11-

Plaintiff, by his attorneys, upon personal knowledge as to himself and his own acts and upon information and belief as to all other matters, alleges as follows:

#### NATURE OF THE ACTION

- 1. Plaintiff Thomas Robins brings this class action complaint against Defendant Spokeo, Inc. ("Spokeo") for Defendant's collection and dissemination of consumer reports in violation of the Fair Credit Reporting Act ("FCRA").
- 2. Spokeo operates an eponymously named website—Spokeo.com—which allows users to search for consumers by name, email address, or phone number. In response to a free query, Spokeo provides an in-depth consumer report that displays, among other things, an individual consumer's address, phone number, marital status, approximate age, occupation, approximate household value, hobbies, economic health (previously entitled "credit estimate"), and wealth level. Spokeo provides even more extensive information to paid subscribers.
- Despite Spokeo's practices of compiling and selling consumer reports as described above, the company publicly maintains that it is not a consumer reporting agency.
- 4. As a result, Spokeo has been unlawfully operating and profiting as a consumer reporting agency since its inception.

#### **PARTIES**

- 5. Plaintiff Thomas Robins is a resident of Vienna, Virginia.
- Defendant Spokeo, Inc. is a California corporation with its headquarters and principal place of business at 556 S. Fair Oaks Avenue, Suite 101-179, Pasadena, California 91105. Defendant does business throughout the State of California and the nation.

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

8. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331.

15

17

20

21

22

24

25

26

27

28

9. Personal jurisdiction and venue are proper because Spokeo is a corporation headquartered in Los Angeles County and/or because the improper conduct alleged herein occurred in, was directed from, and/or emanated or exported from California.

#### FACTUAL BACKGROUND

#### Defendant's Process for Generating Consumer Reports

- 10. Spokeo developed and operates software that allows the company to quickly aggregate data about consumers from a wide range of sources and then organize and display that information to users in an online format.
- 11. Some of the known sources that Spokeo uses to gather information include: phone books, real estate listings, government records, and social networking websites. However, the company has not publicly disclosed the full list of sources it uses to generate reports about consumers.
- 12. Despite the breadth of information it provides about individual consumers, Spokeo disclaims the use of its services as a method for establishing a consumer's eligibility for credit, employment, or insurance, and further disclaims the use of its reports for any purpose covered by the FCRA.
- 13. Nevertheless, Spokeo has marketed its services to human resource professionals, law enforcement agencies, persons and entities performing background checks, and publishes individual consumer "economic health" assessments (until recently, Defendant described those assessments as "credit estimates").

#### The Information Defendant Reports

14. According to Defendant, millions of users search its website for consumer information each day. When a user requests information regarding a particular consumer, Defendant immediately displays numerous pieces of information about that consumer, including the names of their siblings and parents, their address, phone number, marital status, age, employment information, education, ethnicity, items sought from websites such as

Amazon.com, music listened to on websites such as Pandora.com, descriptors such as "seeks opportunity," "cares about healthy living," and "loves to read," and more.

- 15. In addition to the extensive demographic information Spokeo provides, its reports contain colorized charts depicting the consumer's "economic health" (formerly "credit estimate") and "wealth level," which range from "low" to "high." Defendant does not, however, disclose where it obtains this economic information.
- 16. Spokeo's reports even provide users with an image of the consumer's purported home address, complete with a corresponding map of their neighborhood. The address and neighborhood maps are accompanied by information about how long the consumer has lived at the particular address, the value of the property, and individual property attributes like the existence of fireplaces or swimming pools.
- 17. Although Defendant provides extensive data about consumers, a significant portion of the information that it reports is wholly inaccurate. In fact, the inaccuracies in Spokeo's reports have been the source of much consternation for consumers upset about public mischaracterizations of their employment history, economic background, household value, and the like.<sup>1</sup>
- 18. Compounding the inaccuracies in its reports, Spokeo has failed to develop an effective system to allow consumers to remove inaccurate information from their individual reports, or remove the reports from Defendant's website altogether. In May, 2009, Defendant's founder—Harrison Tang—gave a statement regarding purported inaccuracies in Defendant's consumer reports. According to Tang, "We know there are a lot of things we need to improve. There are algorithms we can do that we haven't had time to improve the inaccuracies. There's a lot of holes. We know that and we admit that."<sup>2</sup>

