slave Trade, and Institutions and Practices Similar to Slavery in 1956, and the ILO Worst Forms of Child Labour Convention (No. 182) in 1999 further strengthened the international legal framework against forced labor. The United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime in 2000 complements these efforts to combat forced labor.

History of major international prohibitions against slavery and forced labor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Agreement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1926</td>
<td>League of Nations Slavery Convention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1930</td>
<td>ILO Forced Labor Convention (No. 29)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1948</td>
<td>Universal Declaration of Human Rights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1949</td>
<td>Geneva Conventions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1956</td>
<td>United Nations Supplementary Convention on the Abolition of Slavery, the Slave Trade, and Institutions and Practices Similar to Slavery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1957</td>
<td>ILO Abolition of Forced Labour Convention (No. 105)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1966</td>
<td>International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1966</td>
<td>International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>ILO Worst Forms of Child Labour Convention (No. 182)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Not adopted by the United States
29, which outlaws forced labor, defined, with some exceptions, as “all work or service which is exacted from any person under the menace of any penalty and for which the said person has not offered himself voluntarily.” During the Second World War forced labor persisted and took on unprecedented forms. At the height of the Nazi regime, one quarter of Germany’s workforce comprised foreign civilians who worked as forced laborers. During the final months of the regime, large numbers of Jewish and other prisoners held in German concentration camps were compelled to work in a range of economic sectors, including munitions and massive construction projects. The Japanese military also forced as many as seven hundred thousand Koreans, forty thousand Chinese, hundreds of thousands of other Asians, and up to half of the one hundred and forty thousand Allied prisoners to work under brutal conditions in mines, steel plants, and construction. After the war, in 1949, the international community adopted the Geneva Conventions imposing minimum conditions under which prisoners of war and civilians may be forced to work during times of armed conflict.

**International Human Trafficking and Forced Labor**

The first international agreement to prohibit human trafficking was the U.N. 1949 Convention for the Suppression of Trafficking in Persons and the Exploitation of Others. An amalgamation of late nineteenth-and early twentieth-century treaties drafted to address the phenomenon of “white slavery,” the convention defined trafficking solely in terms of prostitution, which limited its ability to combat other forms of human trafficking not linked to sexual exploitation. The 1979 International Convention on the Elimination of Discrimination Against Women went on to call on states to suppress “all forms of traffic in women and exploitation of prostitution of women.” But it was not until 2000 when the international community adopted the Convention Against Transnational Organized Crime and the accompanying Protocol to Prevent, Suppress, and Punish Trafficking in Persons, Especially Women and Children ( Trafficking Protocol) that international law would really begin targeting modern forms of human trafficking.

The Trafficking Protocol adopts a broad definition of trafficking that enshrines three concepts: (1) the movement of individuals (2) through deception or threats (3) for the purpose of exploitation. Exploitation covers a wide range of practices, including sexual exploitation, forced labor, slavery or practices akin to slavery, and the removal of organs. The Trafficking Protocol calls on states to criminalize human trafficking, create measures to prevent it, and address the needs of victims. Preventative measures required by the protocol include dissemination of information, partnerships with civil society to address the issue, and poverty reduction programming.

**Domestic Legislation: The Trafficking Act**

The 2000 Trafficking Act is a bold departure from prior approaches to trafficking and forced labor in the United States. Recognizing that these crimes are global problems, the law established the Office to Monitor and Combat Trafficking in Persons in the U.S. State Department to oversee a wide range of efforts to end human trafficking abroad. The Trafficking Act

"A lot of the credit for passage of the Trafficking Act goes to the people at the grass roots who got the word out, brought members of the U.S. Congress on board, and educated the public that these people were suffering really tragic situations not because of any illegal activity but because they had come to this country seeking a better life.”

U.S. Department of Justice Spokesperson
• criminalizes procuring and subjecting another human being to peonage, involuntary sex trafficking, slavery, involuntary servitude, or forced labor;
• provides social services and legal benefits to survivors of these crimes, including authorization to remain in the country;
• provides funding to support protection programs for survivors in the United States as well as abroad; and
• includes provisions to monitor and eliminate trafficking in countries outside the United States.

Most important, the law distinguishes smuggling—a victimless crime by which migrants cross borders without authorization—from trafficking—a practice by which individuals are induced by force, fraud, trickery, or coercion to enter the United States and then forced to work against their will. The law clearly specifies that those caught up in trafficking and forced labor should be recognized as victims of a crime rather than treated as unauthorized migrants who must be returned to their countries of origin.

Labor and human rights activists welcomed the passage of the Trafficking Act in 2000 for many reasons. First, the new law sharpened the legal teeth of existing sanctions for involuntary servitude, peonage, and slavery by adding new crimes of human trafficking, sex trafficking, forced labor, and document servitude (withholding or destroying documents as part of the trafficking scheme). Trafficking is defined as the prohibition against any individual who provides or obtains labor or services for peonage, slavery, involuntary servitude, or forced labor. The law contains a provision that sanctions trafficking adults into the sex industry through force, fraud, or coercion (in the case of victims under eighteen years old, there is no requirement of force, fraud, or coercion).

Second, the act not only strengthened laws so that traffickers could be held accountable for their crimes, but it provided specific measures to address the unique needs of trafficking victims. To begin with, it offered temporary immigration status to victims of a “severe form of trafficking” (minors who are trafficked for commercial sex and trafficking of adults through deception to work against their will). Through the T visa nonresidents who are willing to cooperate with law enforcement to prosecute their traffickers would be eligible to remain in the United States and to receive the same social service assistance offered to refugees, even where victims have entered the United States without proper immigration documents. Victims who are able to provide information to law enforcement but who
are unable to cooperate fully are also eligible for temporary immigration relief. Family members of victims are also eligible for protection and able to reunite with the survivor in the United States. The act also enabled survivors to receive housing, psychological counseling, and other social service needs.

Finally, the act enlarged the repertoire of law enforcement tools to combat trafficking. It broadened the definition of “coercion” for the new crimes of forced labor and sex trafficking to include psychological manipulation. This meant alleged perpetrators could be held accountable if they caused a victim to believe that his or her failure to comply with their orders would result in serious harm to the victim or to others. As noted above, psychological coercion was previously insufficient to prove involuntary servitude. The law also criminalized the confiscation or destruction of identity or travel documents, a practice traffickers often use to control their victims, and enabled prosecutors to pursue not just the ringleaders but all those involved in a trafficking operation, including recruiters, drivers, and other intermediaries.

Such progress notwithstanding, the Trafficking Act does have some notable shortcomings, the effects of which will be explored more fully in the following sections. Advocates and service providers criticize the essential framework of the Trafficking Act which conditions benefits on the cooperation of survivors with federal law enforcement. Qualified trafficking survivors are eligible for two types of immigration relief—“continued presence” and a T visa—both of which grant authorization to work and entitle survivors to receive social service benefits but which require assistance from law enforcement per-
sonnel to obtain. Only federal law enforcement may request continued presence. Survivors may apply on their own for a T visa. Applicants for a T visa over fifteen years old must document that they are cooperating with law enforcement.

Although not strictly required, an endorsement from federal law enforcement is the preferred evidence of cooperation. State and local law enforcement agencies that encounter trafficking victims are encouraged to refer these cases to the federal government.\textsuperscript{65}

**Relation of the United States to International Trends**

In many ways the United States has been at the forefront of the fight against modern slavery and forced labor. Unlike international law, U.S. domestic legislation recognizes that slavery is defined primarily by the power of an individual to control another for economic gain.\textsuperscript{66} Historically, the federal government has expanded this principle by adopting laws specifically to respond to the evolving nature of forced labor and enslavement. Moreover, while the definitions and philosophy of trafficking in the U.N. Trafficking Protocol and the United States Trafficking Act are similar, the U.S. has adopted a more aggressive, proactive approach to the problem than the one outlined in the international agreement. For example, unlike the Trafficking Protocol, the Trafficking Act contains international monitoring and sanctions provisions. Similarly, while the protocol does not allow victims to seek relief from perpetrators, the Trafficking Act establishes mandatory restitution from convicted traffickers. A recent amendment to the Trafficking Act allows survivors to sue their former captors for civil damages for violations of the statute.

Despite their strengths, both international and U.S. anti-trafficking laws suffer similar weaknesses when it comes to protections for victims. Although the U.N. Protocol and the U.S. Trafficking Act are explicit in recognizing that trafficked individuals are victims of a crime rather than illegal migrants, they are by no means consistent in this victim-centered approach. The Trafficking Protocol makes state provision of immigration relief, social services, and compensation to victims discretionary as opposed to mandatory. While the United States law goes further and establishes regulations to provide immigration status and social services to victims, it provides these benefits only to victims of a “severe form of trafficking” who are involved with the prosecution of their traffickers. Furthermore, conditioning immigration relief and social services on cooperation with prosecution creates the perception that victims are primarily instruments of law enforcement rather than individuals who are, in and of themselves, deserving of protection and restoration of their human rights.

The Trafficking Act also contains “definitional inconsistencies” that may weaken intended protections for trafficking survivors. For example, survivors must show they are a “victim of a severe form of trafficking”—a victim of sex or human trafficking—to be eligible for immigration relief and benefits. According to some advocates, the federal authorities have failed to issue certifications to some trafficking survivors because they felt the allegations of abuse were not “severe enough.”\textsuperscript{67}
Three years after passage of the Trafficking Act, U.S. law enforcement personnel, policymakers, and labor rights advocates are still wrestling with the legal mandates established under the new law. Our research suggests that effective implementation and enforcement of the Trafficking Act will depend on several factors, including training law enforcement officers, particularly at the local level, to identify victims and forced labor operations; improving cooperation and information sharing between federal and state agencies charged with combating forced labor; revising procedures for the handling of survivors; and finding more effective measures for providing survivors with protection, benefits, and compensation.

