

Introduction	Overview	Tuesday, August 18	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◊ Introduction (pp. 1-12)</li> <li>◊ <i>Bailey v. West</i> (Bascom's Folly) (pp. 12-16)</li> <li>◊ <i>Williams v. Walker-Thomas Furniture Co.</i> (furniture installment sale) (pp. 23-26)</li> </ul>
	Overview, cont'd.	Wednesday, August 19	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◊ Comments: <i>ex ante</i> and <i>ex post</i> contract law (pp. 27-29)</li> <li>◊ Comments: Article 2 (pp. 39-41)</li> <li>◊ Comments: default rules, approaches to contract law (pp. 47-49, 51-62)</li> </ul>

The Bases of Contract Liability	Acts and Promises, Bargained For or Given In Exchange	Tuesday, August 25 <b>[Group 1]</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◊ Definitions (pp. 68-71)</li> <li>◊ <i>Kirksey v. Kirksey</i> (moving inconvenience) (pp. 71-72)</li> <li>◊ <i>Hamer v. Sidway</i> (promise of clean living) (pp. 73-77)</li> <li>◊ <i>Langer v. Superior Steel Corp.</i> (repudiated pension) (pp. 77-80)</li> <li>◊ Comments: why consideration? (pp. 84-88)</li> <li>◊ Comments: nominal consideration (pp. 92-96)</li> <li>◊ <i>First Hawaiian Bank v. Zukerkorn</i> (stale debts) (pp. 103-05)</li> </ul>
	Moral Obligation; Adequacy of Consideration; Pre-Existing Duty Rule	Wednesday, August 26 <b>[Group 2]</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◊ <i>Mills v. Wyman</i> (sick son's care) (pp. 107-09)</li> <li>◊ <i>Webb v. McGowin</i> (falling pine block) (pp. 112-15)</li> <li>◊ <i>Apfel v. Prudential-Bache Securities, Inc.</i> (computerized securities bookkeeping) (pp. 126-29)</li> <li>◊ Comments: adequacy (p. 130)</li> <li>◊ Comments: pre-existing duty rule (pp. 131-32)</li> <li>◊ <i>Alaska Packers' Association v. Domenico</i> (fishing raise) (pp. 135-38)</li> <li>◊ <i>Angel v. Murray, Jr.</i> (city waste collector) (pp. 140-44)</li> </ul>
	Discretion, Mutuality, and Implied Obligation; Promissory Estoppel	Tuesday, September 1 <b>[Group 3]</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◊ <i>Wood v. Lucy, Lady Duff-Gordon</i> (fashion endorsements) (pp. 154-57)</li> <li>◊ <i>Omni Group, Inc. v. Seattle-First National Bank</i> (feasibility condition) (pp. 157-61)</li> <li>◊ Introduction to estoppel (pp.163-164)</li> <li>◊ <i>Ricketts v. Scothorn</i> (grandfather's gift) (pp. 164-66)</li> <li>◊ <i>Allegheny College v. National Chautauqua County Bank of Jamestown</i> (college pledge) (pp. 170-76)</li> <li>◊ Problem: The Case of the Disappointed Mortgagee (pp. 181-82)</li> <li>◊ Comments: remedies (pp. 182-85)</li> </ul>
	Promissory Estoppel	Wednesday, September 2	PROBLEM SET 1 DUE Problems on overview, consideration and promissory estoppel