

Berkeley Center for Law & Technology Annual Bulletin

Message from the Executive Director

A central function of the Berkeley Center for Law and Technology is making connections: connecting students and faculty with practitioners and policy-makers; connecting the disciplines of law, technology and social science; and integrating various aspects of technology law, including intellectual property, privacy law, cyberlaw and clean technology law. Our programs, research and curriculum promote these connections, as illustrated by this review of our recent work and future plans.

This spring we convened experts from government, corporations, academia, and law firms for a unique conference on the many unresolved legal and policy issues arising from cloud computing. An audio archive of this, and the other conferences mentioned here, are available at the BCLT website: bclt.berkeley.edu.

To commemorate the 300th anniversary of The Statute of Anne, the first modern copyright law, we hosted a two-day symposium that explored the statute's influence on Anglo-American copyright tradition. The event also provided BCLT Director Pamela Samuelson the opportunity to introduce a groundbreaking report on copyright law. See page 3.

BCLT organized an informative program

that enabled patent litigators and in-house counsel to learn directly from key US International Trade Commission personnel about its distinctive practices and procedures. Related to this event, a "Section 337 Patent Investigation Management Guide" will be released next year. See page 2.

We were particularly pleased to host two student-initiated conferences. One confronted how forming a patent pool for neglected dis-

eases or HIV/AIDS medicines could facilitate powerful innovation with immediate benefit to millions. The other, co-sponsored with the Samuelson Law, Technology & Public Policy Clinic, tackled the legal considerations in using information gleaned from social networking websites to aid in civil and criminal investigations.

Most gratifying was the great success of the 10th Annual IP Scholars Conference which we hosted in August. 180 professors from around the country attended the event, which is co-sponsored with Cardozo, DePaul and Stanford law schools.

Berkeley Law students may now earn a Clean Technology Law Certificate of Specialization—the first one in the nation. The specialized curricular program helps students develop a broad background in fundamental areas of law while receiving advanced training in environmental, energy, finance, and intellectual property law.

We look forward to another exciting year continuing the teaching, research and programs that bridge the worlds of academia, practice and government. ■

—Robert Barr



180 scholars attended the 10th Annual IP Scholars Conference in Berkeley.

Justifying Intellectual Property

Justifying Intellectual Property (Harvard Univ. Press, spring 2011), the latest book by Professor Robert Merges, establishes a sophisticated and balanced rationale for the most vital form of modern property, IP rights. This book answers the many critics who contend that these rights are inefficient, unfair, and theoretically incoherent. The author argues instead that IP rights are based on a solid ethical foundation, and that, when subject to judicious equitable limits, these rights form an indispensable part of a well-functioning socio-economic system. The result is a vigorous and thorough defense of

IP, but also a call for appropriate constraints and boundaries in this crucial field of law: IP as real rights, but with real limits.

Foundational Ideas

Slicing through the complexity and confusion that have long surrounded the IP field, the author separates his analysis into three distinct, interlocking strands. He begins at the bedrock level, drawing on classic works by John Locke, Immanuel Kant, and other philosophers, crafting an original theory explaining why IP rights make sense as a reward for effort and a way to encourage

individuals to thrive and flourish. This book shows how the limits and constraints built into Lockean and Kantian conceptions of property provide an ideal grounding for a balanced approach to IP rights. The author also provides a novel and comprehensive explanation of why awarding IP rights to creative people is fair for everyone in society – how IP rights contribute to a just distribution of resources, in accordance with the ideas of philosopher John Rawls.

Next, the book lays out four primary principles that tie together many of the diverse and far-flung doctrines operating in the field of IP law. These “mid-level principles” are (1) proportionality; (2) efficiency; (3) nonremoval or public-domain-building; and (4) dignity. Although these principles flow naturally from the normative theories of Locke, Kant, and Rawls, they are also completely consistent with other foundational conceptions of IP rights, such as efficiency-based (or utilitarian) theories. The mid-level principles are therefore a significant contribution: they represent a common

A Comprehensive, User-Friendly, and Practical Guide to ITC Trials

Patent litigation in the United States has grown steadily over the past 15 years. As District Courts became bogged down with such cases – which can take 3 – 5 years to reach the trial stage – many patent owners have gravitated toward the International Trade Commission’s enforcement of Section 337 unfair trade practices. The ITC’s fast-track procedures – typically producing determinations within 12 to 15 months – and potent exclusion order remedy have brought the ITC to center stage in patent enforcement.