Identifying Victims

One of the greatest challenges U.S. law enforcement faces is developing the skills to identify victims of trafficking and forced labor. Finding victims, a federal prosecutor in the U.S. Department of Labor said, is “devilishly difficult to do.” There are many reasons why victim identification is complicated. Victims are usually reluctant to approach local police because they fear retribution from their traffickers or “employers.” This fear often stems from their experiences with corrupt law enforcement personnel in their countries of origin. Invariably, exploiters play on this fear by warning their captives that U.S. law enforcement is no different. Luis Rivera, an organizer for the California Rural Legal Assistance, Inc.—a group that has assisted the California asparagus workers—observed that abused workers are reluctant to call the police because “they don’t trust them.”

In some cases, “employers” have given their workers false identities as a means of passing through U.S. immigration. In one case that eventually exposed such a trafficking scheme, Lakireddy Bali Reddy, the California-based sex trafficker, arranged for a man and his sister from his hometown to fraudulently pose as the parents of two teenage sisters so that the two sisters would be allowed to pass through U.S. immigration. Reddy sexually exploited the two teenage sisters until the older sister died of asphyxiation, a result of a blocked exhaust vent in one of his apartments.

Law Enforcement

Law enforcement, particularly at the local level, must develop the capacity to identify not only victims of trafficking and forced labor but the very operations themselves. “Police don’t know trafficking when they see it,” said Martina Vandenberg, formerly of Human Rights Watch. Indeed, the problem is so pervasive the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) has launched a federal training program to help investigators working for the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI), the Department of Homeland Security’s Immigration and Customs Enforcement (formerly the Immigration and Naturalization Service, or INS), and the Department of Labor detect forced labor operations.

An example from the Reddy case study demonstrates why the DOJ training program is necessary. In 1997 the INS investigated Reddy for potential immigration fraud. The INS investigation team found the real-estate tycoon had committed no crimes. Reddy, said the INS district director, was “a professionally educated gentleman, with widespread corporate interests…. There was nothing to indicate any criminal conduct.”

5. Implementation and Enforcement of U.S. Laws
To date, the Department of Justice has no plans to provide similar training to state and local law enforcement officers. Clearly, the sheer number of police departments in the United States—over seventeen thousand—would make such a task daunting. Yet a more targeted approach aimed at training local law enforcement located in areas of the country where forced labor is pervasive could go a long way toward improving detection of these operations. Witness, for example, the forced prostitution case in Florida where local police missed an opportunity to break up the forced prostitution ring simply because they did not know what they were looking for. According to social service providers, police responded to a phone call from one of the female victims by dispatching deputies to an address given to them by the woman caller. When the deputies arrived at the brothel, however, guards managed to dissuade them from carrying through their investigation, and they left without entering the premises. The prostitution ring was only uncovered several months later when a group of women escaped and made their way to the Mexican consulate.

Fragmentation of Law Enforcement

The approach now taken by federal and state agencies to combat forced labor in the United States is fragmentary and inconsistent. This is largely because trafficking is considered a federal crime that must be handled by federal agents. Indeed, until passage of the recent amendments to the Trafficking Act, federal agents had primary authority to certify that a survivor met the definitional threshold to be eligible to receive benefits and protections under the act. This process can be cumbersome and time-consuming and, ultimately, frustrating for the survivor. Likewise, the process of seeking federal certification can involve a great risk to the survivor.

If agents are unwilling to issue such a certification, then the survivor is left exposed. Her trafficker may be aware that she has approached the authorities and seek retribution against her. Without certification, she cannot stay in the United States legally and she faces a grim choice. She must either live in the United States as a permanent undocumented individual, flee to a third country, or risk returning to her home country where the trafficker continues to wield great influence and power.

Not only is the certification process coordinated through the Department of Justice, but the department is also responsible for keeping track of statistics under the act. Federal statistics regarding trafficking cases will not include federal cases that are not appropriately charged, for example, by a federal agency other than DOJ, nor do they include trafficking or forced labor incidents that come to the attention of the state prosecutors. Thus, there is concern among service providers that lack of coordination between the federal government agencies makes it difficult to establish accurate numbers of prosecutions and may prevent survivors from accessing benefits and protection.

A related problem is that local police and state officials unable to identify a forced labor operation necessarily fail to refer such cases to federal agents. This was apparent in the California asparagus case that was never referred to federal law enforcement. JB Farm Labor, the labor contractor who hired the workers and kept them in substandard housing, withheld all but $20 per week of their paychecks to satisfy their transportation “debt” and threatened them with harm if they left. Yet while these facts are strikingly similar to those of the R&A Harvesting case in Florida, a federal investigation took place only after the workers had filed a civil suit against JB Farms Labor Contractor and Victoria Island Farms. Attorneys for the workers contacted the Department of Labor about the case but did not pursue enforce-
ment when the department could not secure assurances from the immigration authorities that they would not take action against the workers. Drawn to the case through a newspaper article, the Department of Labor conducted an investigation, but they did so only after the 2000 season was over and the workers were no longer at the site.

Today there are greater protections for survivors of forced labor, largely because of the T visa program and an agreement reached between the Department of Labor and the Department of Homeland Security which protects undocumented workers from action by immigration officials in connection with labor department investigations. In 1999 the Department of Justice and Department of Labor created the Worker Exploitation Task Force to improve coordination and to increase the prosecution of forced labor cases. In 2001, as required by the Trafficking Act, President George W. Bush created the Inter-Agency Task Force to Monitor and Combat Trafficking. The Inter-Agency Task Force includes the Secretary of State, the Attorney General, the Secretary of Labor, the Secretary of Health and Human Services, the Secretary of Homeland Security, the Director of Central Intelligence, the Director of the Office of Management and Budget, and the administrator of the U.S. Agency for International Development. The mandate of this task force is to coordinate and implement executive policy in order to combat trafficking. In March 2003 the task force created a Senior Policy Advisory Group to advise the task force on important policy issues.

There is the hope that this interagency approach will make the process of investigating and adjudicating trafficking and forced labor cases more efficient and less burdensome for survivors. One encouraging sign is the creation of regional task forces under the umbrella of the Worker Exploitation Task Force throughout the United States. These task forces serve as forums for agencies to share information and coordinate approaches to specific trafficking and forced labor cases. A member of the Department of Labor explained why this interagency approach is so important:

If INS is looking at [a forced labor case] they would be looking to deport people. If we are looking at it, we are looking to pay people. If Justice is looking at it, they are looking to put people in jail. Obviously, that’s a very unsatisfactory way of attacking the problem. The way you must attack the problem is to do it in an interdisciplinary way . . . (s)o that we can deal with specific cases of slavery or peonage as a whole rather than as separate agencies, so that less slips through the cracks.
Despite this progress, government officials familiar with the work of such task forces believe coordination among members of the task force could be improved. They point to interagency rivalries, different approaches to cases based on agency priorities, and the challenges of coordinating a large number of agencies and participants. Despite these criticisms, most agree that such task forces serve an important function and emphasize that there has been more interagency cooperation around trafficking than most other issues. As one member of the Worker Exploitation Task Force noted: “I frankly think that [the task force] works reasonably well at this point. It could always work better. . . What I’ve given you is the model. The closer we come to that, the better off we are.”

Nongovernmental organization advocates support the idea of greater federal interagency cooperation and information exchange in addressing trafficking and forced labor cases. Advocates believe that greater cooperation will lead to the development of alternative ways of providing victim relief for those cases that are not taken up by federal prosecutors. As Jennifer Stanger, Media and Advocacy Director at the Coalition to Abolish Slavery and Trafficking (CAST), has noted, only three or four of the agency’s twenty or thirty trafficking cases have been chosen for federal prosecution. In an interagency scheme, Stanger would like to see the Department of Labor, as a federal enforcement agency, take a greater role in addressing trafficking cases by being able to request benefits and issue certificates of endorsement. “Trafficking,” she says, “is as much a labor issue as it is a criminal one.” She would like to see other federal agencies such as the Department of Labor “have the ability to confer victim status, especially when a case is rejected for criminal prosecution by the Department of Justice.”

Ironically, as awareness about trafficking and forced labor has increased at the level of local law enforcement, new tensions have arisen between state and federal agencies. Victims who cooperate with local authorities are technically eligible for a T visa but run into problems because the Trafficking Act favors documentation of cooperation from federal law enforcement over an endorsement from state officials. The new amendments to the Trafficking Act attempt to make state endorsements equivalent to federal ones but the new law has not yet been implemented. Advocates are watching the certification process carefully. Without access to federal immigration and welfare benefits, victims in state prosecutions are unable to regularize their status and must fall back on state benefits—if available—or private support. The NGO advocate recounted that victims “are surviving on the good will of local citizens to take care of them, and they have no legal status.”

NGO advocates also report that federal officials often refuse to issue endorsements of T visa applications. One service provider attributed this reluctance to the mistaken belief among law enforcement that the benefits are too generous and that “they are giving away a green card” by providing certification. Moreover, agents may wait until the Department of Homeland Security has determined that a victim is a legitimate victim of severe trafficking, or until a prosecution has begun, to issue an endorsement, causing victims to wait months before receiving much-needed benefits. Advocates report that for some victims the dependency on federal authorities for immigration relief, compounded by the pain and discomfort of testifying about their experience, does not serve their needs and dissuades them from cooperating with law enforcement.

NGO advocates also note that middle management in federal investigatory agencies often hinders the enforcement of the Trafficking Act by downplaying the severity of crimes involving forced labor. On several occasions such officials have reassigned experienced and knowledgeable investigators to other
higher priority crimes. “Think of it like a sandwich,” says Jennifer Stanger of CAST. “One slice of the bread is the NGO that has been given funding to do outreach and training and to provide services. The prosecution is the other piece of bread. But the problem is, there is no sandwich meat, which is the investigative part, in the middle. These cases are only as good as the evidence that’s been compiled by the investigators.”84 Advocates note that investigative resources are beginning to be provided but are not yet sufficient.

New Approaches to Law Enforcement

Bringing the needs of victims of trafficking and forced labor into sharp focus and prosecuting their abusers requires a reorientation of all levels of law enforcement as well as an unprecedented degree of coordination between state and federal justice departments. By any standard it is an enormous undertaking but fortunately one that has enjoyed significant support from both the Clinton and Bush administrations.

