

Piero Attanasio

ARROW: The European Rights Information Infrastructure

Berkeley, 12 April 2012

Orphan Works & Mass Digitization. Obstacle and Opportunities

www.arrow-net.eu

ARROW Plus is a Best Practice
Network selected under the ICT
Policy Support Programme (ICT
PSP)



Rationale for Arrow

- Initial concept (2005)
 - ▣ Book trade enjoy a good, standard based “**book data infrastructure**”
 - Books in Print, Library catalogue, Authority files, ISBN, ONIX and MARC, etc.
 - ▣ Moving from print to digital we also need to manage “rights information”
 - ▣ Need for a “**rights information infrastructure**”
 - Similar function than commercial data (“price and availability”) in the book trade
- Digital library projects as perfect use-case of the concept (2006-7)
 - ▣ Transaction costs are very high
 - ▣ Use cases emerging

Who we are

Partners and *liaison organisations (in italic)*

□ Libraries

- **TEL and *Europeana Foundation***
- National libraries in France, Germany, Spain, The Netherlands, UK, Norway, Slovenia, Italy, *Finland, Poland*
- University library of Innsbruck

□ Publishers

- **Federation of European Publishers**
- Publishers associations in Italy, Spain, Germany, Hungary, Portugal, Lithuania, Latvia, *France, UK, Poland, Bulgaria, Romania*

□ Authors

- **European Writers Council**
- *ALCS* in UK

□ Collective management organisations

- **IFRRO**
- **EVA (European Visual Artists)**
- RROs in Poland, Greece, Spain, Ireland, UK, *France, Germany, Italy, Norway, Denmark, Finland*

□ Technology developers

- Cineca (Italy)
- Di-Tech (Romania)

□ Standard organisations

- **Editeur, *ISBN International Agency***
- ISBN agencies in Italy, Slovenia, Norway, Germany, Portugal, *Finland, France, Poland*
- *European DOI agency (mEDRA)*
- Editeur members
- ISTC consortium members

How Arrow works

- ARROW is a (i) **distributed system** for (ii) **facilitating** (iii) **rights information management** in (iv) **any digitisation programme**, scalable to (v) **further applications**
 - i. **A distributed system:** a network of data sources made interoperable through use of standards
 - ii. **Facilitating** implies time saving
 - Data from validation survey: Arrow allows 90%+ time saving
 - **British Library** survey: using Arrow reduces the search from 4h to 5m per title
 - iii. **Rights information management:** conceived as a separate function from (though linked to) “rights management”
 - iv. **Any digitisation programme:** ARROW is conceived to be neutral to legal frameworks and business models
 - v. **Future applications:** Rights information may be crucial in new digital markets

The Arrow workflow

- A library provides a bibliographic record + the type of use required
- We query four categories of data sources
 - ▣ Library catalogues (mainly through TEL)
 - ▣ Library authoritative files (mainly through VIAF)
 - ▣ Books in print
 - ▣ CMO repertoires
- We look for metadata useful to identify:
 - ▣ The **book** concerned (matching against authoritative records)
 - ▣ The **work** concerned
 - ▣ All other books containing the same **work** (clustering phase)
 - ▣ The **contributors** that may have rights in the work (author, translator, illustrator, etc.)
 - ▣ The **right status** (if in public domain or still copyrighted)
 - ▣ The **publisher(s)** that may have rights in the work
 - ▣ The **right-ownership** and presence of agents who manage the rights
 - ▣ **Contact details** of rightholders or their agents (including collective societies)

Use cases 1 - UK

- A digitization project launched by a private foundation (Wellcome Trust)
 - ▣ Not so large (some 10K books)
 - ▣ Specialized in one discipline / multinational and multilingual
 - ▣ No particular legal background
 - ▣ An existing CMO (CLA) provides a license for digitization and make available
- Arrow is a tool used by the CMO to better administer its process
- We provide value as far as we are able to:
 - ▣ Reduce the search time
 - ▣ Find the rightholders so to ask authorizations
- At the end of the workflow
 - ▣ Identification of orphan works