Drawing upon the Patent Case Management Judicial Guide Professor Peter Menell and several leading ITC patent practitioners have developed a comprehensive, user-friendly, and practical guide for experienced ITC professionals as well as new entrants to this important branch of patent enforcement. Organized around the contours of ITC investigations, this treatise provides invaluable insight into the nuances of ITC procedures from a perspective not captured in existing treatises. The handbook will serve as a critical resource for companies seeking to evaluate their options, enforcement strategies, and defense tools, as well as for the full range of patent professionals. This volume also provides intellectual property scholars and law students with a highly accessible pathway into this complex and specialized field of litigation. It integrates detailed case management approaches and comparative analysis of procedural options with the historical development of unfair trade practices law and comprehensive analysis of claim construction and patent law doctrines. The text explains the advantages and disadvantages of different approaches. It notes where there is consensus about best practices, while avoiding a narrow or dogmatic presentation.

To gain feedback while preparing this guide, Professor Menell organized a two-day patent case management program for the ITC in November 2009. In Spring 2010, he organized several events for the ITC’s Chairman, administrative law judges, and key ITC investigation staff, as well as a public conference, *The US ITC Comes to Silicon Valley*. ■

“Section 337 Patent Investigation Management Guide,” is scheduled for release in early 2011



US ITC Chairman Aranoff addresses the Spring conference.

normative framework that permits policy debates without requiring agreement on ultimate foundational issues. The mid-level principles open the way to fruitful debate at a level beyond specific disputes and detailed doctrines, while leaving “room at the bottom” for divergent understandings of why society is committed to the institution of IP protection.

Practical Implications

Finally, the book deploys normative concepts, together with these mid-level principles, in original and creative discussions of three leading-edge issues in the IP field today: the care and feeding of creative professionals, those who make a living producing original creative works; the continuing relevance and vitality of IP rights in the online/digital world; and access to patented drugs that could save lives in poor countries. The goal is to demonstrate practical payoffs to the normative ideas developed earlier in the book.

The author argues, for example, for the

importance of IP rights in the material livelihood of those who make a profession of creating original works. He also admonishes those who would de-emphasize individual, original authorship in the digital realm. While digital manipulation of existing works, and de-centralized “collective” creativity are new and worthwhile developments, traditional property theory still makes sense in the digital realm. In conformity with Kant’s ideas about property and individual autonomy, it is crucial that original creators be given the right and power to waive any property rights in their works, rather than be coerced into foregoing or losing those rights. A similar idea from canonical prop-

Professor Merges argues that IP rights are based on a solid ethical foundation, and that, when subject to judicious equitable limits, these rights form an indispensable part of a well-functioning socio-economic system.

erty theory – this time Locke’s – is developed and applied to the area of patents on drugs useful in the developing world. Locke’s notion of a “charity proviso,” or limitation on property, implies that open access to patented drugs is justified when it could save actual lives in the immediate future. Outside these dire circumstances, however, the book argues that drug access policy must take account of complexities such as

the losses future generations might suffer if immediate access to drugs reduces overall investment in drug development and thereby impairs the capacity to invent new drugs in the future. ■

The Copyright Principles Project: Directions for Reform

Professor Pamela Samuelson initiated the Copyright Principles Project (CPP) in 2007 out of a sense that although copyright law today works reasonably well in some domains, it can be improved and should be refined. She recruited twenty expert volunteers to be CPP members, including law professors, lawyers from private practice, and lawyers with copyright industry firms who brought diverse expertise and experience with copyright law and policy to the project. They shared a common view that copyright law is, for many reasons, under considerable stress today. The goal of the CPP was to explore whether it was possible to reach some consensus about how current copyright law could be improved and how the law’s current problems could be mitigated.