The Trafficking Act has also helped law enforcement personnel recognize that those ensnared in trafficking and forced labor are victims and not criminals. “One of the important changes in these cases and one of the things that was recognized by the Trafficking Act,” says an official from the DOJ section that prosecutes forced labor cases, “was that we need to treat victims as victims. In the past too often we had to view them as criminals or people who were being quickly deported or prosecuted for prostitution….The big change and the thing that has made our work much more successful is that we do treat them as victims.”85 This approach has paid dividends in a rapid increase, noted earlier, in the number of forced labor cases prosecuted in the past five years.

Key to this increase has been the change in the relationship between law enforcement and victims. Prosecutors understand that to win forced labor cases and appropriately punish offenders requires the trust and cooperation of victims and witnesses. “Obviously, without the cooperation of the victims we can’t win cases,” says the DOJ spokesman. He added:

So it’s of benefit both to the victims but also to law enforcement. I think that’s an enormous change. In the past we used to do a raid, lock up the victims and treat them as if they were as guilty as the defendants. Now, I think we try to deal with them much more humanely. We try to give them services, find them shelter and other. It’s a much different approach that leads to happier, more cooperative victims. And that, in turn, makes us much more successful.86

Our case study of the Thai domestic worker trafficked into the western United States illustrates the DOJ official’s point. Khai cooperated with law enforcement and testified against her former employer. She said the behavior of the federal prosecutor in her case made all the difference: “The U.S. Attorney gave me a new life. He delivered me, like a doctor delivering a baby, to a new life.”87 For Khai, prosecution served to reveal the truth about her perpetrator. She hoped that coming forward to testify would help others. “I had to fight,” she said. “I had to tell the truth, to tell what happened.”88 Because of her experience, Khai believes “justice does exist. I have a different view now on law enforcement, the courts, immigration, and prosecutors.”89 As a result of her cooperation with federal prosecutors, Khai received a T visa in June 2003 which enabled her to stay and work in the United States. She is now looking forward to being reunited with her son, whom she has not seen in over ten years.
Treatment of Survivors

Much of the criticism of the United States’ approach to forced labor stems from the link between prosecution of perpetrators and serving victims. According to one top-level federal prosecutor, attitudes among prosecutors toward forced labor victims vary from “humanitarian”—where the focus is to alleviate the suffering of the victim—to “instrumental”—where victims are seen as necessary to win criminal cases. Under the Trafficking Act prosecutors are pivotal to meeting the needs of victims for social services and fulfilling the criminal enforcement aims of the statute. Yet these aims can at times clash, leaving victims unacknowledged or underserved, perpetrators unindicted, and service providers and law enforcement agents feeling frustrated.

An illustration of this problem is apparent in the case of the Florida citrus workers. In May 2000 a Florida based NGO, the Coalition of Immokalee Workers (CIW), began investigating the plight of migrant workers employed by R&A Harvesting. CIW got involved after R&A Harvesting employees accused the driver of a van transporting migrant workers of “stealing” workers and severely beat the driver. Shortly thereafter CIW urged the Department of Justice to investigate what seemed to be a clear case of forced labor in Florida’s citrus groves. Federal investigators, however, initially declined to pursue the case because, without adequate resources to investigate, they felt they could not prove involuntary servitude without victims who would be willing to testify. In response, CIW sent one of its own members to the camp, undercover, to document abuses. Even with this evidence, prosecutors wanted other witnesses, all of whom were trapped in the camp and terrified to leave for fear of what their boss might do to them. A year after the assault on the van driver, in April 2001, several CIW members went to the labor camp and handed out cards with the group’s telephone number. The next day four workers called the organization asking for help to escape. Within hours, two cars driven by members of the group met the workers just outside the camp. The workers quickly jumped in and ducked down under the seats, too afraid to sit up until they were twenty miles away from their captors. The escaped captives agreed to be witnesses. With their help, prosecutors convicted Ramos, his two brothers, and a cousin of a host of charges, including conspiracy to hold workers in indentured servitude, extortion, and firearms charges.90 Despite a successful resolution, almost a year had elapsed between the time when CIW first brought the case to the attention of prosecutors and the time when prosecutors had the evidence they wanted to charge the Ramos family. Meanwhile, the workers had continued to toil under slavelike conditions.

What makes for a good prosecution does not always serve the immediate safety needs of victims. On average, the investigation and prosecution of trafficking and forced labor cases takes between eight months and three years to complete, during which time victims may remain in situations of forced labor or in fear of their captors and associates.

When victims are liberated from situations of forced labor, their treatment by law enforcement varies greatly. For example, in the forced prostitution ring case—a case that was discovered before passage of the Trafficking Act—the victims found themselves imprisoned in a detention center while their perpetrators ran free. Federal agents began raiding the Cadena brothels in November 1997, arresting both victims and perpetrators. Agents then held the victims in a detention center, while many of the criminal ringleaders evaded arrest. An FBI agent involved in the case explained: “We couldn’t let the witnesses loose because they want to go home—we’d lose them all. It just happened that way.”91 To ensure that the victims were not deported, the investigating border patrol agent had to call immigration authorities every
day to ensure the witnesses would not be deported. “Had we missed a day,” the FBI agent said, “we would’ve lost them.”

This detention was bad for both the victims and law enforcement. The prosecution team needed to earn the trust of the women freed from forced labor so they would testify against their captors. Yet their detention confirmed to them what the perpetrators had always told the women: if they were caught by the authorities, they would be “in prison for the rest of [their] lives.”

**Benefits, Protection, and Compensation**

Because of the passage of the Trafficking Act, law enforcement can now offer immigration protection to victims and thus assuage their fear of deportation. For example, federal officials used the “continued presence” provision of the Trafficking Act to bring approximately two hundred Vietnamese workers to the United States from American Samoa in connection with the Kil-Soo Lee case. Lee, a Korean businessman who owned a garment factory on the island, had withheld food, locked the gates of the plant, and forced the victims to work there ostensibly to pay off their transportation “debt” to him. In a massive effort law enforcement coordinated with social service providers to arrange transportation and housing for the victims to resettle in Hawaii and in the mainland United States. With the assistance of legal and social service providers, these victims are currently in the process of applying for T visas and making plans to be reunited with family members. Alternatives to detention of victims are clearly vital to achieving the humanitarian and law enforcement goals of the Trafficking Act.

Yet for every victim who is willing to come forward and testify for prosecutors, there are many who are unable or unwilling to do so. As a result, the victims will not receive immigration relief or other benefits under the Trafficking Act. Jennifer Stanger, at CAST, estimates that only fifty percent of their clients wish to cooperate in the prosecution of their perpetrators. “Even though somebody has been beaten and abused,” she says, “it may not be a priority for them to see … [the perpetrator] go to jail.” Some survivors simply desire to return home and never see their traffickers again. Many advocates believe that immigration relief and permission to work should be granted to survivors automatically and not linked to cooperation with a prosecution.

Survivors of forced labor often fear pressing charges against their former captors because it could result in harm to themselves and their families. For example, after U.S. authorities arrested Lakireddy Bali Reddy in January 2000, journalists reported that many of the parents of Reddy’s victims living in Velvadam, India, were fearful of reprisals. In May 2000, a group of assailants attacked a long-time critic of Reddy and his family while they were asleep at their home in Velvadam and doused the residents with acid. Days later, the critic died from his injuries. A five-year-old victim was badly burned and the legs of the third victim, a woman, were also disfigured. Shortly after the Indian authorities launched an investigation into the attack, a key witness was killed. The motivation for the attack still remains unclear.

In July 2000, the United States immigration authorities took the unusual step of bringing some of the families of Reddy’s victims to the United States for their protection. Yet in California, the Reddy victims were threatened. Soon after their liberation from their former captor, two men dressed as police officers—at least one of them carrying a gun—attempted to gain entry to the domestic violence shelter where two of Reddy’s victims were housed. One of the women collapsed. Both women were then rushed to a
local hospital for treatment. Authorities later moved them to a local Air Force base while service providers looked for suitable housing.

Even after conviction, survivors and their families may remain in danger, whether they return to their home countries or remain in the United States. Several of the perpetrators charged in the forced prostitution case escaped to Mexico, living in the same town as the victims and their families where they continued to threaten and harass their former captives. One witness testified: “They have even threatened to bring our younger sisters to the United States and force them to work in brothels as well.” Similarly, in the case against R&A Harvesting, a witness still feels that his life could be in danger. He believes there were more perpetrators involved than the three men arrested for the attack and that these men may harm him one day. “I still don’t like to go out, like at night to the dances in town,” he said. “I keep thinking that someone could be one of the Ramos guys looking for revenge. I live with that fear.”

Similarly, Khai, the Thai domestic worker, is desperate to have her son and daughter leave Thailand before her captor completes her sentence. “[My captor] is in prison now,” she said. “After she is released, I believe something will happen because law enforcement is different in Thailand.” Her former “employer” had once told Khai, perhaps as a warning, that a “hit man” could be hired in Thailand for $200-$300. Vulnerability of family members abroad causes much anxiety and grief to forced labor victims in the United States. For victims, pursuing justice raises the stakes, and the threats of perpetrators—often powerful members of the communities in which they live and recruit—are quite credible. Several Indian workers who were trafficked for forced labor at John Pickle Company (JPC) reported that “Gulam” Pesh Imam, an executive with Al-Samit International, the company that had recruited them, had threatened their families. After the Indian workers began escaping the John Pickle Company factory, an Al-Samit agent reportedly phoned JPC to ask one of the Indian lead workers about the whereabouts of one of the escaped workers. The Al-Samit agent is alleged to have said that the police in India were looking for the escaped worker and that “[the worker’s] brother had been taken by the police and beaten up.” “If Gulam [Pesh Imam] knows I am coming [home], he will arrange to have someone meet me at the airport,” one of the workers told the American court. “Gulam has shown that he is a violent man…Many families in India have been threatened or contacted by Gulam or his agents. I have no doubt that if I were to return, my family and me would be in danger.”