See, e.g., Spokeo: Meredith Yeomans, Privacy Concerns Over People Search Database Spokeo.com, AZFAMILY.COM, June 1, 2010,

http://www.azfamily.com/news/consumer/Privacyconcernsover people search database Spokeocom 9537464 9.html., Arkansas AG has privacy concerns about Spokeo.com, http://www.pogowasright.org/?p=10022.

Spokeo Web site raising privacy concerns, http://www.ohio.com/business/93220444.html

19. Perhaps most telling, Mr. Tang has removed all reports regarding himself from Defendant's website.<sup>3</sup>

#### FACTS RELATING TO PLAINTIFF

- 20. Spokeo maintains an inaccurate consumer report about Plaintiff Robins on its website.
- 21. The consumer report that Spokeo has compiled about Plaintiff Robins correctly describes his basic identifying information such as address, neighborhood, and siblings' names; however, most of the other information is incorrect. For example, the picture Defendant purports to be an image of Robins is not in fact Plaintiff, Plaintiff is not in his 50s, is not married, is not employed in a professional or technical field, does not have a graduate degree, and has no children.
- 22. Furthermore, Plaintiff has no way of verifying the "economic health" rating Defendant ascribes to him, and denies that his "wealth level" is accurately described.
- 23. Like many other individuals whose information Defendant reports on its website, Plaintiff is concerned that the inaccuracies his report will affect his ability to obtain credit, employment, insurance, and the like.
- 24. Plaintiff is particularly concerned about Defendant's inaccurate report in light of the fact that he is currently out of work and seeking employment. In fact, Mr. Robins has been actively seeking employment throughout the time that Spokeo has displayed inaccurate information consumer reporting information about him and he has yet to find employment.

#### CLASS ALLEGATIONS

25. Plaintiff Robins brings this action pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(2) and 23(b)(3) on behalf of himself and a class of similarly situated individuals (the "Class") defined as follows:

You won't find Spokeo founder included on his 'people search' site, http://articles.latimes.com/2010/jun/08/business/la-fiw-lazarus-20100608

All individuals in the United States who have had their consumer information compiled and distributed or sold to third-parties by Spokeo, Inc. between January 2006 and July 16, 2010.

Excluded from the Class are Defendant, its legal representatives, assigns, and successors, and any entity in which Defendant has a controlling interest. Also excluded is the judge to whom this case is assigned and the judge's immediate family.

- The Class consists of millions of individuals, making joinder impractical.
- 27. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of all of the other members of the Class. Plaintiff and each Class member was affected in substantially the same way by Defendant's unlawful compiling of their personal information for distribution and/or sale to third parties in violation of the FCRA and other applicable law.
- 28. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of the other members of the Class. Plaintiff has retained counsel with substantial experience in prosecuting complex litigation and class actions. Plaintiff and his counsel are committed to vigorously prosecuting this action on behalf of the members of the Class, and have the financial resources to do so. Neither Plaintiff nor his counsel has any interest adverse to those of the other members of the Class.
- 29. Absent a class action, most members of the Class would find the cost of litigating their claims to be prohibitive and will have no effective remedy. The class treatment of common questions of law and fact is also superior to multiple individual actions or piecemeal litigation in that it conserves the resources of the courts and litigants, and promotes consistency and efficiency of adjudication.