The most obvious and perhaps most significant source of stress on copyright law is the radical transformation of public access to information. The Internet and World Wide Web, including the phenomenal growth and profusion of user-generated content and the widespread use of peer-to-peer file-sharing technologies to exchange copies of copyrighted works, have destabilized many copyright industry sectors as the economics of creating, publishing, and disseminating information-rich works have dramatically changed. Copyright has thus sud-

denly become significant not only to industry insiders who are steeped in this law’s complexities, but also to the millions of people who access information on the Internet and who often share this information with others, and to technology and telecommunications companies who provide or enable consumer access to copyrighted works.

What can and should be done to address the difficulties that attend today’s copyright law? CPP members were not of one mind about various steps that could lead to

improvements. Some CPP members were relatively content with the existing legal framework, yet accepted the desirability of making some adjustments to fix certain problems. Other CPP members believed that copyright law can only be saved by very substantial reforms that many copyright industry groups would regard as radical.

The full report of CPP deliberations, entitled *The Copyright Principles Project: Directions for Reform*, will be published in a 2010 symposium issue of the Berkeley Technology Law Journal. It sets forth a number of principles which should inform a well-functioning copyright law, analyzes U.S. copyright law in light of these principles, and suggests some reforms intended bring U.S. copyright law into better alignment with the principles. ■



Members of the Copyright Principles Project attending the Copyright @ 300 Symposium, April 2010. The full report is now available here: http://btjl.org/data/articles/25_3/cpp.pdf

Amy Kapczynski

intellectual property, global health, antidiscrimination, constitutional history



Assistant Professor of Law Kapczynski writes about the implications of the propertization of information in global perspective. She recently published "Harmonization and its Discontents," which demonstrates that there is substantial formal freedom within the WTO's TRIPS Agreement to allow developing countries to craft flexible patent law, but that this freedom is also undermined by practical

constraints. Currently in progress is an article entitled "The Cost of Price," which argues that there is affirmative value to free-of-cost ("free as in beer") information according to values of efficiency, distributive justice, and privacy. Kapczynski is also researching the implications of the new IP "enforcement agenda" for access to medicines.

RECENT PAPERS

Harmonization and Its Discontents: A Case Study of TRIPS Implementation in India's Pharmaceutical Sector
97 *California Law Review* 1571 (2009)

Access to Knowledge: A Conceptual Genealogy
(from: *Access to Knowledge in the Age of Intellectual Property*, G. Krikorian & A. Kapczynski, eds.), forthcoming *Zone Books* (2010)

Peter Menell

intellectual property, computer law, entertainment law



Reflecting his training in economics and law, Professor of Law Menell's research focuses principally on the role and design of intellectual property law in digital technology as well as content industries. His current projects explore legal protection for computer technology, the justifications for and contours of indirect copyright liability, and the adaptation of content and digital technology

industries to the internet age. Building on more than a decade working closely with the Federal Judicial Center, Menell also devotes substantial effort to the study of judicial management of intellectual property litigation. Menell also works in the environmental law and property law fields and is particularly interested in renewable energy and the clean/green technology field.

RECENT PAPERS

Patent Claim Construction: A Modern Synthesis and Structured Framework
(with M. Powers and S. Carlson),
Berkeley Technology Law Journal (2010)

Indirect Copyright Liability and Technological Change
32 *Columbia Journal of Law and the Arts* 375 (2009)