Despite these real dangers and the Trafficking Act’s mandate to protect trafficking survivors, federal authorities have been unable to protect family members of survivors from retributions in their countries of origin. U.S. law enforcement has no authority to intervene directly when acts of retribution take place beyond its borders. While family members have the option of relocating to the United States through the T visa process, that process can take years and is not suited to addressing crisis situations. Emergency relocation is available but not routinely used. Unfortunately, help at the local level in the country of origin, where it could be most effective, is seldom an option. Corruption or indifference of local police and government authorities in the country of origin frequently leave family members and repatriated survivors with nowhere to turn for help. The influence of the perpetrator may hold sway over a survivor across international boundaries and across the span of years. Khai, the Thai woman forced to do domestic work, is painfully aware that her perpetrator’s release date of 2006 is quickly approaching and that she only has a few years to arrange for family members to flee Thailand and seek safety in other countries. Thus, the inability to meet a global problem with a global response may leave victims of forced labor reluctant to step forward and thus jeopardize future arrests and prosecutions of perpetrators.
Police indifference and the victim’s fear of retribution are compounded by the stature of traffickers in source countries. In at least five of the eight case studies researched for this report, the perpetrators held positions of great wealth and influence in home countries. The Reddy family in Velvadam, India, the Satia family in Cameroon, and the Cadena family in Veracruz, Mexico, are all very wealthy families who are well respected by economically and politically powerful sectors in their respective communities. Survivors reported that “Gulam” Pesh Imann, an executive of Al-Samit International, the recruitment company responsible for bringing the Indian men to work at John Pickle Company, was said to have connections to organized crime in India. Supawan Veerapool, who enslaved Khai, the Thai domestic worker, was the common law wife of Thailand’s ambassador to Sweden and is thus politically connected at the highest levels of Thai government.

A consequence of forced labor is that when freed, survivors are usually left with little or no resources to rebuild their lives. While no comprehensive figures are available, some survivors have sued their former captors to collect the money they are owed. The record so far has been mixed. The American Samoan garment workers, for example, thought they had secured a victory after Lee reached an agreement in 1999 with the Department of Labor to pay the workers back wages. Lee went so far as to deposit the wages in the workers’ bank accounts. Then he sent employees to accompany workers to the bank and they forced the workers to return the money, threatening to fire those who refused and to return those who sought legal help to Vietnam. In a subsequent class action suit, workers won judgments in the range of $3,000-$7,683, in addition to $2,500 each for damages. In the criminal case against Reddy, he was required to pay $2 million in restitution to four victims.

Others have not been so fortunate. A judge ordered the Ramos family to pay the U.S. government $3 million, but the former captives received nothing. In the forced prostitution case, a federal judge ordered the perpetrators to pay $1 million to the women they had forced to work as prostitutes. The ringleader pled poverty and paid nothing, but subsequently attorneys for the women have managed to recover some small sums.

The Trafficking Act currently directs violators to pay restitution to their former captives. Under the act survivors may recover funds for “any costs incurred” for physical, psychiatric, or psychological care, and . . . any other losses suffered.” Recent changes to the Trafficking Act allow survivors of forced labor to sue their perpetrators and collect damages. In cases in which prosecutors win convictions, survivors are able to use a criminal judgment to establish their claims once the criminal proceeding is concluded. Even if federal criminal charges were never filed, survivors now may file suit in a federal court and thus avoid relying on state laws that may not be tailored to address forced labor and trafficking violations.

Survivors of Reddy’s trafficking and forced labor scheme filed a civil suit to gain compensation from him for their losses. The goal of the suit was to strip Reddy of his wealth and thus diminish his standing in both California and India. The parties settled the case shortly after trial began in April 2004. The sister of the victim who died in Reddy’s apartment and her family received $8.9 million. They also received a portion of the $2 million in restitution money awarded in the earlier criminal case. Two other survivors who were not sex victims received $60,000 each.
Those subjected to forced labor are at significant risk of developing health related problems, ranging from minor to life-threatening. Because forced labor is largely hidden from public view, its health and medical consequences have never been systematically studied in the United States. Yet our case studies as well as morbidity and mortality studies of refugee populations and workers in industry and agriculture provide a general picture of the health needs of victims of forced labor. The health status of forced labor victims can be considered in four discrete but interdependent phases: predeparture, journey, forced labor, and postrelease.

Predeparture

Our study found that most victims of forced labor were trafficked into the United States and that they came from impoverished areas of the world. Poverty is an important indicator of health, and many diseases, such as tuberculosis (TB), hepatitis B and C, and sexually transmitted diseases, are more prevalent in impoverished populations where access to adequate health care is limited or nonexistent. Because many forced labor victims circumvent formal medical screenings for migrants, many will arrive in the United States without proper immunizations and bearing communicable diseases. Studies have found that even legal migrants to the United States have caused TB caseloads to increase, largely because those infected had not received proper care in their home countries. In one study thirty-one to forty-seven percent of migrant farm workers tested on the East and West Coasts of the United States were TB positive, and those groups were six times more likely to develop TB than the general population.

Journey

Smuggling and some forms of trafficking of migrants constitutes the most dangerous form of migration. This illegal process can take place within a country, across a single border, or through multiple borders. During the journey, which may last only a day or a matter of months, trafficked and smuggled migrants are exposed to grave risks of injury or death. In addition, in long and complicated journeys, these migrants may be exposed to illnesses and diseases, including malaria, or find preexisting illnesses become aggravated along the route.

Trafficked and smuggled migrants enter the United States by several different modes of transportation, including aircraft, boat, and overland vehicles, all of which can pose potential health risks. People who are hidden among cargo shipments during transport risk injury or death by drowning, freezing, or suffocating, or by being crushed or exposed to toxic materials. The potential for health complications is further exacerbated by overcrowding, lack of food, poor sanitation, severe dehydration, and environmental extremes. In May 2003, for example, seventeen undocumented migrants from Mexico and Central America perished from asphyxiation and heat stroke inside an abandoned tractor-trailer located in southern Texas. As many as one hundred people had been packed inside the trailer.

In transit, power is displaced between traffickers and migrants to create an ongoing relationship of dependency that can complicate a survivor’s recovery in the post-release phase. This is particularly true for young trafficking victims who have been kidnapped or entrusted to the care of traffickers by their parents or other family members. Traffickers often confiscate personal identification and travel docu-
Violence and forced prostitution in Florida

The Mexican women forced to work as prostitutes in Florida suffered serious physical injuries as a result of the violence they endured at the hands of their traffickers and "clients." The traffickers repeatedly raped girls in order to "initiate" them to sexual servitude. Customers also threatened and beat the women. "Most of the clients had weapons," a victim recalled. "If I did not do what they wanted me to do sexually, they beat me." Several of the victims became pregnant and were forced to have abortions. In one instance the ringleader Rogerio brutally kicked one of the pregnant women in the stomach, causing her to have a miscarriage.


Victims of forced labor increase their susceptibility to ill health because they often are forced to live in overcrowded housing with poor sanitation. In the case against Kil-Soo Lee, one survivor, Tuyen, described thirty-six workers sharing one to two showers and two to five toilets. There was no hot water or toilet paper. The bathroom facilities were not maintained and some remained permanently broken. Sometimes in the mornings the lines to use the facilities were so long that not everyone had an opportunity to do so before having to present for work. Those who missed out had to wait for a meal break to use the toilet or to wash their face. Drinking water was only available in the bathroom.

A similar situation prevailed in the Florida citrus harvesting case, where forty or more workers slept in a large trailer. Worse yet were the living conditions of the Florida forced prostitution victims. The women and girls were made to live and work in filthy, rubbish-strewn trailers, duplexes, and houses that were located in isolated agricultural areas accessible only by dirt roads running through citrus groves. The windows were broken or boarded up. Inside, soiled mattresses were strewn on the floor. The women were forced to service strangers and sleep on those same mattresses each night. The mattresses were separated from each other, if at all, only by hanging sheets. Garbage and used condoms littered the brothels.
The psychological consequences of forced labor remain largely unexplored. Despite the paucity of research data, we do know that when people enter exploitative work situations against their will, they risk losing their sense of personal efficacy and control, attributes that mental health professionals have long considered essential to good mental and physical health. Under the control of their traffickers or “employers,” victims may experience feelings of isolation, shame, and betrayal. They may fear being sent home without any money, especially if deceived by a promise of bona fide employment. They may experience or witness repeated threats and verbal abuse, involuntary confinement, torture, and sexual assault. A manager at the Daewoosa garment factory in American Samoa, for example, frequently sexually abused female Vietnamese workers by slipping into their sleeping quarters at night. Removed from their family and friends, forced labor victims lack a social support network to help cope with these traumatic assaults. Some, like the victims in the Florida forced prostitution ring, turn to drugs and alcohol, where available, as a coping mechanism. “I would go to bed drunk because it was the only way I could fall asleep,” one victim said.

All of these conditions can cause forced labor victims to lose their sense of control and become increasingly dependent on those who hold them captive, if merely to survive. Captivity brings the victim into prolonged contact with the perpetrator and creates a special type of relationship, one of coercive control. “In situations of captivity,” writes psychiatrist Judith Herman, “the perpetrator becomes the most powerful person in the life of the victim, and the psychology of the victim is shaped by the actions and beliefs of the perpetrator.” The methods of establishing control, she adds, are based on “the organized techniques of disempowerment and disconnection . . . [so as] to instill terror and helplessness and to destroy the victim’s sense of self in relationship to others.” As victims become more isolated, they grow “increasingly dependent on the perpetrator, not only for survival and basic bodily needs but also for information and even for emotional sustenance.”

Lakireddy Bali Reddy used techniques of disempowerment and disconnection to create dependency among the teenage girls he trafficked into the United States. Because of their age and low-caste status, the girls were already susceptible to the power and dominance of a higher caste male who was older than most of their fathers. Reddy frequently rewarded the younger girls with gifts and favorable treatment. If girls exhibited maturity, they would cease to receive such favorable treatment. Since Reddy was constantly bringing younger girls into his estate, it was to a survivor’s distinct advantage not to “grow up.” For this reason, according to one of the attorneys in the case, one of the survivor’s psychological and emotional development has been grossly stunted.