- 30. Defendant has acted and failed to act on grounds generally applicable to Plaintiff and the other members of the Class, requiring the Court's imposition of uniform relief to ensure compatible standards of conduct toward members of the Class.
- 31. The factual and legal bases of Defendant's liability to Plaintiff and to the other members of the Class are the same, resulting in injury to Plaintiff and all of the other members of the Class. Plaintiff and the other members of the Class have all suffered harm and damages as a result of Defendant's wrongful conduct.
- 32. There are many questions of law and fact common to Plaintiff's claims and the claims of the other members of the Class. Common questions for the Class include but are not limited to the following:
  - a) the means and methods by which Defendant compiles, distributes and sells consumer reports to third parties;
    - b) the extent and duration of Defendant's compilation, distribution, and sale of consumer reports to third parties;
  - c) whether Defendant's conduct described herein constitutes a violation of the Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681 et seq.;
  - d) whether Defendant's conduct described herein constitutes a violation of Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200 et seq.; and,
  - e) whether Defendant unjustly received and/or continues to receive money as a result of its conduct described herein, and whether under principles of equity and good conscience, Defendant should not be permitted to retain those monies.

- 33. The questions of law and fact common to the members of the Class predominate over any questions affecting only individual members and a class action is superior to all other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy.
- 34. Plaintiff reserves the right to revise the foregoing "Class Allegations" based on facts learned in discovery.

#### FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

### Violations of the Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1681e (On behalf of Plaintiff and the Class)

- 35. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the foregoing allegations.
- 36. The Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681 et seq., was enacted in 1970 to ensure, among other things, that "consumer reporting agencies" adhere to strict guidelines for maintaining and distributing fair and accurate consumer reports.
- 37. Defendant is a "consumer reporting agency" as defined by FCRA because it accepts "monetary fees, dues, or on a cooperative nonprofit basis, regularly engages in whole or in part in the practice of assembling or evaluating consumer credit information or other information on consumers for the purpose of furnishing consumer reports to third parties, and...uses...means or facilit[ies] of interstate commerce for the purpose of preparing or furnishing consumer reports." 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(f).
- 38. Defendant is also a "nationwide specialty consumer reporting agency," as defined by FCRA because it is "a consumer reporting agency that compiles and maintains files on consumers on a nationwide basis relating to (1) medical records or payments; (2) residential or tenant history; (3) check writing history; (4) employment history; or (5) insurance claims." 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(w).

- 39. The data Defendant compiles and distributes and/or sells to third-parties constitutes a "consumer report" as defined by FCRA because it contains "written, oral, or other communication[s] of . . . information by a consumer reporting agency bearing on a consumer's credit worthiness, credit standing, credit capacity, character, general reputation, personal characteristics, or mode of living which is used or expected to be used or collected in whole or in part for the purpose of serving as a factor in establishing the consumer's eligibility for (A) credit or insurance to be used primarily for personal, family, or household purposes; (B) employment purposes; or (C) any other purpose authorized under section 1681b of this title." 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(d).
- 40. Defendant compiles and distributes information to its users about individual consumers including, their "credit estimate" (now "economic health"), "wealth level," as well as occupational and personal characteristic information.
- 41. Despite Spokeo's clearly defined status as a "consumer reporting agency," it has publicly disclaimed any obligations under FCRA.
- 42. According to Defendant, "The data provided to you by Spokeo may not be used as a factor in establishing a consumer's eligibility for credit, insurance, employment purposes or for any other purpose authorized under the FCRA."
- 43. The "terms" displayed on Defendant's website further state that it is impermissible to use the information contained on Spokeo.com "to evaluate a consumer's eligibility for credit or insurance to be used primarily for personal, family, or household purposes, to evaluate a person's eligibility for employment purposes, to evaluate a person's

eligibility for a government license or benefit, or for any other purpose specified in the Fair Credit Reporting Act (15 U.S.C. § 1681b)."

- 44. Nevertheless, Defendant has marketed its services to human resource professionals, law enforcement agencies, persons and entities performing background checks, and publishes individual consumer "economic health" assessments.
- 45. Section 1681e(d)(1) of FCRA requires that a consumer reporting agency provide, to any person who regularly and in the ordinary course of business furnishes information about any consumer to the consumer reporting agency ("Furnisher"), a "Notice To Furnishers of Information: Obligations Under the FCRA" ("Furnisher Notice").
- 46. Section 1681e(d)(2) requires that a consumer reporting agency provide, to any person to whom it provides a consumer report ("Users"), a "Notice to Users of Consumer Reports: Obligations of Users Under the FCRA" ("User Notice").
- 47. The Furnisher Notices and the User Notices inform Furnishers and Users, of their responsibilities under FCRA, such as a Furnisher's responsibility to provide accurate information or a User's responsibility to provide adverse action notices.
- Defendant has continually failed to provide Furnisher Notices to Furnishers as required by FCRA.
- 49. Defendant has further failed to provide User Notices to Users as required by FCRA.
- 50. Section 1681e(b) mandates that when a consumer reporting agency prepares a consumer report that it shall follow reasonable procedures to assure the maximum possible accuracy of the information concerning the individual about whom the report relates.

