

Kelly v. Arriba Soft, 280 F.3d 934 (9th Cir. 2002)

BOOKS

ROBERT MERGES ET AL., INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY IN THE NEW TECHNOLOGICAL AGE (2nd ed. 2000).

ITHIEL DE SOLA POOL, TECHNOLOGIES OF FREEDOM (1983).

MELVILLE B. NIMMER & DAVID NIMMER, NIMMER ON COPYRIGHT (2002).

PAUL GOLDSTEIN, COPYRIGHT (2002).

LAW REVIEW ARTICLES

Aaron Rubin, Comment, Are You Experienced? *The Copyright Implications of Web Site Modification Technology*, 89 CAL. L. REV. 817 (2001).

Brian D. Wassom, *Copyright Implications of "Unconventional Linking" on the World Wide Web: Framing, Deep Linking and Inlining*, 49 CASE W. RES. L. REV. 181 (1998).

David Yan, *Virtual Reality: Can We Ride Trademark Law to Surf Cyberspace?*, 10 FORDHAM INTELL. PROP. MEDIA & ENT. L.J. 773 (2000).

Eugene R. Quinn, Jr., *Web Surfing 101: The Evolving Law of Hyperlinking*, 2 BARRY L. REV. 37 (2001).

Hillel I. Parness, *Framing the Question: How Does Kelly v. Arriba Soft Advance the Framing Debate?*, 7 No. 1 CYBERSPACE LAW. 9 (2002).

Kelly Donohue, Note, *Court Gives Thumbs-Up For Use of Thumbnail Pictures Online*, 2002 DUKE L. & TECH. REV. 0006.

Lloyd L. Weinreb, Lecture, *Fair Use*, 4 FORDHAM L. REV. 1291 (1999).

Lydia Pallas Loren, *The Changing Nature of Derivative Works in the Face of New Technologies*, 4 J. SMALL & EMERGING BUS. L. 57 (2000).

Mark Sableman, *Link Law Revisited: Internet Linking Law at Five Years*, 16 BERKELEY TECH. L.J. 1273 (2001).

Michael A. Stoker, *Framed Web Pages: Framing the Derivative Works Doctrine on the World Wide Web*, 67 U. CIN. L. REV. 1301 (1999).

Nicole M. Bond, Note, *Linking and Framing on the Internet: Liability under Trademark and Copyright Law*, DEPAUL BUS. L.J. 185 (1998).

Nicos L. Tsilas, *Minimizing Potential Liability Associated With Linking and Framing on the World Wide Web*, 8 COMMLAW CONSPECTUS 85 (2000).

Paul Goldstein, *Derivative Rights and Derivative Works in Copyright*, 30 J. COPYRIGHT SOC'Y U.S.A. 209 (1983).

Ruth Okediji, *Givers, Takers, and Other Kinds of Users: A Fair Use Doctrine For Cyberspace*, 53 FLA L. REV. 107 (2001).

Timothy Everett Nielander, *The Mighty Morphin Ninja Mallard: The Standard for Analysis of Derivative Work Infringement in the Digital Age*, 4 TEX. WESLEYAN L. REV. 1 (1997).

Wendy J. Gordon, *On Owning Information: Intellectual Property and the Restitutionary Impulse*, 78 VA. L. REV. 149 (1992).

OTHER ARTICLES

Claim that Framing Constitutes Copyright Infringement Survives Motion to Dismiss, COMPUTER LAW. (1998), at <http://cyber.law.harvard.edu/property/metatags/Framing1.html> (visited Sept. 7, 2002).

Linking Full-Sized Web Images is Not 'Fair Use', 9th Circuit Says, 14 NO. 6 ANDREWS ENT. INDUS. LITIG. REP. 8 (2002).

Michael A. Einhorn, *Search and Destroy? How to Tame a Spider*, 20 NO. 1 INTELL. PROP. L. NEWSL. 6 (2001).

John B. Lunseth II, *E-Commerce Disputes: Legislation and Litigation Are the Brave New World*, 68 DEF. COUNS. J. 280 (2001).

Kenneth Freeling and Joseph E. Levi, *Frame Liability Clouds the Internet's Future*, N.Y.L.J., May 19, 1997.

Stefanie Olsen, *Judge: See Ya Later, Gator*, at <http://www.businessweek.com/technology/cnet/stories/943515.htm>.

CASES

Campbell v. Acuff-Rose Music, Inc., 510 U.S. 569 (1994).

DC Comics Inc. v. Reel Fantasy, Inc., 696 F.2d 24 (2d. Cir. 1982).

Dellar v. Samuel Goldwyn, Inc., 104 F.2d 661 (2d Cir. 1939).

Dr. Seuss Enters. v. Penguin Books, 109 F.3d 1394 (9th Cir. 1997).