Over a period of time Reddy brought his favorite girls to Berkeley, California to provide him with sex and to work in his restaurants and apartment buildings. Once in the United States, Reddy’s control over the girls intensified—they were now in a foreign land under fraudulent circumstances where they did not speak the language or understand the customs. Housed in Reddy’s apartments and fed at his restaurants, the girls were prevented from going to school and having contact with people outside of the Reddy network. To reinforce his control Reddy frequently beat the girls or threatened to turn them in to the authorities. One of Reddy’s victims, a girl who had been brought to Berkeley at a very young age, exhibited a great loyalty to him. She, in fact, helped to hold down other girls while Reddy raped them. This ultimately caused some confusion for police investigators who apparently mistook her for a possi-
ble perpetrator and held her in jail for over a month. Court records show that some of Reddy’s victims still bear psychological scars, including depression, reoccurring nightmares, and panic attacks, as a result of their captivity, sexual abuse, and dependency.

In the case of Khai, the Thai domestic worker, the trafficker used similar methods of intimidation and separation, including reminding Khai of her employer’s higher socio-economic status. Khai’s employer, Veerapool, would often jab a finger at her and say, “Do you know who you are? Do you know who I am?”—the implication being that Khai was from a lower class and that the perpetrator was an important and respected person. Veerapool also trained her to be physically “lower” than she was. If her employer sat in a chair, the domestic servant had to sit on the floor. The servant served her employer’s party guests as she served her employer, on her knees, to reinforce her lowly status. In an effort to isolate her, the employer forbade Khai to talk to neighbors or shopkeepers. Khai was allowed to answer the telephone but not to make outgoing calls.

Louisa Satia and her husband used the same kind of tactics to denigrate and isolate sixteen-year-old Maryse in their Washington, D.C., apartment. Maryse’s employers forbade her to leave the apartment complex, warning that they would deport her if she did. One morning, as Maryse was sending the children off to school, she stopped to talk to a man at a bus stop near the apartment complex. Louisa happened to see the encounter and later beat Maryse. On other occasions, Louisa would forcibly cut Maryse’s hair, put glue and soft drink in her hair, and spray cleaning liquid in her eyes. Louisa’s husband also sexually assaulted Maryse by exposing his genitals to her, attempting to take off her clothes, and trying to touch her breasts. In an effort to keep her from communicating with her parents, her employers confiscated Maryse’s letters and tried to convince her that her parents were indifferent to her situation.

**Physical Health**

The physical consequences of forced labor are many and varied. Because forced labor is largely a clandestine practice, it is also likely that many of these health problems go untreated for long periods of time. Perpetrators of forced labor frequently use violence as a means of “breaking” and controlling their workers. Vietnamese workers at the garment factory in American Samoa reported that Samoan guards and workers frequently beat them if they complained about their working conditions. The factory managers, the workers said, encouraged the beatings as a form of punishment or as a way to maintain order. In one incident, a Samoan worker in the process of beating several workers thrust a PVC pipe into the left eye of a female employee, causing her to lose the eye. Violence-related injuries associated with forced labor include bruises, broken bones, head wounds, stab wounds, and mouth and teeth injuries.

Because they are at the mercy of their captors, victims of forced labor are rarely in control of their own health-care decisions. Women and men who are forced into prostitution face the risk of contracting HIV because they may lack the power to insist on the use of condoms. Women who become pregnant while in captivity lack access to appropriate prenatal care or are forced to abort. Some resort to unsafe abortions. Women can suffer severe consequences as a result of undiagnosed and untreated gynecological infections and complications, including pelvic inflammatory disease, chronic pelvic pain, ectopic pregnancy, and sterility.
Forced labor victims who become malnourished in captivity are at risk of developing long-term illnesses. Our study found that perpetrators of forced labor failed to provide their workers with adequate nutrition and at times withheld food as a form of punishment. In February 2002 the New York Times, citing an unpublished report from the Department of Labor, wrote that the Vietnamese workers at the American Samoa garment factory looked like “walking skeletons” and that their “diet, consisting primarily of watery broth of rice and cabbage, is of a type and quality that may lead to malnutrition.” The newspaper revealed that the factory management “admits that they withhold meals from employees as a form of punishment when workers complain about food.”¹³¹ A nutritional expert who testified on behalf of the workers in the civil case before the High Court of American Samoa argued that because of the absence of fresh vegetables and fruit, the meals failed to meet U.S. Department of Agriculture nutritional guidelines.¹³²

According to one of the garment workers, the first in the serving line might get some solid ingredients in the soup but the last in line would get only broth. She described sending word to new workers to bring seeds with them so that they could plant vegetables. They grew cabbages but it was not enough to feed them. Another garment worker described meals of rice, cabbage, and potatoes that did not provide him with enough nutrition to do the work that was expected of him and left him hungry.¹³³ Likewise, the asparagus harvesters testified that although they were provided between two to three meals per day, they were at times fed spoiled eggs and meat, the food was often overcooked or undercooked, and they often went hungry.¹³⁴

The Oklahoma factory workers also reported suffering from malnourishment. At the Pickle plant, the owner John Pickle allegedly maintained complete control over the food purchases and rationed the daily food allowance. The cooks testified that the kitchen was too small and that they lacked the appropriate utensils to cook meals for fifty-two workers.¹³⁵ Workers testified that the cooks were made to cut whole apples into fourths and serve half-glasses of milk as a way to ration food.¹³⁶ When the workers complained, Pickle and his agents allegedly told the workers to be quiet and accept it or they would be sent back to India.

Forced labor victims working in agriculture, construction, and manufacturing are at risk of developing repetitive strain injuries, chronic back pain, and visual and respiratory problems if they fail to receive proper medical care.¹³⁷ If these conditions remain untreated, they can become debilitating and require long-term treatment and rehabilitation.

Access to Health Care

Perpetrators of forced labor maintain near total control over their victims, including access to health care. Perpetrators expressly cultivate a fear of law enforcement and other “outsiders” among laborers.¹³⁸ Even if movement is less restricted, victims often decide not to seek health care or risk the consequences of “breaking the rules” because they fear reprisals from their captors. Three survivors of the California asparagus worker case and the Florida citrus worker case had no access to medical care in the labor camp where they were housed. In each case, armed guards patrolled the camp at night to ensure that no one tried to leave. No health professionals visited the camp, and workers used their own painkillers and other medications to ease their ailments. In the case of the Oklahoma factory workers, victims reported suffering from work-related injuries but were denied access to proper medical care. In one instance, a work-
er stated he became ill and received no medical help. Even when a fellow American worker tried to take him to the doctor, the company owner allegedly stopped them and forced the worker to stay and work.\footnote{139} Some workers claim they suffered injuries, such as eye infections or vision impairment, for which they were denied treatment.\footnote{140}

Even if victims have a means of leaving their premises, they may be reluctant to seek professional care because they are unfamiliar with U.S. currency, unsure of how to use local transport, unable to speak English, or fear health providers may report them to immigration or labor officials.\footnote{141} While Khai, the Thai domestic worker, traveled almost daily from her employer’s house to the restaurant, she was forbidden to speak to any neighbors or shopkeepers she might encounter en route or near the restaurant.\footnote{142} Because of the clandestine nature of forced labor, victims may receive regular but unsanitary and inadequate care under the strict supervision of their employer.\footnote{143} Finally, without access to proper health care, victims can potentially pass on communicable diseases to members of the community where they are held captive.

**Postrelease**

The most immediate needs of those who survive forced labor are safety and housing. Some survivors may require immediate hospitalization or specialized medical care. But most will be able to forgo medical screening and medical care until after they have settled into their new surroundings. It is important, however, that survivors undergo screenings to identify any preexisting or acquired health problems. Health professionals who are familiar with migrant health issues usually are best suited to conduct screenings, as diseases common in a survivor’s country of origin may not be commonly recognized in the United States. Screening should include questions about the patient’s labor conditions, housing, past and current medical history, and nutrition. Social and cultural factors will significantly influence how survivors present health problems to service providers. If a survivor is from a country where similar health services are not available, she may find it difficult to trust care providers. Alternatively, services may not correspond to the kind of help survivors think they need.

While forced labor victims may suffer mental health effects including post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) as a result of their enslavement,\footnote{147} the nature, severity, and prevalence of these effects after release from captivity requires further research. Studies in groups of undocumented migrants indicate that the prevalence of psychiatric disease, including depression and suicide, is higher in these populations.\footnote{148} In the case of children, the Pan American Health Organization reports that “traumatic sexualization, betrayal, powerlessness, and stigmatization involved in sexual exploitation are particularly damaging to child and adolescent development and can lead to various types of psychiatric morbidity.”\footnote{149}

Children who witness acts of violence or repeated sexual abuse are at significant risk of developing PTSD, among other disorders.\footnote{150} Psychologists have noted, for example, that one of Reddy’s victims may be plagued with psychological problems for the remainder of her life because of the sexual abuse she suffered and because Reddy forced her to watch as he sexually abused her older sister.\footnote{151} People diagnosed with PTSD tend to repeatedly relive the ordeal through nightmares, flashback episodes, and memories. They may also avoid reminders of the event and experience excessive alertness. Other common symptoms include emotional numbness, depression, irritability, inability to sleep, difficulty concentrating or completing tasks, and outbursts of anger. These symptoms can be severe and last long enough to have a significant adverse effect on the individual’s daily life.\footnote{152}
Despite the suffering from trafficking, Florrie Burke, a mental health expert with Safe Horizon, notes that traditional psychotherapy may not be appropriate for survivors: “Many times they’re not ready for it right away. That comes later, when they’re ready to deal with it. Sometimes all someone wants is a paying job, and processing [the experience] comes later.” Forced labor survivors who seek mental health services need to perceive the physician or therapist as part of a secure environment. Finding culturally appropriate mental health services presents another challenge, as Nancy Hormachea, an attorney in the Reddy case, noted: “All the survivors [in the Reddy case] had psychological issues, yet it has been nearly impossible to provide them with good therapy. Western-style therapy is foreign to them and not culturally appropriate. … Most refused to go because they didn’t like it and they couldn’t keep their scheduled appointments. I’m not sure that they derived any benefit from it. There has to be another model for psychotherapeutic help.” According to Hormachae, many of Reddy’s victims felt stigmatized by the very fact that they were experiencing emotional difficulties and in need of therapeutic assistance. “You have to understand that…[there] are derogatory sayings about people who seek [psychological] help [in the village] where these girls come from,” Hormachae said. “They are seen as being ‘crazy’ and described as being like ‘a chained, rabid dog.’”