- 51. Defendant has continually failed to follow reasonable procedures to assure the maximum possible accuracy of the information that it provides in its consumer reports.
- 52. As a result of Defendant's conduct described herein and its willful violations of §§ 1681e(d), 1681e(b), Plaintiff and the Class have suffered injuries. Plaintiff, on his own behalf and on behalf of the Class, seeks an order enjoining Defendant's conduct described herein and awarding himself and the Class the maximum statutory damages available under 15 U.S.C. § 1681n.

#### SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

# Violations of the Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1681b (On behalf of Plaintiff and the Class)

- 53. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the foregoing allegations.
- 54. Section 1681b(b)(1) of FCRA mandates that a consumer reporting agency only furnish a consumer report for employment purposes if it ensures that the person who obtains the report complies with certain disclosure requirements ("FCRA disclosures").
- 55. The FCRA disclosures inform consumers that a consumer report may be used for employment purposes and inform employers that they must provide consumers with certain information if any adverse action is taken based in whole or in part on the report.
- 56. Defendant promotes its services to employment recruiters and employers for use in making employment decisions.
- 57. Defendant has furnished consumer reports for employment purposes, has failed to make the FCRA disclosures required by § 1681b.
- 58. As a result of Defendant's conduct described herein and its willful violations of §1681b(b), Plaintiff and the Class have suffered injuries. Plaintiff, on his own behalf and

on behalf of the Class, seeks an order enjoining Defendant's conduct described herein and awarding himself and the Class the maximum statutory damages available under 15 U.S.C. § 1681n.

#### THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

# Violations of the Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1681j (On behalf of Plaintiff and the Class)

- 59. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the foregoing allegations.
- 60. 15 U.S.C. § 1681j(a)(1)(C) directs the Federal Trade Commission ("FTC") to establish streamlined processes for consumers to request free annual file disclosures. The FTC promulgated the Streamlined Process Rule, which is codified at 16 C.F.R. § 610.3. The rule requires, among other things, that a nationwide specialty consumer reporting agency, like Defendant, provide consumers with a toll-free telephone number to request annual file disclosures and that the telephone number is prominently posted on any website owned or maintained by the nationwide specialty consumer reporting agency, as well as instructions to request disclosures by any additional available request methods. 16 C.F.R. § 610.3(a)(1).
- 61. Defendant has failed to establish the processes required by the Streamlined Process Rule. In particular, Defendant has failed to post a toll-free telephone number on its website through which consumers can request free annual file disclosures.
- 62. As a result of Defendant's conduct described herein and its willful violations of §§ 1681j(a)(1)(C) an 1681j(a)(2), Plaintiff and the Class have suffered injuries. Plaintiff, on his own behalf and on behalf of the Class, seeks an order enjoining Defendant's conduct described herein and awarding himself and the Class the maximum statutory damages available under 15 U.S.C. § 1681n.