PAST EVENTS

- Professor Amy Kapczynski organized a conference on **July 7 & 8** in Berkeley, entitled: **The Enforcement Agenda and Access to Medicines: Developing a Positive Response**, which brought people from around the world to discuss this important issue.
- In **July**, BCLT hosted a dinner for Israeli lawyers from **Tel Aviv University** who were taking advanced legal courses at Berkeley Law during the summer.
- BCLT hosted the **10th Annual Intellectual Property Scholars Conference** in Berkeley on **August 12 and 13**. At this conference, 180 IP Scholars shared their works in progress with colleagues and received feedback from experts in the field.
- In **August**, at BCLT's **New Student Law & Technology Orientation and Fall Career Fair**, the incoming 2010-2011 class at Berkeley Law learned about the abundance of extra-curricular opportunities at Berkeley Law and the opportunities available in technology law practice.
- BCLT brought Chief Economist Stuart Graham and Director David Kappos from the **United States Patent and Trademark Office** to Berkeley to confer with leaders in the field of Economics and IP Law to discuss the USPTO Economics Research Agenda.
- BCLT welcomed a new Copyright Research Fellow, **Kathryn Hashimoto**. She graduated from the University of San Francisco School of Law in 2010. While in law school, she interned at the Electronic Frontier Foundation and co-authored "The Case for Book Privacy Parity: Google Books and the Shift from Offline to Online Reading" for the *Harvard Law & Policy Review Online*.
- In **September**, BCLT faculty are providing an **Advanced Federal Judicial Center** training for Federal Judges.
- BCLT sponsor Chadbourne & Park will host an **Open Innovation Conference** on **September 29** in Berkeley.

Robert Merges

patents, intellectual property, economics, ethics, technology markets and valuation



Professor of Law Merges' most important current project is "Justifying Intellectual Property," a book to be published by Harvard University Press in 2011. A comprehensive statement of mature views on the ethical and economic foundations of IP law, the book reviews foundational philosophical theories of property (Locke, Kant, Hegel) and applies them to IP;

contemporary theories about distributive justice (e.g., John Rawls) and applies them to IP; identifies operational high-level principles of IP law; and, with all this as background, works through several pressing problems facing IP law today. Merges is also pursuing follow-up research based on data collected in the Kauffman-Berkeley Patent Survey in 2008-2009.

RECENT PAPERS

The Trouble with Trolls: Innovation, Rent-Seeking and Patent Law Reform
24 *Berkeley Technology Law Journal* 1583 (2010)

Individual Creators in the Cultural Commons
95 *Cornell L. Rev.* 793 (2010)

UPCOMING EVENTS

- The Federal Circuit Bar Association and BCLT will host a conference on **The Evolving Law of Patent Damages** on **October 18th**. Speakers include: Chief Judge Randall Rader, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit and Judge Lucy Koh, Judge Susan Illston and Judge William Alsup, of the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California, Professors Robert Merges, Mark Lemley and Amy Landers.
- A conference on **Mobile Payments: Global Markets, Empowered Consumers and New Rules** will be held on **October 29** at University of Washington.
- The **11th Annual Advanced Patent Law Institute Program** will be held in Palo Alto on **December 9 and 10**. This event is hosted by BCLT, the Stanford Program in Law, Science & Technology and the University of Texas School of Law.
- BCLT will begin the new year, **2011**, with a **Spring Career Fair** intended to introduce top IP firms to Berkeley Law's top 1L students interested in technology law.
- **Spring 2011** programs will include a conference on **IP Risk Management in China**, our **Annual Privacy Lecture** and the **Berkeley Technology Law Journal's dinner** honoring their 2011-2012 board members.
- The **Fourth Annual BCLT Privacy Lecture** will be held **February 2011** and feature Prof. Lorrie Faith Cranor of CyLab Usable Privacy and Security Laboratory, Carnegie Mellon University.
- The **15th Annual BCLT/BTLJ Symposium** will be held on **March 3 and 4, 2011**. This year's topic will be: **Translating Between Law and Technology**.
- The **Federal Judicial Center Judicial Training** program for judges, **Intellectual Property in the New Technological Age**, returns to Berkeley in **May**. BCLT will also host a dinner for the judges to meet the BCLT community.
- **June 2 and 3, 2011** is the **Annual Privacy Law Scholars Conference**, which will be held at the Claremont Resort & Spa in Berkeley.