People who survive forced labor and participate in the T visa program must agree to participate actively in the prosecution of their trafficker or employer. But a decision to participate in potentially contentious legal proceedings should not be taken lightly. Studies of torture survivors indicate that to achieve a favorable therapeutic outcome, survivors must have an acceptable self-concept and see the world as a fairly secure and predictable place. Courtrooms are hardly safe and secure environments for the recounting of traumatic events. Judges can—and often do—admonish witnesses who stray from the facts, which in turn can frustrate victims intent on telling their story publicly. The adversarial nature of trials also can result in unanticipated and unexpected events in the courtroom. The sight of the defendant, a long-repressed memory, or the sight of a loved one or co-worker can devastate even the most confident witness. So can the hardscrabble of cross-examination as defense attorneys set out to poke holes in a witness’s testimony or impugn his or her credibility. Witnesses may also feel that the court does not “respect” them, especially if they have to endure an intense cross-examination. Based on research on victims and witnesses who have appeared before the International Criminal Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia, the level of fear or concern experienced by witnesses throughout the pretrial process depends on the stability and safety of living conditions, the amount of time elapsed between the end of the war and their participation in the trial, fear of reprisals that might affect a witness’s children, and whether the witness lived in the same town or village as the accused and his family. To avoid further trauma all of these factors need to be considered when attorneys approach forced labor survivors to testify against their former traffickers and/or employers.
While the Trafficking Act has greatly amplified the federal government’s role in investigating and prosecuting forced labor cases in the United States, the job of providing basic social and legal services to survivors has fallen squarely on the shoulders of nongovernmental organizations (NGOs) and social service agencies. With limited resources, these groups must identify forced labor survivors, attend to their immediate needs for physical safety and housing, refer them for health care, facilitate their access to protection and rehabilitative services, and help them return to their countries of origin or begin new lives in the United States. Yet according to our survey of forty-nine social service providers, fewer than half of these agencies are able to meet these needs.

**Identifying Survivors**

Social service providers and legal advocates must be able to assess whether a person seeking their assistance is a survivor of forced labor. Yet most of these professionals, through no fault of their own, lack the skills to do so. Until recently, service providers and legal advocates encountered relatively few, if any, forced labor survivors in their daily work. With the passage of the Trafficking Act in 2000, however, that situation has changed rapidly, so much so that a growing number of service agencies and advocacy groups are developing criteria to help their staff distinguish forced labor survivors from other types of clients.

Survivors gain their freedom and come to service providers and legal advocates in a variety of ways. In six of our case studies, victims received initial assistance from a “Good Samaritan” or from a local social service agency. In the case of Khai, the enslaved Thai domestic worker, it was a Good Samaritan who first brought Khai to the Thai Community Development Center (CDC), a nonprofit organization in Los Angeles serving Thai immigrants. The CDC helped Khai find shelter and later a permanent home and a job. The organization also referred Khai’s case to federal prosecutors and throughout the trial served as her liaison with the office of the prosecutor. In some cases, forced labor survivors are discovered by chance. Over time, however, one NGO has learned how to investigate forced labor operations in agriculture. Laura Germino with the Coalition of Immokalee Workers explains how her organization uncovered a recent forced labor operation: “The guy first called in to say his employer owed him money. The crew-leader hadn’t paid him. So we invited [the laborer] to come to the meetings. We began...
trying to get the money back for him, and during the course of the cobro [recovery of unpaid wages], we learned that there was a slavery operation.”159

Some forced labor victims have even been liberated by local law enforcement personnel, as happened in the Reddy case. Local law enforcement agents could play a more active role in identifying and ending forced labor operations, but most lack training in the identification of forced labor operations and continue to view people in such situations as illegal immigrants and undocumented workers. In more isolated areas of the United States, local law enforcement complaisance has benefited employers who use forced labor. In recent years there has been a growing recognition among government agencies and private organizations that finance anti-trafficking efforts of the role that nongovernmental organizations, such as members of the Freedom Network, can play in educating and training local police to identify and refer victims of forced labor to appropriate service providers and federal authorities.

Safety, Housing, and Protection

The first priority in assisting survivors of forced labor is to ensure their safety. Not all survivors are at the same risk of harm, so their security needs vary. In most parts of the United States service providers, community groups, and advocates have taken the lead in protecting forced labor survivors, including managing survivors’ privacy and housing to shield them from retribution or unwanted contact with an alleged perpetrator’s defense attorneys.

“The important thing,” says Laura Germino of the Coalition of Immokalee Workers (CIW), “is to place survivors in a community [group] that will ‘ground’ them ... make them feel safe and comfortable ... and help them understand the process of the federal investigation and prosecution.”160 In the case of the Florida citrus pickers, Germino’s organization provided peer counseling for the liberated workers, while the local Catholic church gave them clothes and toiletries. Another local religious organization provided housing and paid for their phone calls to their families in Mexico. This coordinated effort created a safe environment that enabled the workers to speak freely about their experience to federal investigators.

Not all efforts to coordinate security arrangements for survivors have gone smoothly. In the case against JB Farm Labor Contractor/Victoria Island Farms, the asparagus harvesters’ attorney had initially contacted the U.S. Department of Labor (DOL) for assistance in investigating violations of federal labor law. Before revealing the identity of any of the parties, the attorney asked the local DOL representative for assurances that the workers would not be detained and deported by the Immigration and Naturalization Service (now U.S. Immigration and Custom Enforcement). In the end, the DOL acknowledged it could not provide such assurances and the attorney, wishing to protect her clients, declined to involve the DOL.161
Social service agencies report that finding appropriate housing for survivors has been one of their greatest challenges. In 2004, for example, the Coalition to Abolish Slavery and Trafficking (CAST) was finally able to open its first shelter for forced labor survivors—indeed, it was the first of its kind to be inaugurated in the United States. Before that time, the organization had housed clients in two apartment units and, when those units were filled, in hotels and shelters operated by other groups.\textsuperscript{162}

Housing for survivors of forced labor must serve two purposes. First, it should provide a safe and comfortable environment. Second, the facility should serve as protective barrier that screens survivors from unwanted intrusions. The facility’s staff should function as “survivor advocates” by assisting their clients’ access to needed services and helping them to make decisions about their future. If the survivor wishes to cooperate with law enforcement, the staff should be able to help facilitate such interviews.

As a rule, forced labor survivors should not be placed in shelters for the homeless or with victims of domestic violence. Survivors have reported feeling extremely uncomfortable in homeless shelters, especially if fellow lodgers have substance abuse or mental health problems. Similarly, women liberated from forced prostitution have reported feeling shunned or discriminated against in shelters for domestic violence victims.\textsuperscript{163} Such facilities are often poorly equipped to meet the needs of forced labor survivors.

Nalini Shekar, the director of a shelter in northern California which has housed both victims of domestic violence and trafficking, said that programs designed for domestic violence victims, such as support groups, may not be appropriate for trafficking survivors who have been socially isolated and under the control of a trafficker for a significant amount of time. Such shelters, she added, often lack adequate security measures to protect trafficking survivors from perpetrators, particularly if they are part of a highly organized, extensive, and well-financed trafficking network.\textsuperscript{164} Many of Shekar’s concerns were borne out in the Reddy case by the attempt of an intruder to break into the shelter and find two of the survivors.

Forced labor survivors are not the only ones in need of protection. Persons who witness violent incidents involving forced labor or human trafficking as well as private citizens and organizations that help survivors escape risk retaliation from perpetrators. In the Florida citrus pickers case, the perpetrators or their associates reportedly menaced the homes of several staff members of CIW who had help liberate the workers. Despite repeated requests, the local police failed to answer the organization’s calls for pro-
tection. Only after the NGO reported the threats to the justice department prosecutor who headed the investigation did local law enforcement, in conjunction with federal agents, monitor the situation more closely.

**Prosecution and Benefits**

Forced labor survivors (and their service providers) are often caught in a bind when they try to balance their desire for justice and benefits with their needs for privacy and security. The Trafficking Act requires that forced labor survivors share information about themselves (and possibly others in a similar situation) with federal law enforcement agents in order to receive federal benefits. But in doing so survivors may be increasing their vulnerability in at least two ways. First, by alerting law enforcement to their presence, survivors without legal immigration status risk deportation if their account is found to lack credibility. Second, alleged perpetrators who are defendants in criminal proceedings have a right to review information provided by survivors to federal investigation. As a result, survivors and their families may be at a greater risk for retaliation. According to CAST’s Jennifer Stanger, these dilemmas have had “a chilling effect” on survivors who wish to apply for T visas but are reluctant to place themselves at greater risk. “Rather than cooperating with government authorities,” says Stanger, “victims of trafficking may be reluctant to come forward if they believe that this information may be turned over to their traffickers or be used to have them removed.”

Both our governmental and nongovernmental informants agreed that all forced labor survivors should have a legal advocate. As an attorney in the Reddy case put it: “I think it’s in the victim’s best interest to have a consistent figure in her life who’s going to be advocating for her and helping her navigate through this really confusing minefield.”

In the best of worlds the survivor should be assisted by one agency that offers both legal and social services. In this way the survivor will receive both social services, such as housing, clothing and transportation, and legal counseling on immigration status and work authorization. There are a small number of service providers linked through the Freedom Network, a national coalition of anti-trafficking organizations, which have adopted an empowerment model of working with survivors. The empowerment model encourages self-sufficiency and increased self-esteem so that survivors may make informed decisions of their own choosing. For example, network member CAST has developed a management system that addresses a wide range of survivor needs, including access to mental and physical health, housing, legal counseling, education, and employment. CAST case managers work with survivors in one of two modes: “intensive” cases receive the most comprehensive, personalized, and individualized attention. For example, a CAST case manager will routinely accompany a survivor who is receiving “intensive” case management to medical appointments. Other cases are handled on an “information and assistance” basis and receive less frequent and individualized attention.