1 FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION 2 Violation of Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200 et seq. 3 (On behalf of Plaintiff and the Class) 4 63. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the foregoing allegations. 5 California's Unfair Competition Law ("UCL"), Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code 64. §§ 17200, et seq., protects both consumers and competitors by promoting fair competition in 6 7 commercial markets for goods and services. 8 The UCL prohibits any unlawful, unfair or fraudulent business act or practice. 65. A business practice need only meet one of the three criteria to be considered unfair 9 competition. An unlawful business practice is anything that can properly be called a business 10 11 practice and that at the same time is forbidden by law. 12 66. As described above, Defendant has violated the "unlawful" prong of the UCL in that Defendant's conduct violates numerous provisions of the FCRA. 13 Defendant has violated the "unfair" prong of the UCL in that it gained an 14 67. unfair business advantage by failing to produce accurate reports and otherwise take the 15 16 necessary steps to adhere to FCRA. 17 Pursuant to Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17203, Plaintiff seeks an order enjoining 68. Defendant from continuing to engage in the unfair and unlawful conduct described herein. 18 Plaintiff seeks an order (1) requiring Defendant to cease the unfair and unlawful practices 19 described herein; and, (2) awarding reasonable costs and attorneys' fees pursuant to Cal. 20 Code Civ. Proc. § 1021.5. 21 22 PRAYER FOR RELIEF 23 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Thomas Robins, on behalf of himself and the Class, 24 respectfully requests that this Court issue an order: 25 26 27

1	A.	Certifying this case as a class action on behalf of the Class defined above,
2	appointing Tl	homas Robins as class representative, and appointing his counsel as class
3	counsel;	
4	В.	Declaring that Defendant's actions, as set out above, violate the Fair Credit
5	Reporting Ac	et, §§ 1681e, 1681b, 1681j, and Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200 et seq.;
6	C.	Award Plaintiff and the Class statutory damages to the maximum extent
7	allowable;	
8	D.	Awarding injunctive relief as necessary to cease Defendant's violations of the
9	Fair Credit R	eporting Act and Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200 et seq.;
10	E.	Award Plaintiff and the Class their reasonable litigation expenses and
11	attorneys' fee	es;
12	F.	Award Plaintiff and the Class pre- and post-judgment interest, to the extent
13	allowable;	
14	G.	Enter such other injunctive and/or declaratory relief as is necessary to protect
15	the interests of	of Plaintiff and the Class;
16	Н.	Award such other and further relief as equity and justice may require.
17		JURY TRIAL
18	Plaint	iff demands a trial by jury for all issues so triable.
19		
20		Respectfully submitted,
21	Dated: July 2	Q, 2010 EDELSON MCGUIRE LLP
22		10
23		Ву:
24		SEAN REIS One of the Attorneys for Plaintiff
25		
26		
27		
28		

COMPLAINT

2010-JUL-20 11:24

FROM-ABC LEGAL SERVICES

+2132539413

T-428 P.003/007 F-429

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

# NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR DISCOVERY

This case has been assigned to District Judge Otis D. Wright II and the assigned discovery Magistrate Judge is Alicia G. Rosenberg.

The case number on all documents filed with the Court should read as follows:

CV10- 5306 ODW (AGRx)

Pursuant to General Order 05-07 of the United States District Court for the Central District of California, the Magistrate Judge has been designated to hear discovery related motions.

All discovery related motions should be noticed on the calendar of the Magistrate Judge

#### NOTICE TO COUNSEL

A copy of this notice must be served with the summons and complaint on all defendants (if a removal action is filed, a copy of this notice must be served on all plaintiffs).

Subsequent documents must be filed at the following location:

[X] Western Division 312 N. Spring St., Rm. G-8 Los Angeles, CA 90012 Southern Division
411 West Fourth St., Rm. 1-053
Santa Ana, CA 92701-4516

Eastern Division
3470 Twelfth St., Rm. 134
Riverside, CA 92501

Failure to file at the proper location will result in your documents being returned to you.