TRANSLATING BETWEEN LAW AND TECHNOLOGY — MARCH 3–4, 2011

Technology is increasingly harnessed in the pursuit of public goals. Firms seek to enforce property rights through digital rights management software; engineers increasingly attempt to incorporate “privacy by design” (PbD) into products, in order to “force” privacy-protective behaviors; companies turn to technology systems and computational analytics that predict operational and financial risk levels in an attempt to comply with government regulations requiring risk identification, assessment and mitigation.

Thus technology is used to “regulate” individual and organizational behavior. Such regulating technologies offer powerful compliance tools. But they also raise real concerns: they can permit computer programmers to interpret legal requirements; they skew decision-making through an “automation bias”; and their lack of transparency thwarts oversight and accountability.

This 15th Annual BCLT/BTLJ Symposium will address the effects of this phenomenon in various areas, including privacy enforcing technologies, voting, and intellectual property. In addition to exploring specific contexts in which technology “regulates,” symposium panels will address thematic issues such as the implications of technological governance for both democracy values and effectiveness concerns. They will also address the question of who (if anyone) should regulate governance technologies, considering the role of markets, government regulators and standard-setting bodies.

Deirdre Mulligan

information technology law and policy, privacy, security, copyright



Assistant Professor of Information Technology Law and Policy Mulligan's current research agenda focuses on information privacy and security. Current projects include qualitative interviews to understand the institutionalization and management of privacy within corporate America and the role of law in corporate information security policy and practice.

Other areas of current research include digital rights management technology, and privacy and security issues in sensor networks and visual surveillance systems, and alternative legal strategies to advance network security.

RECENT PAPERS

Privacy on the Books and on the Ground
(with K. Bamberger), forthcoming *Stanford Law Review* (2010)

Privacy Issues of the W3C Geolocation API
(with N. Doty and E. Wilde), *UC Berkeley: School of Information - Report 2010-038* (2010)

Pamela Samuelson

copyright, patent, internet and digital media, cyberlaw



Professor of Law Samuelson has recently been developing ideas for copyright reform, including guidelines for statutory damages in copyright law. She is also working on a paper on the constitutionality as well as the advisability of copyright office rule-making. Other current focuses include the Google Book Search settlement and the intellectual property strategies of software startups. With

Jason Schultz, she submitted an amicus curiae brief on patent subject matter to argue that the *Bilski* business method claim was not proper subject matter for patents, and that the Federal Circuit's test for patent subject matter is flawed and inconsistent with Supreme Court precedent.

RECENT PAPERS

Google Book Search and the Future of Books in Cyberspace
94 *Minn. L. Rev.* 1308 (2010)

High Technology Entrepreneurs and the Patent System: Results of the 2008 Berkeley Patent Survey
(with S. Graham, T. Sichelman, and R. Merges) *Berkeley Technology Law Journal* Vol. 24:1258. (2010)

Jason Schultz '00

cyberlaw, computer law, copyright law, patent law, privacy



Assistant Clinical Professor of Law Schultz is current researching consumer protection standards for “tethered” electronic content, such as e-books and apps for the iPhone and other popular mobile devices. As this form of content evolves, historically-based consumer protection rules for IP, such as the First Sale Doctrine, are increasingly marginalized.

Schultz’s work looks to guide courts in the application of more general “exhaustion” doctrines to ensure that consumers who purchase new forms of digital content are assured the same use, privacy, and resale rights that they have traditionally enjoyed in the analog world.

RECENT PAPERS

Digital Exhaustion
(with A. Perzanowski), presented at IP Scholars Conference, UC Berkeley School of Law, August 2010

Can defensive patenting help FOSS? A Defensive Patent License approach
presented at University of Colorado Law School, April 2010

Paul M. Schwartz

privacy, data security, cyberlaw, intellectual property



Professor of Law Schwartz’s scholarship focuses on how the law has sought to regulate and otherwise shape information technology, as well as the impact of information technology on law and democracy. At present, he is engaged in several different research projects, including comparative research into telecommunications surveillance law with a focus on developments in the U.S. and

Germany. He is also examining the emerging area of behavioral marketing and online advertising. Behavioral marketing draws on developments in neuroscience to heighten the results that marketing campaigns achieve; it also raises new privacy and ethical issues.