CAST will provide assistance to survivors for as long as they desire such help. For example, Khai, the former indentured domestic worker, continues to receive “intensive case management” at CAST two years after her liberation. Khai’s case manager has helped her put together a successful T visa application; stabilize her employment; make arrangements to bring her son over from Thailand; apply for federal benefits, including food stamps, health care and Refugee Cash Assistance; and ensure that she keeps her medical appointments and understands her physician’s instructions.
T visas

By the end of the Fiscal Year 2003, the U.S. Department of Justice reports that a total of approximately four hundred fifty survivors have accessed immigration benefits under the TVPA by receiving continued presence and/or by receiving a T visa.\textsuperscript{169} In 2003 alone, 297 of these T visas were issued.\textsuperscript{170} Such progress notwithstanding, legal and social service providers point to several flaws in the special immigration program. To begin with, the application process is slow and cumbersome. Law enforcement may wait several months before issuing the endorsement survivors need to prove eligibility for immigration relief. On average, it takes the federal agency administering the program four to twelve months to process and approve a T visa application. Access to agency personnel also is restricted. The agency maintains only a general telephone number, which, according to users and advocates, requires callers to navigate complicated electronic voice instructions and wait on hold for long periods of time. Service providers say that rectifying these problems would help make the agency more “user-friendly” for all concerned.

\textit{Rates of T Visa application approval.}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Applications</th>
<th>FY 2002</th>
<th>FY 2003</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Received</td>
<td>453</td>
<td>601</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denied</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pending</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>274</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Language and Culture

Service providers and legal advocates are learning to be sensitive to the varied backgrounds of survivors and the ways in which they interpret their experiences. Many survivors have minimal formal education, so it can be difficult for them to understand the roles of law enforcement and service providers or to fathom the complexities of the United States legal and welfare systems. The survivors of Reddy’s abuse, for example, had never used public transportation or managed their personal finances, let alone navigated a complex criminal justice system, before they were freed. Not only do service providers need to translate these systems for their clients, they also may need to educate their families and communities in their home countries and in the United States.

Service providers and legal advocates must be aware of the difficulties their clients might face from the local migrant community once they denounce their captors. When it was discovered that Khai and the Thai Community Development Center had contacted federal authorities to report Supawan Veerapool’s abuse, many members of the local Thai community accused Khai of being disloyal and ungrateful to a generous patron who had arranged for her to come to the United States. “I felt that eighty percent of the community was against me,” Khai recalled in an interview for this study. “They regarded Supawan as a ‘high soul’ . . . and couldn’t believe how bad Supawan really is . . . I felt like I did the right thing. But in Thai culture, I am seen as ungrateful.”171

Finally, service providers and law enforcement alike find it difficult to communicate with survivors because of language differences. Some social and legal service providers have in-house interpreters or are themselves proficient in the language of the survivor. But, as our study found, the need for interpreters is a pressing problem for many service agencies and federal and local investigators. CAST case manager Erica Tumbaga said language capacity was one of the greatest challenges faced by her organization. Even though federal agents have access to official language specialists, they are not easily mobilized in a timely manner, which can delay investigations. In the case against R&A Harvesting, the lead federal investigator assigned to the case did not speak Spanish, which restricted his ability to gather criminal intelligence and corroborating evidence. He thus had to rely on another, Spanish-speaking agent, which meant the investigation took longer as the two men had to double up for interviews.172

Reintegration

Reintegration is hard to achieve for many of those freed from forced labor. Service providers point to several barriers, including language and cultural differences, the effects of trauma, poor job skills, and a lack of education. Reintegration, for some, may mean repatriation to their country of origin where they may face stigmatization because of their experience.

Yet despite these challenges, many victims of forced labor have successfully reintegrated into society. Khai, the domestic servitude survivor, has done well in adjusting to a life of freedom in Los Angeles. “Khai is really one of our success stories,” her case manager said. “She is a pretty stable person. Her English is good. She has housing and a job and a good relationship with her family. And the experience had not wholly traumatized her emotionally.”173 Khai agrees: “I have freedom now. I eat what I want. I am not afraid. I don’t worry that people are watching over me. I feel like an ordinary person. I think that this is good.”174
Lessons Learned and Future Challenges

United States government has recognized the problems of forced labor and human trafficking and its action to combat these abuses, both in the U.S. and overseas, constitute a significant platform on which to build. Already there have been important successes in enforcing laws that bring perpetrators of human trafficking and forced labor to justice. There has also been a marked change in approach and practice. Those caught in forced labor are now more likely to be recognized as victims of crime, with rights and needs that are specific to the nature of the abuse and exploitation they have endured. Nongovernmental organizations (NGOs), service agencies, legal advocacy groups, worker organizations, and other community-based groups are now accumulating invaluable experience in supporting victims of forced labor and trafficking and in participating in multisectoral efforts to combat these crimes. Yet these actions will not be fully effective until the United States raises public awareness about human trafficking and creates transnational law enforcement networks to tackle the problem head on. While much remains to be done, this study provides lessons to be learned, which can be built upon to strengthen U.S. efforts to eradicate forced labor.

**Domestic Laws Can Create Strong Legal Platforms**

Passage of the Trafficking Act in 2000 was a watershed event, providing a comprehensive legal framework to tackle human trafficking in United States. The legislation established a comprehensive set of regulations to ensure that criminal traffickers are prosecuted and that their victims are treated as such. The record to date shows that the new law enforcement tools have paid off: the number of investigations and prosecutions of traffickers has increased dramatically. Key to this success is providing immigration benefits and social services to survivors of trafficking and forced labor. Greater cooperation would occur if the government issued regulations for the U visa established by the TVPA to allow survivors who provide limited assistance to law enforcement personnel to receive temporary legal status and benefits. There remains a need to refine U.S. laws to close gaps in enforcement and care for survivors of forced labor. For example, increased opportunities for regularized migration would help decrease vulnerability of workers to forced labor and gender-sensitive migration policies would protect women in potentially exploitative situations.

**Training Is Critical**

Law enforcement, NGOs, worker organizations, government monitoring agencies, and service providers are learning more and more about the signs of trafficking and forced labor. This study finds that identification of victims increases as those likely to encounter survivors gain expertise in the various signals of forced labor conditions. Furthermore, expertise in identifying and supporting survivors strengthens eradication efforts by increasing law enforcement knowledge about forced labor and trafficking operations as well as by empowering survivors to seek justice and rebuild their lives.

The numbers of professionals with trafficking and forced labor expertise is still relatively small, but growing. Their experiences serve as a vital resource for the creation of training materials as well as their dissemination. Building up the numbers of trained and seasoned professionals is crucial to minimizing inadvertent risk of harm to victims or rescuers through inappropriate interventions (such as con-
fronting a trafficker while the victim is still under her or his control). With time, training should be extended both in breadth and depth to more agencies and professionals in order to identify, liberate, and support survivors. In particular, worker and employer organizations are important audiences to target for training on the signs of forced labor. Because victims are often isolated, these sectors may have access to victims and could function as monitors.

Witness and Survivor Protection

The safety of survivors is critical to creating conditions under which they will be able to rebuild their lives and, if they choose, to cooperate fully with law enforcement. This study links the effective response of U.S. law enforcement and service providers to the promotion of witness cooperation. Research found that housing forced labor survivors in homeless shelters or with victims of domestic violence was inappropriate. Creation of temporary housing specifically for survivors of trafficking and forced labor has just begun and promises to address many of the special needs of survivors just emerging from servitude.

While law enforcement has been able to protect survivors in the United States, it has proved difficult to provide effective protection to family members of survivors abroad. Nongovernmental organizations and worker organizations, working transnationally, may prove to be an effective tool for protecting forced labor survivors and their families. NGOs and worker organizations in origin countries could form part of an early warning system by monitoring the status of families of witnesses as well as survivors who return home. These groups could alert government authorities at home and in the United States about reported threats or abuse. Calling attention to problems early would send an important message to traffickers and their associates not to harm victims and families. It would also inform survivors and their families that the United States values their safety and in this way could help garner victim trust and cooperation.

Social Services Provision to Survivors

A wide range of social services are needed to assist trafficking survivors. This study found that most experienced and successful service providers cover a host of needs, often working with clients for a long period. In particular, seasoned service providers have learned to screen survivors of forced labor and trafficking for specific needs resulting from their forced labor situation. It is important that survivors receive a health screening soon after their liberation and access to safe and secure shelter. Those forced into prostitution, for example, run a high risk of having contracted sexually transmitted diseases and having endured physical assault. Psychologically, victims may lose their sense of personal efficacy and control and experience feelings of shame, betrayal, and isolation. Providers work with survivors to provide emotional support and, when appropriate, counseling.

Another proven practice of service providers is to identify a lead agency and point of contact that will remain responsible for coordinating between service providers and, when a survivor is cooperating with law enforcement, between prosecutors and investigators. The multiple and varied needs of survivors favor a multisector, holistic approach to service so that the survivor’s needs for housing, health care (mental and physical), legal services, language and job skills may be addressed in a coordinated manner. In the best of worlds, the survivor should be assisted by one agency offering both legal and social services. In this way, the survivor would receive both social services, such as housing, clothing, and transportation, and legal counseling on immigration status, work authorization, and, possibly, their role in prosecution.
There are a small number of service providers that have adopted an empowerment model when working with survivors. The empowerment model encourages self-sufficiency and increased self-esteem so that survivors take greater control over their lives and may make informed decisions concerning their futures.

This study found agreement among law enforcement, legal advocates, and social service providers that all forced labor survivors should have legal representation. Survivors face numerous and daunting legal issues. If cooperating with law enforcement, survivors are helped by having legal counsel who advise and support them in recounting their experiences. Similarly, legal advocates take responsibility for ensuring that survivors receive the immigration and other benefits to which they are entitled. Securing benefits helps to stabilize survivors’ lives and promotes rehabilitation.

Research

Knowledge of the criminal aspects of forced labor and human trafficking in the United States is still very limited. This study shows that law enforcement, social service providers, and legal advocates have gained most of their understanding about trafficking and forced labor on a case-by-case basis. Organized research will inform and strengthen the response by sectors already involved in combating forced labor as well as promote inclusion of other groups, such as medical professionals and worker and employer organizations. The recent amendment to the Trafficking Act directs numerous agencies including the National Research Council of the National Academies, the Secretary of Health and Human Services, and the Attorney General to undertake and support research on trafficking. We suggest attention be directed to the economic dimensions and health aspects as established by the new law, and that research in these areas be tailored appropriately.