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

I (a) PLAINTIFFS (Check b THOMAS ROBINS, in	ox if you are representing yoursel dividually and on behalf of all oth	f []) lers similarly situated	DEFENDANTS SPOKEO, INC., a Califo	rnia corporation	
Sean Reis, SBN 184044.	Address and Telephone Number. I Edelson McGuire LLP, 30021 To CA 92688 (949) 459-2124		Attorneys (If Known)		
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTIO	N (Place an X in one box only.)	III. CITIZENS	SHIP OF PRINCIPAL PAR	TIES - For Diversity Case	es Only
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	✓ 3 Federal Question (U.S Government Not a Part		C in one box for plaintiff and or PTI State	F DEF	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defenda	nt			☐2 Incorporated an of Business in A	d Principal Place □ 5 □ 5
IV. ORIGIN (Place an X in o	ne box only.)	Citizen or Subje	ct of a Foreign Country 3	☐ 3 Foreign Nation	□6 □6
V 1 Original □ 2 Remove State C	ved from   3 Remanded from  Appellate Court	Reopened		Dist Liti	
V. REQUESTED IN COMPI	AINT: JURY DEMAND:	Yes	only if demanded in complain	int.)	
CLASS ACTION under F.R.O			MONEY DEMANDED IN C		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (C)	te the U.S. Civil Statute under wh	ich you are filing and writ	e a brief statement of cause.	Do not cite jurisdictional s	tatutes unless diversity.)
VII. NATURE OF SUIT (Pla	lations of the Fair Credit Reporting	ng Act)			
OTHER STATUTES	T	T			
□ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc. □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat IV □ 810 Selective Service □ 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange □ 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Act □ 892 Economic Stabilization Act □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 894 Energy Allocation Act □ 895 Freedom of Info. Act	Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits  160 Stockholders' Suits  190 Other Contract  195 Contract Product Liability  196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY  210 Land Condemnation  220 Foreclosure	PERSONAL INJURY    310   Airplane     315   Airplane   Product     136   Airplane   Product     137   Airplane   Product     138   Assault, Libel & Slander     139   Assault, Libel & Slander     130   Assault, Libel & Slander     130   Airplane   Product     1350   Motor Vehicle     1350   Motor Vehicle     1360   Other Personal     1361   Other Personal     1362   Personal Injury     1363   Asbestos Personal     1364   Asbestos Personal     1365   Asbestos Personal     1366   Asteroid     1367   Asteroid     1368   Asbestos Personal     1369   Asteroid     1360   Asturalization     1361   Application     1362   Asturalization     1363   Asturalization     1364   Asturalization     1365   Actions     1366   Actions     1367   Airplane     1368   Airplane     1368   Airplane     1369   Airplane     1360   Airplane	PROPERTY  □ 370 Other Fraud  □ 371 Truth in Lending  □ 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product I lability  BANKRUPICY  □ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158  □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157  CIVIL RIGHTS  □ 441 Voting □ 442 Employment □ 443 Housing/Accommodations □ 444 Welfare 1 □ 445 American with Disabilities - Employment □ 446 American with Disabilities - Other □ 440 Other Civil Rights	Other    550 Civil Rights     555 Prison Condition FORFEITURE / PENALTY     610 Agriculture     620 Other Food & Drug     625 Drug Related Seizure of	LABOR    710   Fair Labor Standards Act     720   Labor/Mgmt.     Relations     730   Labor/Mgmt.     Reporting & Disclosure Act     740   Railway Labor Act     740   Cother Labor     1 tingation     791   Empl. Ret. Inc.     Security Act     PROPERTY RIGHTS     820   Copyrights     830   Patent     840   Trademark     SOCIAL SECURITY     861   HIA (1395ft)     862   Black Lung (923)     863   DIWC/DIWW     405(g))     864   SSID Title XVI     865   RSI (405(g))     FEDERAL TAX SUITS     870   Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)     871   IRS-Third Party 26     USC 7609
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:	Case Number:			30	
AFTER C	OMPLETING THE FRONT SI			ATION DEGLECTED D	r. a