RECENT PAPERS

Prosser’s Privacy and the German Right of Personality: Are Four Privacy Torts Better than One Unitary Concept?
(with KN Peifer), forthcoming *California Law Review* (2010)

Preemption and Privacy
Yale Law Journal, Vol. 118 (2009)

Talha Syed

pharmaceutical patents, intellectual property, regulatory law and policy, normative legal theory



Assistant Professor of Law Syed’s current research focuses on patents and alternative innovation policies for pharmaceuticals, health care allocation and distribution, and normative legal theory. With co-author Terry Fisher, he is attempting to identify the most plausible package of reforms to patent protection, prizes, and regulatory incentive mechanisms for improving the social welfare associated

with the production of new medications. Additionally, he has been exploring the normative foundations of health and innovation policy in a number of papers that examine both the opportunities and limits presented by “cost-effectiveness analysis” as a regulatory tool to supplement market or economic-efficiency measures of social welfare.

RECENT PAPERS

Some Cautionary Thoughts on Two Recent Access and Innovation Proposals: Fine-grained Price Discrimination and Priority Review Vouchers
forthcoming *Stanford Journal for Law, Science, and Policy*. (2010)

“Rights” vs “Distributive Justice” as a Framework of Normative Analysis for Access to Health and IP
Access to Knowledge & Human Rights Conference, presented at Yale Law School. February 2010

Molly S. Van Houweling

copyright, digital media, intellectual property, technology law



Assistant Professor of Law Van Houweling’s research focuses on copyright implications for new information technologies (and vice versa). One strand of her research explores how legal rules designed to regulate sophisticated commercial actors impact unsophisticated individuals who are empowered by information technology. Another strand explores how those

individuals are deploying copyright law themselves in ways that appear both to enrich and complicate the creative environment. She has a particular interest in the innovative licensing practices of the open source software community, Creative Commons, and the like.

RECENT PAPERS

Author Autonomy and Atomism in Copyright Law
Virginia Law Review, Vol. 96 (2010)

The New Servitudes
Georgetown Law Journal, Vol. 96 (2008)

Suzanne Scotchmer

intellectual property and R&D incentives, law and economics, public finance



Professor of Law, Economics and Public Policy Scotchmer's main research area is the economics, policy, and law of innovation, including intellectual property. She is focusing specifically on identifying the various origins of R&D investment ideas and the implications of each. In some arenas, such as pharmaceutical research, research paths and objectives are largely agreed on, but in other arenas, such as

internet innovations, the creative act is to identify a market niche or a way to fill one. Scotchmer has also published widely in economic theory and game theory.

RECENT PAPERS

Cap-and-Trade, Emissions Taxes, and Innovation
forthcoming (2010)

Innovation Policy and the Economy. Scarcity of Ideas and R&D Options: Use it, Lose it, or Bank it
(with N. Erkal), NBER Working Paper 09-14940 (2009)

Jennifer Urban '00

copyright, intellectual property, privacy, licensing, emerging artists, biotech



Assistant Clinical Professor of Law Urban came to Berkeley Law from USC in 2009 and is a co-director of the Samuelson Law, Technology & Public Policy Clinic. She presently is working on a paper considering procedural due process questions in light of new copyright enforcement systems (for example, DMCA Section 512 takedown notices) and is working with Jason Schultz on

a paper that sets out a novel patent licensing system for open source and start-up companies. In the Clinic, she is working on projects related to consumer privacy and the "smart" electricity grid and artist- and consumer-focused copyright reform.