Folsom v. Marsh, 9 F. Cas. 342 (C.C. Mass. 1841) (No. 4901).

Futuredontics, Inc. v. Applied Anagramics, Inc., 45 U.S.P.Q.2d 2005, *aff'd*, 152 F.3d 925 (9th Cir. 1998).

Gilliam v. American Broadcasting Cos., 538 F.2d 14 (2d Cir. 1976).

Harper & Row Publishers, Inc. v. Nation Enters., 471 U.S. 539 (1985).

Lee v. A.R.T. Co., 125 F. 3d 580 (7th Cir. 1997).

Louis Galoob Toys, Inc. v. Nintendo of Am., Inc., 964 F.2d 965 (9th Cir. 1992).

Marobie-FL, Inc. v. National Ass'n of Fire Equipment Distributors, 983 F. Supp. 1167 (N.D. Ill. 1997).

Micro Star v. FormGen, Inc., 154 F.3d 1107 (9th Cir. 1998).

Mirage Editions, Inc. v. Albuquerque A.R.T. Co., 856 F.2d 1341 (9th Cir. 1988), *cert. denied*, 489 U.S. 1018 (1989).

Munoz v. Albuquerque A.R.T. Co., 829 F. Supp. 309 (D. Alaska 1993).

National Bank of Commerce v. Shaklee Corp., 503 F. Supp. 533 (W.D. Tex. 1980).

Playboy Enterprises Inc. v. Harbenburgh Inc., 982 F.Supp. 503 (N.D. Ohio 1997).

Playboy Enters. v. Webbworld, 991 F. Supp. 543 (N.D. Tex. 1997), *aff'd*, 168 F.3d 486 (5th Cir. 1999).

Precious Moments, Inc. v. La Infantil, Inc., 971 F. Supp. 66 (D.P.R. 1997).

Ringgold v. Black Entertainment Television, Inc., 126 F.3d 70 (2d Cir. 1997).

Sony Computer Entertainment America, Inc. v. Bleem, 214 F.3d 1022 (9th Cir.2000).

Sony Corp. v. Universal City Studios, Inc., 464 U.S. 417 (1984).

WGN Continental Broadcasting Co. v. United Video, 693 F.2d 622 (7th Cir. 1983).

Worldwide Church of God v. Philadelphia Church of God, 227 F.3d 1110 (9th Cir.2000).

STATUTES / LEGISLATION

Act of July 8, 1870, ch. 230, § 86, 16 Stat. 198 (1870).

17 U.S.C. § 101.

17 U.S.C. § 106(2).

17 U.S.C. § 106(5).

17 U.S.C. § 107.

17 U.S.C. § 1201(a)(2)(A).

LEGISLATIVE HISTORY

H.R. REP. NO. 94-1476 (1976), *reprinted in* 1976 U.S. CODE CONG. & ADMIN. NEWS 5659.

COURT DOCUMENTS

Amicus Curiae Brief of Electronic Frontier Foundation in Kelly v. Arriba Soft, No. 00-55521 (9th Cir. Feb. 27, 2002), *at* http://www.eff.org/IP/Linking/Kelly_v_Arriba_Soft/20020227_eff_amicus_brief.html (visited Sept. 9, 2002).

Complaint, Washington Post Co. v. Total News, Inc., 97 Civ. 190 (PKL) (S.D.N.Y., filed Feb. 20, 1997) complaint PP7-10, *available at* <http://www.jlx.com/internet/complaint.html> (visited Sept. 18, 2002).

Stipulation Order of Settlement and Dismissal, Wash. Post v. TotalNews, Inc., No. 97 Civ. 1190 (PKL) (S.D.N.Y., filed June 5, 1997), *available at* <http://legal.web.aol.com/decisions/dlip/washorde.html> (visited Sept. 12, 2002).

Shetland Times, Ltd. v. Wills, [1997] F.S.R. 604, 1997 S.L.T. 669, (Sess. Oct. 24, 1996) (Scot.) (issuing temporary restraining order pursuant to Scottish law), *available at* <http://www.jmls.edu/cyber/cases/shetld1.html> (visited Sept. 19, 2002), (dismissed upon settlement on Nov. 11, 1997), *available at* <http://www.jmls.edu/cyber/cases/shetld2.html> (visited Sept. 19, 2002).

Complaint, Ticketmaster Corp. v. Microsoft Corp., No. 97-3055 (DDP) (C.D. Cal., filed Apr. 28, 1997), *available at* <http://legal.web.aol.com/decisions/dlip/tickcomp.html> (visited Sept. 17, 2002).

Microsoft Corp.'s Answer to First Amended Complaint, Affirmative Defenses and Counterclaims, PPat 38-50, Ticketmaster Corp. v. Microsoft Corp., No. 97-3055 (C.D. Cal. May 28, 1997).