Use cases 2 - France

- New law (Feb 2012) on out of commerce
 - ▣ On the basis of a stakeholders agreement (Feb 2011)
 - ▣ Very large digitization programme (2M records to be managed by Arrow)
- The National Library (BNF) is called to establish an “out of commerce database”
- A representative CMO (to be appointed) will license OOC works
 - ▣ First option to publishers who own the “print” rights
 - ▣ Then to third parties
 - ▣ Last resort: libraries may make available the work
- Mandatory licensing scheme where rightholders may opt out
- Arrow is here the tool to:
 - ▣ Create the out of commerce database (registry)
 - ▣ Manage rights claiming and opt out
 - ▣ Notifying registered users
 - ▣ Identifying publishers to first offer the rights

Transaction costs and role of Arrow in the European debate

- We were born to approach a typical transaction cost problem
- Costs are related to:
 - ▣ Search of commercial status
 - ▣ Search of rightholders
 - ▣ Negotiation with individual rightholders

Solution 1: the EU Directive on orphan works

- In term of transaction costs any regulation on orphan works may be seen as fixing: **Search costs $\leq \alpha$**
- The challenge is: determining α as a combination between “diligent” and “reasonable”, acceptable by stakeholders
 - ▣ Users claim for lower α to decrease costs
 - ▣ Rightholders claim higher α to increase certainty (“don’t kill the parents”)
- The European draft Directive states that concrete search criteria should be established at member state level, after consultation of stakeholders
- Arrow may help through reducing search cost without reducing accuracy
 - ▣ Arrow as a consensus facilitator
- N.B.: any law about orphan works only deals with part of the transaction cost issue For this reason, libraries often claim that the Directive is not enough

Solution 2: Memorandum of Understanding on Out of commerce works

- The MoU, signed on Sept 2011, promotes “stakeholders agreements” to deal with rights on out of commerce works, to be included in digital library projects
- It states some key principles:
 - Criteria for determination of out of commerce status
 - Free negotiation with rightholders representatives
 - Presumption of representation for non registered rightholders
 - Best endeavors to inform individual rightholders
 - Opt out allowed
- Arrow may serve this type of agreements
 - Determination of out of commerce status
 - Notification programme to rightholders and management of rightholders’ claiming and opt out
- Similar to ECL but includes a search
 - Limited to commercial status and notification to registered rightholders
 - S is lower than with the Directive - S(CS) does not change, but S(RH) decreases
- The scheme reduces the transaction cost due to individual negotiation (N)

Solution 3 - ECL without search

- To avoid search you must include also in commerce works
 - ▣ Example: the BokHylla pilot in Norway
- Rationale: search cost = 0, so that 100% of library payment goes to rightholders remuneration
 - ▣ Or, said from the opposite viewpoint: costs for libraries are lower at the same level of rightholders remuneration
- Uses must be very limited, in order not to compete with normal exploitation of the work
 - ▣ in BokHylla: access only online, no download, no print, only from Norway, etc
 - ▣ It is not a matter of respecting the three steps test: no representative CMO will sign an agreement against its members' interest
- No value from Arrow (or alike)
- Is it valuable for users?
 - ▣ End users have limited access, though to a broader repertoire
 - ▣ Many rightholders may be prepared to license their works for broader uses and at lower prices (also = 0, in CC)

Conclusions

- Voluntary stakeholders agreements (something like an “ECL with search” scheme) may be a way
 - ▣ And I think it will be THE European way
- We don’t need to make the registration mandatory
 - ▣ We started designing the RII as similar to books in print database
 - ▣ The countries with mandatory ISBN registration were historically the worst in serving the trade starting from ISBN data

FURTHER INFORMATION

Piero Attanasio
piero.attanasio@aie.it

AIE - Associazione Italiana Editori
Corso di Porta Romana 108
20122 Milano MI
Italy

THANK YOU

ARROW Plus is a Best Practice
Network selected under the ICT Policy
Support Programme (ICT PSP)