THE TROOT SIDE OF FORM CV-71, COMPLETE THE INFORMATION REQUESTED BELOW

CV-71 (05/08) CIVIL COVER SHEET Page 1 of 2

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

VIII(a). IDENTICAL CASE If yes, list case number(s):	S: Has this action been pi	reviously filed in this court and dismissed, remanded or closed? ▼No □ Yes
VIII(b). RELATED CASES: If yes, list case number(s):	: Have any cases been pro	eviously filed in this court that are related to the present case?  No  Yes
	<ul><li>□ A. Arise from the sam</li><li>□ B. Call for determinati</li><li>□ C. For other reasons w</li></ul>	se and the present case:  e or closely related transactions, happenings, or events; or ion of the same or substantially related or similar questions of law and fact; or ould entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges; or atent, trademark or copyright, and one of the factors identified above in a, b or c also is present.
		tion, use an additional sheet if necessary.)
(a) List the County in this Dis	strict; California County onent, its agencies or emplo	outside of this District, State if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named plaintiff resides.  oyees is a named plaintiff. If this box is checked, go to item (b).
County in this District.*		California County outside of this District: State, if other than California; or Foreign Country
		Virginia
(b) List the County in this Dis  ☐ Check here if the government	strict; California County onent, its agencies or emplo	outside of this District; State if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named defendant resides, byces is a named defendant. If this box is checked, go to item (c).
County in this District:*		California County outside of this District: State, if other than California: or Foreign Country
Los Angeles		
(c) List the County in this Dis Note: In land condemna	strict; California County o tion cases, use the location	outside of this District; State if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH claim arose.
County in this District.*		California County outside of this District: State, if other than California, or Foreign Country
Los Angeles		
* Los Angeles, Orange, San B Note: In land condemnation ca	Bernardino, Riverside, V ses, use the location of the	entura, Santa Barbara, or San Luis Obispo Counties e tract of landinvolved
X. SIGNATURE OF ATTORN	NEY (OR PRO PER):	Date 7-20-10
Notice to Counsel/Parties or other papers as required but is used by the Clerk of	s: The CV-71 (JS-44) C by law. This form, approx the Court for the purpose	ivil Cover Sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings ved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not filed of statistics, venue and initiating the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet.)
Key to Statistical codes relating  Nature of Suit C		
Nature of Suit C	Appreviation	Substantive Statement of Cause of Action
861	ША	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969, (30 U.S.C. 923)
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))
863	DIWW	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))
864	SSID	All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security

CV-71 (05/08) CIVIL COVER SHEET Page 2 of 2

All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42

Act, as amended

U.S.C. (g))

865

RSI

	į üP	IGHT L		18
84044 LLP et, Suite 300 rgarita, CA 92688 UNI				
LLP et, Suite 300 rgarita, CA 92688 UNI				
eet, Suite 300 rgarita, CA 92688 UNI				
rgarita, CA 92688 UNI				
UNI				
CEN	(1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	DISTRICT COURT OT OF CALIFORNI		
VS, individually and	on behalf of all	CASE NUMBER		
v.	PLAINTIFF(S)	CV10	5306 <b>0DW</b>	(AGF
California corporati	on,			
			SUMMONS	
	DEEGNDANTYS	0.40		
	partition.			
nas been filed agains	t you.			
days after service plaintiff an answer to cross-claim or a moserved on the plaintiet, Suite 300, Ranch	ce of this summo the attached of tion under Rule if iff's attorney, Se to Santa Margari	complaint   12 of the Federal Rules   Reis  13, CA 92688	he complaint. You also mu	answer ddress is to do so,
days after service plaintiff an answer to cross-claim or a moserved on the plaintiet, Suite 300, Ranchult will be entered agotion with the court.	ce of this summon the attached of the attached of the attached of the tion under Rule of the sattorney, Series Santa Margarianst you for the	complaint   12 of the Federal Rulean Reis  13, CA 92688  relief demanded in the Clerk, U.S. Dist	amended complaintes of Civil Procedure. The hose a hose a lif you fail he complaint. You also must be complaint. You also must be complaint. You also must be complaint.	answer ddress is to do so,
days after service plaintiff an answer to cross-claim or a moserved on the plaintiet, Suite 300, Ranchult will be entered agotion with the court.	ce of this summon the attached of the attached of the attached of the tion under Rule of the sattorney, Series Santa Margarianst you for the	complaint   12 of the Federal Rulean Reis  13, CA 92688  relief demanded in the	amended complaintes of Civil Procedure. The hose a hose a lif you fail he complaint. You also must be complaint. You also must be complaint. You also must be complaint.	answer ddress is to do so,
	California corporati	PLAINTIFF(S)  V.  California corporation,  DEFENDANT(S).	v. California corporation,	v. California corporation,  DEFENDANT(S).  CV10 53060DW  SUMMONS

SUMMONS

CV-01A (12/07)