

STUDENT READING ASSIGNMENT
CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL LAW & POLICY ISSUES
January 22, 2007 Class

In preparation for our January 22nd class, you should read the following four reported court decisions, together with the article on CEQA from California Environmental News that is attached. The latter is reproduced with the permission of the California State Bar and its Environmental Law Section.

CEQA & Water Supply

Stanislaus National Heritage Project v. County of Stanislaus, 48 Cal.App.4th 182 (1996);

Vineyard Area Citizens for Responsible Growth, Inc. v. City of Rancho Cordova, 25 Cal.Rptr.3d 596 [not 546, as previously indicated], 2005 Cal. App. LEXIS 349 (2005)
[**note:** this intermediate Court of Appeal decision has been formally vacated, as a result of the California Supreme Court's recent grant of review in this case; however, the Court of Appeal's decision in *Vineyard* remains a good summary and analysis of the legal issues involved, and can be accessed via non-official legal reporters such as those indicated above].

CEQA & Aesthetics/Urban Infill

Bowman v. City of Berkeley, 122 Cal.App.4th 572 (2004);

Pocket Protectors v. City of Sacramento, 124 Cal.App.4th 903 (2004)