RECENT PAPERS

Demystifying Fair Use: The Gift of the Center for Social Media Statements of Best Practices
(with A. Falzone), forthcoming *Journal of the Copyright Society of the USA* (2010)

Updating Fair Use for Innovators and Creators in the Digital Age: Two Targeted Reforms
Copyright Reform Act Project whitepaper on behalf of Public Knowledge (2010)

SPONSORS AND AFFILIATES

BENEFACTORS

Chadbourne & Parke LLP
Cooley Godward Kronish LLP
Covington & Burling LLP
Fenwick & West LLP
Fish & Richardson P.C.
Kirkland & Ellis LLP
Latham & Watkins LLP
McDermott Will & Emery
Morrison & Foerster LLP
Ornick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP
Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP and Affiliates
Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP
White & Case LLP
Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP
Wilson, Sonsini, Goodrich & Rosati
Winston & Strawn LLP

MEMBERS

Baker Botts LLP
Bingham McCutchen LLP
DLA Piper
Finnegan Henderson Farabow Garrett & Dunner LLP
Goodwin Procter LLP
Gunderson Dettmer Stough Villeneuve Franklin & Hachigian, LLP
Haynes and Boone, LLP
Hickman, Palermo, Truong & Becker, LLP
Keker & Van Nest LLP
Knobbe Martens Olson & Bear LLP
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP
Munger-Tolles & Olson LLP
Ropes & Gray LLP
Schwegman Lundberg & Woessner
Sidley Austin LLP
Townsend and Townsend and Crew LLP
Weaver Austin Villeneuve Sampson
Van Pelt, Yi & James LLP

PATRONS

Baker & McKenzie LLP
Dunie Tangri LLP

CORPORATE BENEFACTORS

Apple, Inc.
Cisco Systems
Google Inc.
Microsoft Corporation
Nokia
SAP
Tessera Technologies
Warner Bros.
Yahoo!


AFFILIATED FACULTY AND SCHOLARS

Aaron Edlin (Berkeley Law, Economics Department)
Joseph Farrell (Economics Department)
Richard J. Gilbert (Haas School of Business, Economics Department)
Bronwyn H. Hall (Economics Department)
Thomas Jorde (Berkeley Law)
Michael L. Katz (Haas School of Business, Economics Department)
David Mowery (Haas Business School)
David Nimmer (UCLA)
Daniel Rubinfeld (Berkeley Law)
AnnaLee Saxenian (iSchool, City and Regional Planning)
Carl Shapiro (Haas School of Business, Economics Department)
Howard Shelanski (FTC Bureau of Economics)
Marjorie Shultz (Berkeley Law)
Lon Sobel (Southwestern University)
David Teece (Haas School of Business)
Hal R. Varian (Emeritus: iSchool, Haas School of Business, Economics Department)
David Winickoff (Division of Society & Environment)

AFFILIATED PROGRAMS

Berkeley Roundtable on the International Economy (BRIE), UC Berkeley
Berkeley Technology Law Journal, (BTLJ) UC Berkeley
Center for Information Technology Research in the Interests of Society (CITRIS), UC Berkeley
Center for Intellectual Property Studies, Gothenburg & Chalmers University of Technology (CIP)
Competition Policy Center (CPC), Haas School of Business, UC Berkeley
Haifa Center of Law & Technology (HCLT)
Institute for Information Law (IViR), University of Amsterdam
Haas School of Business, UC Berkeley
Lester Center for Entrepreneurship & Innovation, Haas School of Business, UC Berkeley
Management of Technology Program, Haas School of Business, UC Berkeley
Samuelson Law, Technology, & Public Policy Clinic, Berkeley Law
School of Information Management and Systems (SIMS), UC Berkeley
Seoul National University Center for Law & Technology (SNU CLT)
Swiss Federal Institute of Technology - Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich (ETH)
Team for Research in Ubiquitous Secure Technology (TRUST)
Tel-Aviv University, Israel

PRESORTED
FIRST CLASS
U.S. POSTAGE PAID
UNIVERSITY OF
CALIFORNIA



Berkeley Center for Law & Technology Annual Bulletin

BCLT NEWS AND UPDATES

- Directions for Copyright Reform
- Justifying Intellectual Property
- Comprehensive Guide to the US ITC
- Translating Between Law and Technology: 15th Annual Symposium