To target activities and resources where they are most needed, research is needed in states or geographical regions where forced labor trafficking cases are emerging, on companies that profit from the flow of the products of forced labor into their product supply chains, and on those economic sectors in which there is a tendency for trafficking and forced labor to occur. These sectors include prostitution and pornography, domestic services, agriculture, factory production, and restaurant and hotel services. At present there is little understanding of the elasticity of demand for forced labor in different sectors.

Further research on the needs and experiences of survivors is also important. This is clear in the lack of reliable data on the health status of forced labor survivors in the United States. Future research should identify the precise health and medical consequences of forced labor: the nature of the maladies and their durations, the best practices to identify and administer services to survivors, and the level of recovery to be expected following treatment. This information should be used to develop screening protocols to help health care professionals identify preexisting or potential health problems. Research should be conducted to determine what kinds of follow-up health care would be needed for survivors who choose to return to their countries or origin. Researchers should solicit the active participation of survivors so that future programs will meet the needs of survivors from diverse cultural backgrounds.

Recommendations

Forced labor remains a widespread problem in the United States because there is public ignorance of the crime, a lack of sensitivity to victims, insufficient legal action, and a public demand for cheap goods and services. Yet for all its severity and breadth, forced labor can be stopped. The record of accomplishments
is striking, particularly considering that the Trafficking Act has been in effect for less than five years. It is clear, however, that much remains to be done. In particular, the U.S. government should

1. **Start a broad-based awareness-raising campaign, with special attention to reaching immigrant communities in the United States.** Private citizens have reported cases of forced labor, suggesting that raising awareness among the general public can increase identification of victims. Furthermore, public awareness about the link between the demand for cheap products and services and the crime of forced labor can foster public pressure on companies and industry to take responsibility for the treatment of workers in the production of components or ingredients in the products they sell in the United States. The U.S. government should also encourage worker and employer organizations to promote awareness about forced labor and trafficking within their constituencies. More research needs to be conducted on the demand for commercial sex services so as to design a public awareness campaign to combat forced labor in this sector.

2. **Improve institutional capacity to respond to forced labor and trafficking.** This means training government officials involved in identification, investigation, and prosecution of perpetrators of trafficking and forced labor. Better coordination of law enforcement activities and policies also should be promoted between federal, state, and local level authorities. In addition, more resources should be devoted to enable service agencies to serve existing clients and to conduct outreach that might result in identifying more forced labor survivors.

3. **Ensure better protection for workers in sectors vulnerable to forced labor and trafficking.** Increased legal protections and monitoring of working conditions in agriculture, domestic labor, sweatshops, and food service would promote safer work environments. Promote accountability in those sectors, especially agriculture and garment manufacturing, that use subcontracting systems which violate labor laws and practices. In particular, there is a need for the Department of Labor to deepen its monitoring and enforcement activities in low-wage sectors. This is another key area in which worker and employer organizations may become involved by disseminating information and promoting compliance with fair labor standards.

4. **Correct aspects of immigration policy that encourage the practice of forced labor.** The U.S. government should eliminate the visa requirement that mandates a worker to remain with one particular employer. This would go a long way toward reducing the vulnerability of low-wage workers, such as domestic laborers, to exploitation.

5. **Strengthen protection and rehabilitation programs for survivors.** To address short-term needs of survivors, the U.S. government should create incentives for survivors to come forward and cooperate with law enforcement personnel. This includes developing mechanisms to protect victims and family members vulnerable to retaliation and threats by traffickers in home countries. U.S. authorities should also review eligibility requirements for immigration relief as well as their administration to ensure these are consistent with the goal of supporting and protecting survivors. Increased public and private support to social service agencies is required in order to provide adequate, safe housing to survivors upon liberation from captivity. Once survivors feel safe and secure they are more likely to aid law enforcement personnel in the prosecution of suspected traffickers.
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11. Notes

1 Of these 131 cases, 105 listed the number or the estimated number of persons who had been found in a situation of forced labor.

2 More than three hundred published news reports for the period of January 1998 through December 2003 were reviewed for the survey. Cases that seemed to meet the criteria set out in ILO Convention 29 on forced labor were then coded into an SPSS format for analysis. When a case of forced labor was covered in more than one news report, the information from the multiple reports was combined into a single computer record. A total of one hundred thirty-one individual cases of forced labor were identified, each case having from one to thousands of victims. The following variables were recorded for each case: location of violation; city of violation; country of origin (victim); number in forced labor; whether a minor was involved; economic sector of exploitation; type of visa held by victim, if any; country of origin of perpetrator; story title and author; report citation; and contact name for story, if any.

3 A telephone research unit at Florida State University contacted forty-nine service provision agencies across the United States. Respondents in the agencies were asked a series of questions aimed at discovering if that agency had helped individuals who were caught in situations of forced labor. If such cases were reported, then questions were asked concerning the age and gender of the victims; the economic sector of exploitation; if the victims were minors, whether they had been sexually abused; the country of origin of the victim; the country of origin of the perpetrator; whether there had been arrests or prosecutions in relation to the case; the current situation of the victims; and further contact information for the agency. These data were then coded into an SPSS format for analysis.

4 Please see sidebar “The Case Studies” (pp. 7-8) for a description of each case. Information for the case studies was gathered from a wide range of sources, including news reports, legal records, and interviews. The cases were selected to be illustrative of forced labor in different sectors and regions.


6 We arrived at this estimation based on our survey of newspaper articles on 131 incidents of forced labor from January 1998 to December 2003. Of the 131 incidents, the number of people held in a situation of forced labor was reported in 105 cases. Totaling the reported numbers from these 105 cases, the survey revealed that 19,254 individuals had been subject to forced labor during this period. Our telephone survey of forty-nine social service providers revealed that the average period an individual is kept in forced labor is two to five years. This would suggest that at any given time ten thousand or more individuals are in conditions of forced labor in the United States. Though this figure is likely to be much higher, we have chosen a more conservative estimate given the absence of reliable data on the numbers of individuals in conditions of forced labor.


8 U.S. Department of Justice, Report to Congress from Attorney General John Ashcroft on U.S. Government Efforts to Combat Trafficking in Persons in Fiscal Year 2003, 3. Government estimates of the annual numbers of victims trafficked into the United States annually have varied and, over time, been revised downward. See, for example, Amy O’Neill Richard, International Trafficking in Women to the United States: A Contemporary Manifestation of Slavery and Organized Crime, prepared at the request of the Central Intelligence Agency, November 1999. A fuller report was published in April 2000 and may be found at http://www.cia.gov/csi/pubs.html. This report states that “an estimated 45,000 to 50,000 women and children are trafficked annually to the United States, primarily by small crime rings and loosely connected criminal networks.” In August 2003 the U.S. government released the Assessment of U.S. Activities to Combat Trafficking in Persons in which it states: “The U.S. Department of Justice estimates that 18,000-20,000 are trafficked annually into the United States.” U.S. Department of Justice et al., Assessment of U.S. Activities to Combat Trafficking in Persons, August 2003, 3, (http://www.usdoj.gov/crt/crim/wetf/us_assessment.pdf). The 1999, 2000, and 2003 reports do not explain the methodology used for the calculation of their estimates. In June 2004 a further revision occurred giving the estimate of 14,500 to 17,500 people trafficked into the United States each year. In this report, Assessment of U.S. Government Activities to Combat Trafficking in Persons, June 2004, 8-9, (available at http://www.usdoj.gov/crt/crim/wetf/us_assessment_2004.pdf), there is a brief description of the statistical tools used to generate this estimate, but this description is insufficient to allow an assessment of the application of these techniques or the validity of the estimate. What continues to be lacking in these governmental estimates of human trafficking into the United States is: a full description of the data and resources on which these esti-
mates are based; and a clear explanation of how these methods listed are actually applied to arrive at the estimates. This lack makes it impossible to recreate, assess the validity of, or improve upon, the estimates.

9 Department of Justice, Report to Congress from Attorney General John Ashcroft on U.S. Government Efforts to Combat Trafficking in Persons in Fiscal Year 2003, 9. Note that these concentrations reflect where survivors resided at the time they received federal assistance and does not necessarily reflect where the forced labor operations occurred.

10 Ibid., 10.

11 In our eight case studies the numbers of victims in each instance ranged from 1 to 700. Across the studies 800 to 1,100 individuals were forced into agricultural work, 321 forced into sweatshop factory work, 25 to 40 forced into prostitution, and 3 forced into domestic service. At least 3, and possibly 25 children were sexually exploited in addition to being forced into service sector work.

12 Department of Justice, Report to Congress from Attorney General John Ashcroft on U.S. Government Efforts to Combat Trafficking in Persons in Fiscal Year 2003, 10.

13 Of the 131 cases of forced labor found in the survey of press reports, 45 (36.3 percent) noted that children were involved. Approximately 1,200 child victims (those under the age of 18) were exploited in these cases.

14 Janice C. Raymond and Donna M. Hughes, Sex Trafficking of Women in the United States: International and Domestic Trends, Coalition against Trafficking in Women (March 2001), 9.


17 U.S. Code 29 (2003), § 152 (3). “The term ‘employee’ shall include any employee…but shall not include any individual employed…in the domestic service of any family or person at his home.”

18 The U.S. government issues nearly four thousand A-3 and G-5 visas for household employees of diplomats and for employees of international agencies annually. In addition, foreign nationals and U.S. citizens with permanent residency abroad are able to import housekeepers, nannies, cooks, drivers, and gardeners on B-1 visas. Joy M. Zarembka, “Maid to Order,” ColorLines, Fall 2001, 27.


22 Ibid.


24 In this context we are referring to abuses other than those detrimental to workers’ health, including death and debilitating injuries or sickness that can be caused by the dangerous working conditions.

25 U.S. Code 29 (2003), § 1801 et seq.
26 U.S. Code 29 (2003), § 201 et seq.
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42 Ellen Israel Rosen observes, “Sweatshops in the United States are not simply firms that offer undesirable jobs for long hours and poor pay. They are firms paying wages that violate federally mandated minimum wage standards as well as other employment standards set forth in the Fair Labor Standards Act. Sweatshops may employ women without sufficient education for bet-
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